PROGRAM

Prepared by Wildlife Technical Staff



The Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks would like to thank the following companies and organizations for their generous donations and/or contributions of merchandise or service to the 31 st Annual Meeting.

Southeast Deer Study Group

31st Annual Meeting • February 17-19, 2008 • Tunica, Mississippi

CONFERENCE SPONSORS









CONFERENCE SUPPORTERS

Weyerhaeuser Growing Ideas

STEVE HANLON • JOHNNY JOHNSON

DeltAg

CONFERENCE DONORS

Attala County Co-Op / Kosciusko Delta Ace Hardware / Yazoo City Discount Hunting and Fishing / Flowood Forestry Suppliers, Inc. Haas Outdoors, Inc / Mossy Oak John Woods, Ph.D. McKenzie Taxidermy Supply Mississippi Chapter / The Wildlife Society Mississippi State University / College of Forest Resources North Star Science and Technology, LLC Slater's / Indianola Southern Archery South Delta Parts / Rolling Fork Southern Outdoor Technologies, LLC The Sportsman / Greenville Tillery Dental Clinics Wildlife Analytical Laborat Ward Lake Land Company Wildlife Specialty



MISSISSIPPI DEER PROGRAM REPORT 2007



MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE, FISHERIES AND PARKS 1505 Eastover Drive I Jackson, MS 39211

2006-2007 Mississippi Deer Program Report



Dedication



In Memory of Bill Lunceford 1945 - 2007

This and all future Deer Data Books are dedicated to Bill Lunceford.

• On September 20, 2007, the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks and the sportsmen of Mississippi lost a hero. William (Bill) Lunceford passed away as a result of complications due to a previous injury. Bill became a quadriplegic after a diving accident in 1979. After rehabilitation, he came back to work with the MDWFP, as the Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP) Coordinator. He filled this role until his retirement on June 30, 2006. The work he completed in his position is immeasurable. Using a mouthpiece, wooden dowel, and large eraser, he typed faster than most of the staff. His knowledge of computer programs combined with deer management experience made the rest of the staff's roles easier. He combined the DMAP data for the entire state annually and produced reports to assist the field biologists in making better deer management decisions. The data and reports eventually became the Deer Program Report. His work has impacted millions of acres of deer habitat in the state. He also assisted other states with the implementation of DMAP programs.

Bill was a man of Christian values, strong work ethic, and immense knowledge. It was impossible to not make friends with him. After his accident, he continued his passion of hunting deer. He designed a rifle mounted on a football helmet, with trigger activation by solenoid from a mouthpiece. He was a crack shot with this weapon, bagging several deer, and designed several versions in different calibers.

Bill traveled the state to give motivational speeches. He proved that adversity can be overcome. You just have to want to. Many lives have been touched, and changed, by Bill's time on Earth. As a firm believer, Bill can now walk again.

You will be missed.

Governor of Mississippi Haley Barbour



LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR AMY TUCK

SENATE Wildlife, Fisheries, & Parks Committee

LYNN Posey Chairman, Union Church

Bob M. Dearing Vice-Chairman, Natchez

Eric Robinson Chairman, Quitman

JOEY HUDSON VICE-CHAIRMAN, MONTICELLO

> TRACY ARINDER MORTON

Larry Baker Senatobia Sidney Albritton Picayune

SCOTTIE R. CUEVAS

Pass Christian

MERLE FLOWERS

Southaven

Tommy A. Gollott Biloxi

CINDY HYDE-SMITH Brookhaven

SAMPSON JACKSON II Preston

House of Representatives Wildlife, Fisheries, & Parks Committee

C. Scott Bounds Philadelphia

BRYANT W. CLARK PICKENS

Angela Cockerham Magnolia

Herb Frierson Poplarville

WILDLING ASSA

WARNER F. MCBRIDE COURTLAND

America (Chuck) Middleton Port Gibson

Billy Nicholson Little Rock Ezell Lee Picayune

Walter Michel Jackson

GARY TOLLISON Oxford

Steve Palazzo Biloxi

DERYK R. PARKER LUCEDALE

Randall Patterson Biloxi

ROBERT E. VINCE SANDY HOOK

COMMISSION ON WILDLIFE, FISHERIES, AND PARKS

BILLY DEVINEY Chairman, Jackson JOHN C STANLEY IV Vice Chairman, Corinth Jerry Munroe Biloxi

BRYAN JONES Yazoo City

Administration

SAM POLLES, PH.D. Executive Director AL TUCK Deputy Administrator **ROBERT L. COOK** Executive Officer **Don Brazil** Director of Law Enforcement, Wildlife, and Fisheries

Table of Contents

Dedication	
Governor and Legislative Committees	iv
Commission on Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks	iv
Table of Contents	V
Acknowledgments	vi
Introduction	1
Wildlife Technical Staff Directory	
Wildlife Management Areas	
Wildlife Management Area Information	
Wildlife Management Area Directory	
Wildlife Management Area Narratives	
Regional Narratives	
Road Kill Survey Data	
Depredation	
Chronic Wasting Disease	
Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease	
Statewide Deer Herd Health Evaluations	
Mail Survey Data	
Sex Ratio Estimates	
Deer Tags	
Antler Regulations	
High Fenced Enclosures	
Deer Management Assistance Program	
Statewide DMAP Data	
Mississippi Soil Resource Area Map	
Batture Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Delta Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Upper Thick Loess Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Lower Thick Loess Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Upper Thin Loess Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Lower Thin Loess Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Black Prairie Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Upper Coastal Plain Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Lower Coastal Plain Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Coastal Flatwoods Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Interior Flatwoods Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data	
Enforcement of Deer-related Citations	
Citations Summary by County	
Hunting Incident/Accident Summary	
Magnolia Records Program	
Pope and Young Record Non-typical Deer	
Pope and Young Record Typical Deer	
Boone and Crockett Record Non-typical Deer	
Boone and Crockett Record Typical Deer	
Status	
Recommendations	

Acknowledgements

Numerous people are responsible for the information presented in this report. The vision and work of Mississippi Game and Fish Commission patriarchs like Fannie Cook and Bill Turcotte initiated plans in the 1930's that ultimately provided Mississippi Sportsmen with the deer population we enjoy today.

Leaf River Refuge Manager Quinton Breeland, Upper Sardis Refuge Manager Garald Mize, and other dedicated Commission employees protected, trapped, and relocated hundreds of deer throughout the state during the days of Mississippi's deer restoration. In addition, game wardens of the deer restoration era protected a growing deer population through the early period of wildlife conservation. During this time in the history of Mississippi's Wildlife Management Agency, game wardens provided their own gun and vehicle. Mobile communication with other officers was little more than a futuristic dream. Wildlife enforcement, or the game warden that interfered with the "jacklighting" of deer and illegal harvest of game, was not a welcome sight to some hunters at that time. Refuge managers and game wardens of the restoration era are pioneers of the deer population restoration success of today.

Today the conservation officer is considered differently. Most men and women who enjoy the bountiful wildlife that exist today regard the conservation officer as a partner in wildlife conservation. As those who are responsible for the deer populations we treasure are remembered, the conservation officers of today should not be forgotten.

The Mississippi Legislature is also to be thanked for their historic and sustained funding of this agency. Since the establishment of the Game and Fish Commission in the days of the Great Depression, the Mississippi Legislature has funded efforts necessary for the wildlife conservation success story of the white-tailed deer.

Mississippi landowners have made deer in the Magnolia State a reality. Without landowner desire to have deer, most agency efforts would have proved ineffective. Those of us who hunt, study, or admire the white-tailed deer truly thank you.

This report would not have been possible without the efforts and cooperation of the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks (MDWFP) Wildlife Bureau technical staff and district field personnel. An extra-special appreciation is extended to Dene Smith for assistance with many aspects of producing and mailing this report. And to Cindy Clark who was responsible for the report design.

Additionally, Mississippi's deer hunters deserve special recognition. Your data collection efforts, concern, and support for white-tailed deer are vital to the success of the White-tailed Deer Program.

Look for this information on www.mdwfp.com/deer. If you have any questions, feel free to contact us.

Cover photo courtesy of Steve Gulledge Photography.

Special thanks and recognition goes out to Bill Lunceford. Bill had the vision and foresight to put the first DMAP Annual Report together in 1988. In 1993 the report changed to the Mississippi Deer Data book. Without Bill's vision of the DMAP program and the Deer Data Book, today's report would not have been possible.

Chad Dacus Deer Program Coordinator

Chris McDonald Regional Deer Biologist

Willia >. TTS=King

William T. McKinley Regional Deer Biologist

Lann Wilf Regional Deer Biologist





A PITTMAN-ROBERTSON FUNDED PROJECT

This report is produced by the Technical Guidelines Project, Statewide Wildlife Development Project and Statewide Wildlife Investigations Project and is primarily funded by Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration.

1

White-tailed Deer Program Report 2006-2007

The first Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP) report was completed in 1982. The DMAP report evolved into the Mississippi Deer Program Report in 1992. Since its inception, the purpose of this report was to consolidate all deer-related information obtained by the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks (MDWFP) personnel. Compilation of these data provides managers the opportunity to analyze trends in deer harvest and physiological condition. In the future, managers will have a chronicled reference to more effectively critique effects of changes in season framework, hunter success, and climatic conditions on the deer population.

Decision makers such as the Mississippi Legislature and the Mississippi Commission on Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks have served the sportsmen of the state well. Deer harvest and management opportunities exist today that were considered far-fetched twenty years ago.

Deer hunting regulations are subject to change each year. The most notable change is the creation of two deer management zones (See page 39).

Annual mail surveys are used to monitor trends in hunter harvest and effort. This report includes mail survey data from the 2005 – 2006 hunting season. Currently, Mississippi State University conducts these mail surveys. Recognizing that biases exist in mail survey data, and assuming all biases remain constant, the estimates obtained from the survey provide adequate indices for monitoring harvest and effort trends.

The MDWFP began using a new computer summary program (XtraNet) in 2004 – 2005. Data from 2001 – 2007 was analyzed using XtraNet, while data prior to 2001 was analyzed using DeerTrax, the old computer summary program. This may be the cause for drastic differences in some numbers. Once all of the historic data is entered into the XtraNet system, the numbers are expected to fall along the same trend and eliminate the drastic drop in the graphs and tables. Additionally, all DMAP summary tables and graphs now include harvest reports from WMAs that collect deer harvest data.

Sample methods were unchanged for the following data sets:

- · Hunter effort and harvest information collected on state-operated WMAs
- · Employee observations of deer mortality due to motor vehicle collisions
- · Enforcement Bureau monitoring of deer hunting-related citations
- Deer research projects conducted in cooperation with Mississippi State University Forest and Wildlife Research Center

Department wildlife biologists continued to inform and educate sportsmen relative to deer management needs and issues. Our goals are to provide insight into current deer management needs while providing the leadership to identify and guide future issues. All known media sources were utilized in this process. In addition, public presentations were made to hunting, civic, and conservation groups throughout the state. This report captures a portion of the informational and educational efforts.



Swayze Bozeman, with his dad Harvey, harvested these two deer on a DMAP property in Madison County.

Wildlife Technical Staff Directory

Administrative & Office Staff



Larry Castle Wildlife Director 601.432.2196 larryc@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Ronald S. Seiss Assistant Wildlife Director 601.432.2213 ron.seiss@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Dene Smith Administrative Assistant 601.432.2300 dene@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Lands Coordinator 601.432.2202 johnt@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Jason Price GIS Coordinator 601.432.2123 jasonp@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Charlie "Buck" Welch GIS Specialist 601.432.2122 charliew@mdwfp. state.ms.us

DEER PROGRAM



Chad M. Dacus Coordinator 601.432.2177

chad.dacus@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Chris **McDonald** 601.757.2313 chrismcd@

hughes.net

William T.

McKinley 662.582.6111 williamm@mdwfp.

state.ms.us



Lann M. Wilf 662.299.1454 lannw@mdwfp.

PRIVATE LANDS HABITAT PROGRAM

state.ms.us

MIGRATORY GAME BIRD PROGRAM



Scott Baker 601.432.2241 scottb@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Kevin Brunke 601.432.2242 kevinb@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Houston **Havens** 662.299.0273

houston_havens@ yahoo.com

WILD TURKEY PROGRAM



Dave Godwin Coordinator 662.325.5119

dgodwin@cfr. msstate.edu



Joe Koloski NWTF/MDWFP Co-op Biologist 601.824.2933 jkoloskinwtf@yahoo. com



Scott Edwards 662.325.7490

sedwards@cfr msstate.edu



John Gruchy 662.274.1050

john.gruchy@

gmail.com

601.408.3399 wrwalsh@ gmail.com

Russ Walsh





Rick Hamrick 662.320.9345 rickh@mdwfp. state.ms.us

Wildlife Technical Staff Directory

WMA PROGRAM



Randy Spencer WMA Coordinator 601.432.2198 randys@mdwfp. state.ms.us



Jeff Mangrum Mitigation Lands Coordinator 601.737.5831 owma@bellsouth.net



Jackie Fleeman 662.873.3497 jfleeman@ bellsouth.net



Jerry Hazlewood 662.423.1455 mdwfp@avsia.com



Brad Holder 662.832.2110 bdholder@ hotmail.com



Josh Moree 601.835.3050 jlmoree@gmail.com



a.gary@gmail.com

601.540.5445 rogertankesly@ gmail.com

mumbower@

gmail.com

662.325.7490

jwillcutt@cfr. msstate.edu stephenchandler@

cableone.net

mattbrock02@

yahoo.com

Wildlife Management Areas



Wildlife Management Areas 2006-2007

summary of Wildlife Management Area (WMA) deer harvest and hunter activity is presented As figure 1. The majority of data was collected from self-service permit stations. Mandatory check-in and harvest reporting is required from all hunters on all WMAs.

Throughout the year, conservation officers monitor compliance of hunters checking-in on WMAs. Differences in compliance rates among WMAs are seen each year. These differences are mainly due to the degree of hunter acceptance of the check-in system. Some conservation officers assigned to WMAs have informed hunters of the importance of accurate check-in more than officers on other areas. Also, some officers have enforced the mandatory check-in regulation more diligently. The size of a WMA and control of hunter access also affects compliance rates.

Some WMAs provide very restrictive hunting opportunities due to area size, habitat type, and management objectives. The location and soil region in which a WMA lays impacts deer productivity. Because of these factors, as well as other unique differences between areas, caution should be exercised in comparing data between WMAs (Table 1 on page 7).

Hunter man-days for the 2006 – 2007 season increased slightly from last year by approximately 8,200 man-days. The previous four seasons showed a decline in hunter effort from average as depicted in Figure 1. Reasons for these decreases vary. Hurricane Katrina certainly decreased hunter activity, as did the increase in fuel prices that followed the hurricane in 2005 – 2006. Hunter opportunity has generally remained stable or increased on most WMAs; therefore, opportunity is not likely a causative factor of this decrease. Conservation officers report an apparent statewide decline in hunter numbers as well as hunter time spent in the field. This perceived trend seems to be applicable on private and public hunting acreage.

However, while hunter effort increased, the total harvest remained stable from last season (Figure 1). The 2006 - 2007 season was the third and fourth seasons that many WMAs had a minimum inside spread restriction for legal bucks. WMAs with spread restrictions are noted on Table 1 by an . The harvest should continue to increase for a few years before leveling off.

However, an increase in harvest can only be expected if hunter effort remains constant or increases.

Average success rate also increased across WMAs. Therefore, the increased harvest may in addition be partially attributed to increased deer populations on the WMA system. Other behavioral changes within the deer herd are also likely culprits in the increased harvest.

Figure 2 illustrates the location of WMAs in the state. For a list of WMAs in the state see the Wildlife Management Area information table on page 6.





3

Wildlife Management Area Information 2006-2007

Wildlife Management Area	Acreage	Nearest Town	Contact Person	Phone Number
1. Bienville	26,136	Morton	Clayton Lott	601-469-5993
2. Black Prairie	5,673	Brooksville		662-272-8303
3. Calhoun County	10,900	Calhoun City	Donnie Cain	662-628-6328
4. Canal Section	26,000	Fulton	Clark Adams	662-862-2723
5. Caney Creek	28,000	Forest	Art Bradshaw / Gary Crumpton	601-537-3555
6. Caston Creek	29,875	Meadville	A.J Smith	601-384-3606
7. Chickasaw	27,259	Houston	Matt Gray / Doug Swords	662-447-0141
8. Chickasawhay	122,740	Laurel	Ronnie Hurst / Jay Landrum	601-344-0600
9. Choctaw	24,314	Ackerman	John Taylor	662-285-6928
10. Copiah County	6,583	Hazlehurst	Allen Patrick	601-277-3636
11. Divide Section	15,337	Iuka	David Overby / Tim Ryan	662-423-1455
			Bobby Young	
13. Hamer	4,000	Sardis	Walt Hardy	662-563-6330
14. Hell Creek	2,284	New Albany	Steve Coleman / Jack Griffin	662-685-4508
			John Tigner	
16. John W Starr	8,244	Starkville	Wayne Gordon	662-840-5172
17. Lake George	8,383	Holly Bluff	Scottie Jones	662-828-3449
18. Leaf River	42,000	Wiggins	Le Don Cooley	601-598-2323
19. Leroy Percy	1,642	Hollandale	Scottie Jones	601-859-3421
20. Little Biloxi	14,540	McHenry	Dwight Morrow	601-928-3720
21. Mahannah	12,675	Redwood	Lee Harvey	601-636-2045
			Dale Adams / Shannon Chunn	
23. Marion County	7,200	Columbia	Danny Stringer	601-736-0066
			Ted Hooper	
			Scottie Jones	
2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Larry Waddell	
			Randy Akins / Brent Baucum	
	· ·		Robbie Kiihnl	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Patrick Rush	
30. Pascagoula River	37,124		Ben Hare	
			Michael Everett	
	,		Nathaniel Emerson	
			Doyce Bond	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Mark Reid	
			Vic Theobold	
-		-	Michael Thompson	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Scottie Jones	
			Bobby Hodnett / Jason Kerr	
			Brian Gordon	
			Wayne Gordon	
			Jimmy Drewery	
		•	Scottie Jones	
			Bobby Young	
			Lynn McCoy	
			Ricky McDaniel	
45. Yockanookany	2,379	McCool	Brad Holder	662-563-6330

Wildlife		Total		Buck		Doe		Total	Mandays/	Mandays/
Management Area	Acreage	Harvest	Acres/Deer	Harvest	Acres/ Buck	Harvest	Acres/Doe	Mandays	Deer	Acre
Bienville*	25,300	74	342	54	469	20	1,265	1,924	26	0.08
Black Prairie	5,825	29	201	7	832	22	265	103	4	0.02
Calhoun County*	9,888	57	173	36	275	21	471	1,990	35	0.20
Canal/John Bell	32,500	131	248	83	392	48	677	3,912	30	0.12
Caney Creek*	30,900	63	490	19	1,626	44	702	2,347	37	0.08
Caston Creek*	27,785	44	631	22	1,263	22	1,263	2,887	66	0.10
Chickasaw*	28,319	98	289	50	566	48	590	6,281	64	0.22
Chickasawhay*	35,000	75	467	54	648	21	1,667	2,829	38	0.08
Choctaw*	24,314	111	219	46	529	65	374	5,655	51	0.23
Copiah County*	6,583	77	85	16	411	61	108	729	9	0.11
Divide Section*	15,336	60	256	9	1,704	51	301	2,902	48	0.19
Hamer*	3,909	76	51	28	140	48	81	1,270	17	0.32
Hell Creek	2,500	12	208	4	625	8	313	180	15	0.07
John Starr*	8,244	81	102	33	250	48	172	1,933	24	0.23
Lake George*	8,383	15	559	8	1,048	7	1,198	297	20	0.04
Leaf River*	41,411	65	637	37	1,119	28	1,479	5,794	89	0.14
Leroy Percy*	2,200	10	220	6	367	4	550	554	55	0.25
Little Biloxi*	14,980	19	788	10	1,498	9	1,664	1,995	105	0.13
Lower Pascagoula*	18,735	18	1,041	14	1,338	4	4,684	1,584	88	0.08
Mahannah*	12,675	152	83	46	276	106	120	1,755	12	0.14
Malmaison*	10,016	85	118	24	417	61	164	1,727	20	0.17
Marion County*	7,200	80	90	47	153	33	218	2,101	26	0.29
Mason Creek*	27,346	28	977	23	1,189	5	5,469	1,751	63	0.06
Nanih Waiya	7,655	61	125	16	478	45	170	1,420	23	0.19
Okatibbee	6,883	29	237	9	765	20	344	983	34	0.14
O'Keefe*	6,100	62	98	31	197	31	197	1,825	29	0.30
Old River*	15,042	9	1,671	6	2,507	3	5,014	360	40	0.02
Pearl River	6,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Red Creek*	83,345	17	4,903	16	5,209	1	83,345	4,003	235	0.05
Sandy Creek*	16,407	36	456	22	746	14	1,172	2,628	73	0.16
Shipland*	3,642	28	130	12	304	16	228	840	30	0.23
Stoneville*	2,000	12	167	5	400	7	286	590	49	0.30
Sunflower*	60,115	95	633	49	1,227	46	1,307	3,771	40	0.06
Tallahala*	28,000	74	378	31	903	35	800	1,924	26	0.07
Tuscumbia	2,600	6	433	5	520	1	2,600	252	42	0.10
Twin Oaks*	5,675	70	81	20	284	50	114	980	14	0.17
Upper Pascagoula*		12	1,707	9	2,276	3	6,827	892	74	0.04
Upper Sardis*	42,000	169	249	76	553	93	452	8,995	53	0.21
Ward Bayou*	13,234	2	6,617	2	6,617	0	0	1,112	556	0.08
Wolf River*	10,301	63	164	29	355	34	303	3,035	48	0.29
Yockanookany*	2,483	7	355	1	2,483	6	414	166	24	0.07
TOTAL	731,313	2,212		1,015		1,189		86,276		
AVERAGE	17,837	55	667	25	1,074	30	3,266	2,157	58	0.15

Table 1. Wildlife Management Area Harvest Informationfor the 2006-2007 Season

*****WMA with minimum inside spread criteria for legal bucks.

7

Wildlife Management Area Directory

Northwest



Bobby Young Conservation Officer Upper Sardis



Vic Theobald **Conservation Officer** Sardis Waterfowl



Donnie Cain Conservation Officer Calhoun County



Walt Hardy Conservation Officer Hamer



Dalton Adams Conservation Officer Malmaison



Robbie Kiihnl Conservation Officer O'Keefe



Shannon Chun Wildlife Manager Malmaison

SOUTHWEST



Kallum Herrington WMA Supervisor



Patrick Rush Conservation Officer Old River



AJ Smith Conservation Officer Caston Creek



Ricky McDaniel Conservation Officer Wolf River



Mark Reid Wildlife Manager Sandy Creek



Med Palmer Wildlife Manager Copiah County

WEST CENTRAL



Danny Stringer Conservation Officer Marion County

SOUTHEAST



Dwight Morrow Wildlife Supervisor



Upper Pascagoula



Lynn McCoy

Ward Bayou

Michael Everette Wildlife Manager **Conservation Officer** Lower Pascagoula



Le Don Cooley

Wildlife Manager

Leaf River

Ted Hooper Conservation Officer

Jay Landrum Wildlife Manager Chickasawhay



Ronnie Lee Wildlife Manager Little Biloxi



Bobby Hodnett Conservation Officer Sunflower

Jason Kerr Conservation Officer Sunflower



Mike Thompson Conservation Officer Shipland

Nathaniel Emerson Wildlife Manager



Pearl River

WMA DIRECTORY

8

Wildlife Management Area Directory

Northeast Central



Tim Brinkley Wildlife Supervisor



Conservation Officer

Choctaw

Jack Griffin

Wildlife Manager

Hell Creek



Wayne Gordon Wildlife Manager John Starr Forest



Steve Coleman Conservation Officer Hell Creek



Doug Swords Wildlife Manager Chickasaw



Delta

Scottie Jones WMA Supervisor

Lee Harvey Conservation Officer Mahannah



Northeast

Matt Gray

Conservation Officer

Chickasaw



Doug Epps Wildlife Supervisor



Jimmy Drewery Wildlife Manager Tuscumbia



David Overby Conservation Officer Divide Section



Clark Adams Conservation Officer Divide Section



Tim Ryan Conservation Officer Divide Section



WMA DIRECTORY

John Tigner Wildlife Manager John Bell Williams

EAST CENTRAL



Paul Windham Wildlife Supervisor



Larry Waddell Conservation Officer Nanih Waiya



Randy Akins Conservation Officer Okatibbee



Brent Baucum Wildlife Manager Okatibbee



Clayton Lott Conservation Officer Beinville



Brian Gordon Wildlife Manager Tallahala



Art Bradshaw Conservation Officer Caney Creek



Chickasaw WMA

Bienville WMA Written by: Chad M. Dacus

Bienville WMA is a 31,000 acre area within the Bienville National Forest located north of Morton. For the third year bucks must have 4 or more antler points and an inside spread of 12 inches or more to be legal for harvest and antlerless deer had to weigh at least 65 pounds live

	Harvest	
2004-2005	60	
2005-2006		1,806
2006-2007	74	1,924

weight. The 2006 – 2007 season was the second year where antierless deer were legal for harvest during gun season. Antierless deer were legal for harvest on the 2 statewide doe days.

Deer harvest numbers consisted of 54 bucks and 20 does. Total harvest decreased by 15% from last year but hunter effort increased by 107%.

Habitat conditions on Bienville WMA improved over the years due to management for the Red-cockaded woodpecker, which is an endangered species that resides on the WMA. However, Hurricane Katrina damaged much of the hardwoods along creeks across the area. The MDWFP has proposed new openings in timber thinning/harvest areas which will provide additional food sources for wildlife.

As deer populations continue to grow in response to habitat improvements on the area, it has become necessary to increase antlerless hunting opportunities. For the 2007-2008 season, antlerless hunting opportunities on Bienville WMA will include archery season, primitive weapon season, and during the still the gun without dogs season (December 15 - 23). This is the first year for antlerless opportunity during the gun without dogs season.

Black Prairie WMA Written by: Jerry Hazlewood

Black Prairie WMA offers a lottery draw hunt that has provided a very high success rate during the past several years. Hunter effort and harvest were both significantly lower than previous years, with a harvest this year of 7 bucks and 22 does. Overall harvest, doe harvest, and buck harvest decreased 46% each. Man-days of effort decreased 73% but harvest success doubled from 14% in 05-06 to 28% in 06-07. There were no significant changes in deer hunting regulations, opportunity, or bag limits to account for the decrease in man-days of effort. We offer two possible reasons for the decline. Because the application process was available online for the first time this past season, many hunters may have applied due to the ease of the application process but their desire to hunt the area was limited. The second possible explanation is that unseasonably warm, dry winter discouraged hunters from hunting.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	53	
2005-2006	54	
2006-2007		

Doe body weights were higher than the 5 year average but lactation rates were somewhat lower . Hunters who desire a quality buck are passing up young bucks and waiting for an opportunity to harvest a mature buck; therefore, fewer yearling bucks are being harvested. The result is an increase in buck quality because bucks are allowed to grow older. Habitat quality is maintained by keeping the deer population below carrying capacity, planting supplemental food plots, in addition to planting summer agriculture crops on approximately 1,600 acres.

Calhoun County WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Calhoun County WMA is a 10,900-acre area located near Bruce, MS in Calhoun County. This area is unique because it offers extensive opportunity to those who hunt deer with dogs. Deer man-days increased by 29% compared to the 2005-2006 season. Harvest on the WMA continues to be skewed towards bucks. Thirty-six bucks and 21 does were harvested this past

season. We would like to see more does than bucks and 21 does were narvested tins past doe weights and lactation were down 5-15% for 1.5 and 2.5 year old classes compared to past seasons. However, buck weights for 3.5 and 4.5+ year old classes increased slightly, although data was from a small sample size. Antler indices increased slightly for all age classes during the 2006-2007 season. Weights and lactation rates for 3.5+ does increased significantly. Again, sample sizes were low (3 does). Those who hunt deer without dogs continue to find hunting

	Harvest	
2004-2005	40	
2006-2007		

conditions less favorable in the aging pine stands on Calhoun County WMA. The canopy closure occurring in the mid-rotation pine stands and 2-4 year old clearcuts on most of the WMA are making it harder to encounter deer. However, timber thins and small clear-cuts continue to improve habitat to an extent. The logging decks and lanes provide additional areas that can be planted or maintained as openings. Acorn production was low on the area. Food plots were slow to develop until cooler temperatures and increased rainfall arrived during November.

Canal Section and John Bell Williams WMAs Written by: Jerry Hazelwood

Canal Section WMA (32,500 ac.) and John Bell Williams WMA (3,000 ac.) share common boundaries and harvest data is combined. These areas stretch approximately 54 linear miles along the west side of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway from MS Hwy. 4 at Bay Springs Lake to 5 miles south of MS Hwy. 45 at Aberdeen. These WMAs lie in Tishomingo, Prentiss, Itawamba, and Monroe counties.

During the past deer season, a total of 3,912 man-days were recorded for deer hunting with a harvest of 131 deer, consisting of 83 bucks and 48 does. The majority of usage and harvest occurred during the gun seasons with 2003 man-days and 55 bucks harvested (doe harvest was not allowed during gun season). The man-day usage total increased 25% while harvest increased 96%. There were no changes in regulations or habitat to explain these increases.

Antlered buck harvest criteria and bag limit are the same as statewide. Approximately 250 acres of the area is handicapped hunting only, 200 acres is archery only and 100 acres is primitive weapon only for deer hunting.

The WMAs have 164 winter food plots and 79 summer food plots. The winter food plots on the area did not do well due to late acquisition of seed and fertilizer which led to late planting dates with little or no seed bed preparation. Acorn production throughout the WMA was very poor.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	133	
2005-2006	67	3,140
2006-2007	131	

Caney Creek WMA Written by: Chad M. Dacus

Caney Creek WMA is a 31,000 acre area within the Bienville National Forest located near Forest. For the third year bucks must have 4 or more antler points and an inside spread of 12 inches or more to be legal for harvest and antlerless deer had to weigh at least 65 pounds live weight. The 2006 – 2007 season was the second year that antlerless deer were legal for harvest during gun season. Antlerless deer were legal for harvest on the 2 statewide doe days

Deer harvest numbers consisted of 19 bucks and 44 does. Total harvest decreased by 21% from last year and hunter effort decreased slightly. This is the fourth year in a row that reported hunter effort and harvest has decreased. This could be attributed to an actual de-

	2005-2006	Harvest 111 	2,371
--	-----------	--------------------	-------

crease in effort or hunters are becoming more apathetic in regards to filling out permit cards when hunting on the area. Hunter apathy can also account for the reduction in reported deer harvested.

Historically, antlerless harvest was by permit only during the gun seasons and during archery and primitive weapon seasons. This year antlerless deer were legal for harvest on the 2 statewide doe days.

During the 2007 - 2008 season, the antlerless opportunity during gun season will be available during archery, primitive weapon seasons and during the gun without dogs season (December 15 - 23). This is the first year for antlerless opportunity during the gun without dogs season.

Measures are being taken to improve habitat conditions on the area. The U. S. Forest Service conducted timber harvest operations on Caney Creek WMA and continue spring prescribed burns, which should increase available browse for deer and other wildlife. As a result of the timber harvest operation, the MDWFP will be allowed to maintain several areas as permanent wildlife openings, which will improve habitat conditions on the area for years to come.

Caston Creek WMA Written by: Josh Moree

Caston Creek WMA is a 27,785-acre WMA located within the Homochitto National Forest. Total reported deer harvest decreased 28% for the 2006-2007 hunting season compared to the 2005-2006 hunting season, with 44 deer harvested, which consisted of 22 bucks and 22 does. Total reported man-days for deer hunting increased by 7% compared to the previous season.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005		
2005-2006	61	
2006-2007		2,887

The increase in man-days was likely due to conditions returning to normal after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. A 12-inch minimum inside spread regulation has been in effect on Caston Creek WMA for three hunting seasons. The buck harvest decreased 39% from the 2005-2006 buck harvest.

Chickasaw WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Chickasaw WMA is a 28,000-acre area located within the Tombigbee National Forest near Houston, MS in Chickasaw County. Deer hunting activity increased by 9% compared to the 2005-2006 season; however, harvest decreased by 65%. A total of 50 bucks and 48 does were harvested which is a 9-season low. This past season's buck:doe harvest ratio was almost even and much improved compared to the 87 bucks and 64 does harvested during the 2005-2006 season. When comparing the 2006-2007 season to past seasons, harvest weights for bucks increased 10-22% for all but the 2.5 year old age class. Antler indices increased for all age classes as well. Doe weights increased 5-12% for all age classes. Lactation rates increased significantly for 2.5 and 3.5+ year old does when compared to past seasons. Although harvest hit a 9-year low, herd health indices continue to improve which indicates a better managed herd. Winter food plots planted in

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005		6,317
2005-2006	151	5,732
2006-2007	98	6,281

clovers, oats, and wheat responded to cooler temperatures and increased rainfall in late fall and early winter. Deer used food plots early and often due to the below average acorn crop. However, above average fall and winter temperatures served to decrease deer movements. Antler measurements appear to be increasing when compared to past seasons. Sportsmen and women continue to look forward to the potential that more progressive antler criteria such as the 12-inch inside spread rule will unlock.

Chickasawhay WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Chickasawhay WMA is a large U.S. Forest Service area spanning across 122,153 acres in Jones and Wayne Counties. The fire maintained pine stands combined with scattered creeks and drains on the area attract many outdoor types. As with other southern WMAs, Katrina dampened Chickasawhay man-days during the 2005-2006 season. However, improved accessi-

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	66	
2005-2006	34	2,129
		2,829

bility to the area and more hunters having the ablility to go afield surged numbers for 2006-2007. The drought from the spring and summer months provided a lower than average mast crop across the area. Increased sunlight from downed and logged timber should provide more browse for deer this summer. The surge in hunters increased man-days by 25% to 2,829. Harvest showed a strong increase of 55% to 75. Harvest included 54 bucks and 21 does. Work continues on the WMA to improved accessibility for the upcoming season.

Choctaw WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Choctaw WMA is a 24,500-acre area located within the Tombigbee National Forest near Ackerman, MS in Choctaw County. Deer were harder to come by on Choctaw WMA during the 2006-2007 season. Hunter effort was up significantly (48%) from the 2005-2006 season although harvest remained about the same. An increase in man-days may be attributed to continued visits from south Mississippi hunters still displaced from the effects of Hurricane Katrina. Harvest comprised 46 bucks and 65 does this past season. Buck weights were up by 4% when compared to past seasons, but doe weights and lactation were down, particularly among 2.5 year old class, by 10-43%. These

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	106	
2006-2007	111	5,655

numbers along with a large percentage (58%) of 3.5+ year old does in the 2006-2007 total doe harvest indicate overpopulation. The acorn crop was less than desirable and caused deer to use food plots early and often. Habitat quality on the WMA continues to improve following timber management by the U.S. Forest Service and their prescribed burning program. The majority of the food plots continue to be maintained in wheat, oats, crimson clover, and arrowleaf clover mixtures which are better adapted to soil conditions on the WMA.

Copiah County WMA Written by: Josh Moree

Copiah County WMA is comprised of 6,583 acres owned by the State of Mississippi.

Total reported man-days for deer hunting decreased 34% compared to the previous season. Total reported deer harvest decreased by 3 deer for the 2006-2007 deer hunting season compared to the previous season. A total of 77 harvested deer were reported, which consisted

of 16 bucks and 61 does. Buck harvest decreased 54% compared to the previous season. A 12-inch minimum inside spread regulation has been in effect on Copiah County WMA since the 2004-2005 hunting season. The purpose of this regulation is to protect 1.5 year old bucks from harvest. The regulation has been successful. Young bucks have been protected, hunters have reported more buck observations, and age of harvested bucks has increased.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	73	
2005-2006	08	
2006-2007	77	729

Divide Section WMA Written by: Jerry Hazelwood

Divide Section WMA (15,300 ac.) lies along both sides of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway from the northwest side of Bay Springs Lake northward to MS Hwy. 25 near Pickwick Lake. A small portion of the area is in Prentiss County and the remainder is in Tishomingo County. This WMA annually undergoes intense habitat management in order to increase the value to wildlife and provide a quality hunting

Season 2004-2005		Man-days 2.479
2005-2006 2006-2007	61	2,389

experience. The WMA has 141 winter food plots and 78 summer food plots. The food plots range in size from one-half acre to one acre. Approximately one-third of the WMA is spoil area, which is material excavated during the construction of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway. This acreage is very poor soil still in early stages of plant succession.

Divide Section WMA is a primitive weapons only area for deer with a season bag limit of two antlerless deer and one legal antlered buck. Regulations state that a buck must have 4 or more antler points and an inside spread of 12 inches or more to be legal for harvest. Antlerless deer

Season

must weigh at least 65 pounds live weight. Approximately 950 acres of this area is devoted to youth and handicapped deer hunting. Youth and handicapped hunters may use modern firearms.

The buck harvest was 9 in 2006-2007, which was a decrease of 1 from the 2005-2006 season. The antierless harvest was 51, exactly the same as last season. Man-days for deer hunting increased 21%.

The winter food plots on the area did not do well due to late acquisition of seed and fertilizer which led to late planting dates with little or no seed bed preparation. Acorn production throughout the WMA was very poor.

Hamer WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Hamer WMA is a 4,000-acre area located near Sardis, MS in Panola County. The 2006-2007 season marked the third deer season on the area. The WMA provides mostly bow hunting opportunity due to its layout of small upland woodlots. Man-days decreased slightly when

compared to the 2005-2006 season. Twenty-eight bucks and 48 does were harvest during the 2006-2007 season. Buck harvest decreased by 45% from the 2005-2006 season. This was expected due to the large buck harvest (53) during the 2005-2006 season. Warmer than average fall and winter temperatures served to decrease deer activity during the daytime. Doe weights from the 2006-2007 season decreased for 2.5 and 3.5+ year old classes by 10% when compared to the previous 2 seasons. Lactation rates for the previously mentioned age classes decreased by 33% and 48%. Older does (3.5+) made up 43% of the total doe harvest which continues to indicate a large deer herd. Signs of browse pressure on native vegetation and agricultural crops support this. Buck weights and antler measurements increased slightly when compared to the 2007-2008 season on the WMA. A minimum inside spread of 15 inches or a minimum main beam length of 18 inches should protect younger, better quality bucks which should ensure more sightings of better deer in the future. A less than favorable acorn crop was offset by winter food plot plantings of clover, wheat, and oats and by the large agricultural fields on the area that were planted in wheat. Habitat conditions should continue to improve with large scale prescribed burning.

Hell Creek WMA Written by: Jerry Hazlewood

Deer hunting opportunity on this area is by draw only. Deer hunting activity and harvest on Hell Creek WMA decreased compared to recent hunting seasons. Man-day usage decreased 45% from last season. There were no significant changes in deer hunting regulations, opportunity or bag limits to account for the decrease in man-days of effort. We offer two possible reasons for the decline. Because the application process was available online for the first time

	Harvest	Man-days
2006-2007	12	

Harvest

2004-200514N/A

Man-days

this past season, many hunters may have applied due to the ease of the application process, but their desire to hunt the area was limited. The second possible explanation is that unseasonably warm, dry winter discouraged hunters from hunting.

The deer harvest of 12 deer (4 bucks, 8 does) was a 25% decrease from last year, but the overall success rate increased from 9% to 12%. Body weights were average for this area during 2006-2007. Habitat management efforts to improve 400 acres of mid-rotation pine plantations by drastically thinning the stands should be beneficial to white-tailed deer on Hell Creek WMA. Much of the open farmland is leased to local farmers and the extreme drought conditions during the early summer of 2007 have limited production of soybeans and corn and could impact the availability of deer food on Hell Creek WMA.

John Starr Forest WMA Written by: Brad Holder

John Starr Forest WMA is an 8,244-acre area located near Starkville, MS in Oktibbeha County. Deer harvest continues to remain fairly consistent on the area. Thirty-three bucks and 48 does were harvested this past season. Man-days were up 35% from last year. This was probably due to continued visits from Gulf Coast hunters displaced from the impacts of

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	08	
2005-2006		
2006-2007		
		,

Hurricane Katrina. Harvested deer weights and doe lactation were down compared to last season. Weights and lactation rates for 2.5 year old does decreased by 9% and 71%. Weights and lactation rates for 3.5+ year old does were similar in pattern but decreases were not as drastic. Buck weights and antler measurements were similar to past seasons with a slight increasing trend for 3.5 year old bucks. Acorn production on the area was similar to many other parts of the state with low abundance causing deer to hit clover, oats, and wheat plots early and often. Food plots were slow to get started but began to grow well when temperatures cooled and rainfall increased in late fall and early winter. The development of new food plots, pine timber management in the form of prescribed burning and thinning, and increased harvest should help to increase health indices of the deer herd.

Lake George WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Lake George WMA is an 8,383-acre tract consisting primarily of 15 year-old replanted bottomland hardwood timber. The 2006 – 2007 season was the fourth year requiring legal bucks to have a 15-inch minimum inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Both of these regulations appear to be supported by the majority of the deer hunters in the area. Nineteen of these special buck tags were given out for use on Lake George WMA, and none were turned in as being used. Deer hunting man-days increased from 286 in 2005 – 2006 to 297 in 2006 – 2007. Buck harvest increased from 7 to 8, and doe harvest remained at 7. Body weights were excellent on bucks and does, and antler indices were outstanding as well. Buck harvest consisted of 2½, 3½, and 4½ year-old bucks.

Season 2004-2005	Harvest	
2005-2006	14	
2006-2007	15	

Rainfall was consistent until late summer and early fall, which resulted in high browse availability. Mast crop production was good where available, but most of the trees were not old enough to produce mast. Warm weather and abundant food limited deer movement during much of the winter. This area has a fairly low deer density, but the herd is growing in numbers and in buck guality, which is due to excellent deer habitat.

Leaf River WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Leaf River is one of, if not the most, storied WMAs in Mississippi. The rich history and excellent hunting make this area a popular draw for south Mississippi hunters. The 41,411-acre WMA, located in Perry County, is a mix of fire-maintained pine stands and scattered creeks and drains. Reported man-days for Leaf River WMA rose by 34% to 5,794 this season. Most

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	111	6,881
2005-2006	41	
		5,794

of the area was accessible to hunting after much effort to get roads cleaned and food plots planted. Harvest was also up from 41 to 65 (37%), with 37 bucks and 28 does harvested. The creation of new openings from Hurricane Katrina coupled with fresh burned areas should provide excellent browse this year. Conditions will further improve with the recent addition of a new wildlife manager.

Leroy Percy WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Leroy Percy WMA is located about 5 miles west of Hollandale on MS Hwy 12. Only primitive weapons and archery equipment are allowed for deer hunting. Deer harvest consisted of 6 bucks and 4 does, which is down slightly from 5 bucks and 7 does harvested during the 2004 – 2005 season. This was the fourth year that regulations required legal bucks to have a minimum 15-inch inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Nineteen tags were issued and no tags were turned in as being used. Hunting pressure this season was up slightly at 554 man-days compared to 472 man-

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	8	
2005-2006		
2006-2007		

days last season. Deer body weights declined slightly. Lactation rates for 3¹/₂+ year-old does were low at 50%; however, this sample size was small. Buck indices declined slightly but are also based on a small sample size. Average rainfall during summer and fall resulted in good browse conditions. The amount of browse is diminishing due to shading caused by canopy closure. Some timber harvest in the form of thinning is needed. Acorn production was fair. The mild winter resulted in limited deer movement which caused a reduction in deer sightings.

Little Biloxi WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

The 15,622-acre Little Biloxi WMA is a popular hunting destination for many coastal county residents. Access to roads and food plots across the hurricane ravaged area was much improved for the 2006-2007 season. Man-days showed a significant increase of 66% (1,995) over the previous hunting season. Reported harvest also increased to pre-hurricane numbers with 10 bucks and 9 does harvested. Conditions will continue to improve on the WMA with the recent addition of a wildlife manager.

	Harvest	
2004-2005	19	2,713
2005-2006	6	
2006-2007	19	1,995

Mahannah WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Mahannah WMA is a 12,675-acre area located approximately 12 miles north of Vicksburg. Deer hunting is by permit only except for the January archery hunt which is open to the public. This was the fourth year under the regulations that required legal bucks to have a 15-inch minimum inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Four hundred twenty-four of these special buck tags were issued on Mahannah WMA and sixteen were turned in as being used. Both of these regulations appear to be supported by the majority of deer hunters on the area. Deer man-days decreased slightly to 1,755. Deer harvest increased to 152. Doe harvest increased from 51 to 106 due to hunters being entered in a draw for a special hunt if they harvested an antlerless deer. Buck harvest decreased from 75 to 46. Buck condition indices remained good with weights remaining stable and antler measurements increasing slightly. Lactation rates were very good at 86% for $3\frac{1}{2}$ + year-old does, and 64% in $2\frac{1}{2}$ year-old does. Doe body weights remained stable at 125 pounds for $3\frac{1}{2}$ + year-old does. The percent of $3\frac{1}{2}$ + year-old does in the harvest

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005		1,459
2005-2006	126	
2006-2007		

remained good at 42%. Below normal rainfall for much of the summer and fall resulted in fair browse conditions. Acorn production was poor in most species but good in overcup. The warm weather during much of the winter resulted in limited deer movement which caused a reduction in deer sightings.

A deer herd health evaluation was conducted on Mahannah WMA on February 19, 2007. A total of twelve does were collected with two does being 1.5 years old, and ten does being 2.5 years old or older. Overall, the current herd health indices on Mahannah WMA are below the

expected values for the WMA and the region as a whole. Dressed weight, reproductive potential, and kidney fat indices are all lower than the expected values for the WMA and region. Reproductive timing is slightly late with a mean breeding date of January 6. The reproductive rate is higher than normal. One primary concern is that the range of conception dates was almost 2 months long. Conception dates ranged from December 9 until February 5

The deer herd health evaluation suggests that the decreased antlerless harvest in 2004 and 2005, coupled with the poor browse conditions in the summer and winter of 2006 and the poor acorn production in 2006, has caused a decrease in the overall health of the antlerless population on Mahannah WMA. A selective timber harvest was started in 2006 to increase browse and an intensified antlerless harvest program was begun in 2006 to help in future years of poor habitat conditions. Hopefully, these management practices will reverse the current trends.

Malmaison WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Malmaison WMA is a 9,483-acre area located near Grenada, MS in Grenada and Carroll Counties. Twenty-four bucks and 61 does were harvested during the 2006-2007 season. Man-days were at a 3-season low; however harvest remained similar to last season. Annual harvest continues to follow a decreasing trend particularly when compared to 1997-2005

seasons when doe harvest regularly surpassed triple digits. Man-days have decreased over the past three seasons. Doe weights, particularly in the 2.5 and 3.5+ year old classes, decreased by 7% and 11% compared to past seasons. The lactation rate for 2.5 year old does decreased by 43% when compared to past seasons. Buck weights seem to be stable or increasing in each age class. Deer density appears to be fairly high as indicated by summer browse pressure on

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	118	
2005-2006		

native vegetation and food plots, decreasing doe weights and lactation, and a large percentage of 3.5+ year old does in this past season's total doe harvest. Antler measurements seem to be improved when compared to years past. This is probably due to progressive antler regulations on the area which many hunters support. Acorn production from white and red oaks was average to below average this year. Deer used food plots early and often this past season. Above average winter temperatures seemed to reduce deer movements. Winter food plots developed well following cooler temperatures and increased rainfall in late fall and early winter.

Marion County WMA Written by: Josh Moree

Marion County WMA is comprised of 7,200 acres owned by the State of Mississippi. Total reported deer harvest increased 63% for the 2006-2007 hunting season compared to the 2005-2006 hunting season, with 80 deer harvested consisting of 47 bucks and 33 does. Compared to the 2005-2006 season, buck harvest increased by 35 bucks; however, doe harvest remained stable. The increase in buck

Season 2004-2005		
2005-2006 2006-2007	49	

harvest is largely due to the increase in reported man-days for the 2006-2007 deer season. Also, a 12-inch minimum inside spread regulation was implemented for the first time during the 2005-2006 hunting season. This protected many 1.5 year old bucks that normally would have been harvested under the old 4-point regulation. This protection is the goal of using the 12-inch regulation. Hunters have reported more buck observations and age of harvested bucks has increased.

Total reported man-days for deer hunting increased by 34% compared to the previous season. The increase in man-days was most likely due to more hunters returning to the area after conditions began to return to normal after Hurricane Katrina. Katrina caused extensive timber damage on Marion County WMA. Due to downed timber, access was physically challenging in many parts of the area during the 2005-2006 season. Timber salvage operations prior to the 2006-2007 deer season improved hunter access throughout the area.

Mason Creek WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

The 27,272-acre Mason Creek WMA, located in Greene County, adjoins the larger Chickasawhay WMA to the south. Hunter compliance continued to improve this year with the continued presence of a full-time area manager. While there is no check-in station on Mason Creek,

	Harvest	
2004-2005	21	
2005-2006	19	1,744
2006-2007	28	1,751

hunters are still required to record harvests on the daily permit card. Man-days for the 2006-2007 season increased slightly to 1,751. Reported harvest showed a much improved increase of 32% to 28. Of these, 23 were bucks and 5 were does. In addition to improving hunter compliance, the area manager is working to increase food plot programs on the area.

Nanih Waiya WMA Written by: Jerry Hazlewood

Man-days of deer hunting effort for the 2006-2007 season increased 66% from the previous year. Total deer harvest included 16 bucks and 45 does, very similar to last year's harvest. Harvest success decreased from 6% in 2005-2006 to 4% in 2006-2007.

No significant differences were noticed in the 2006-2007 doe weights compared to the previous five year average. Minimum weight limits for legal harvest were implemented in the 2004 – 2005 season and continue to be effective at limiting the number of fawns harvested. The lactation rate for mature does was 51%, which is the average for the past five years.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	47	1,017
2005-2006	52	
2006-2007	61	1,420

Deer hunting opportunity on the WMA is largely dependent upon rainfall and water levels in the Pearl River. The dry fall and winter experienced during the deer season allowed for good hunter access throughout the WMA. Wind damage to trees from Hurricane Katrina was significant and many access roads were blocked for the 2005-2006 season. The primary road system on the WMA was opened before the beginning of the 2005-2006 deer season, but the

northeastern half of the area remained inaccessible to hunters. However, due to the hard work of WMA personnel and their supervisor, nearly all access trails were opened by the beginning of the 2006-2007 season. Likewise, timber blockages along the length of the Pearl River, within the bounds of the WMA, were removed therefore increasing boat access. The increase in man-days was likely attributable to the increase in hunter access.

After seven hunting seasons on this WMA, deer hunting potential remains largely untapped, particularly in the more remote areas throughout the WMA. The early successional habitat which comprises most of the WMA has provided an abundant food supply for deer. Populations continue to remain at higher levels than when mature hardwood timber dominated the area. The early successional habitat which provides abundant deer forage, however, is quickly changing and will be reaching a closed-canopy stage in 3 - 6 years over most of the WMA. The openings created by Hurricane Katrina in areas with mature hardwoods will provide a short-term increase in the amount of deer browse available. In an effort to manage deer populations, doe harvest opportunity extends throughout the entire length of the deer season.

Okatibbee WMA Written by: Jerry Hazlewood

Man-days increased 117% from the previous year. Total deer harvest was 29, which included 9 bucks and 20 does. This is a 107% increase from the previous year's harvest. Harvest data indicated that doe weights across all age classes were not significantly different from the previous five year average. The lactation rate for mature does was 88%, compared to last year's rate of 67%.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	11	609
2005-2006	14	451
2006-2007		

Hurricane Katrina has had a lasting impact on the WMA. Timber damage from sustained, hurricane-force winds ranged from 5% to 75% of the standing timber. High winds damaged stands of mature, bottomland hardwood more than upland stands of mixed pine and hardwood. Downed timber from the hurricane was scattered throughout much of the WMA, and hunters were unable to access large portions of the area. However, due to the hard work of WMA personnel and their supervisor, nearly all access trails were opened by the beginning of the 2006-2007 season. The increase in man-day usage is most likely due to increased hunter access.

Below average rainfall during the previous spring and early summer limited browse in areas which receive adequate sunlight. Browse pressure on summer food plots was heavy. Winter food plots, however, yielded low returns because of an exceptionally dry fall and winter and late planting dates.

Timber management practices are being implemented to increase production of deer browse. Most of the mature, upland pine stands have been thinned and burned. Most Hurricane Katrina timber salvage efforts are complete. As a result of Katrina, the mature, closed-canopy bottomland hardwood stands which dominated most of the area have had the ecological impact of a timber thin. Although such areas will be difficult for hunters to access, the amount of deer browse generated will continue to provide guality habitat for deer on the WMA.

O'Keefe WMA

Written by: Brad Holder

O'Keefe WMA is a 5.919-acre area located near Lambert, MS in Quitman County, Man-days and harvest have continued to increase on the area over the past 9 seasons. Thirty-one bucks and 31 does were harvested during the 2006-2007 season. Buck weights seem to be stable or

slightly increasing when compared to past seasons. Doe weights and lactation rates decreased 3-11%. Lower doe weights, lactation rates, and a large percentage (52%) of 3.5+ year old does in this past season's harvest indicate an overpopulated deer herd. An average to below average acorn crop on the area may have also contributed to lower weights. This area is surrounded by crop land which provides above average summer and winter forage. Area food plots of clover, oats, and wheat developed well particularly later in the fall and early winter and were used early and often. Hunters indicated lower deer sightings. This was probably due to above average winter temperatures. Hunters continue to support more progressive antler regulations on the area such as the 15-inch minimum inside spread.

Old River WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Old River WMA was in the direct path of Hurricane Katrina as it roared through south Mississippi. It was estimated that 70% of the prime bottomland hardwood on the 15,408-acre area was left a tangled, ravaged mess. Logging operations are still ongoing in an effort to salvage and clean up the area. It will take decades, if not longer, for the area to return to pre-storm conditions. Although access was tough, man-days increased 42% to 360. Harvest also increased fro

rom 5 to	9 (44%) with	6 bucks and 3 does.	The large areas of new g	growth will provide excellent habitat for several years to come.	

Lower Pascagoula River WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Lower Pascagoula River WMA is a mix of bottomland hardwoods traversing along the Pascagoula Basin in Jackson County. Parts of the

Season	Harvest	Man-days	
2004-2005		2,589	
2005-2006	11	1,559	1
2006-2007	18	1,584	
		,	

area were heavily damaged by Hurricane Katrina, with road access being a significant issue. As with other areas, increased sunlight from downed timber will provide excellent browse for several years. The Hurricane Katrina hindered road and water access was greatly improved for the 2006-2007 season. However, man-days only rose a slight 2% to 1,584. Harvest increased 39% to 18, with 14 bucks and 4 does.

Season

2004-2005

2005-2006

2006-2007

Upper Pascagoula River WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Upper Pascagoula River WMA lies within George County, and coupled with Lower Pascagoula, totals 37,124 acres. Continued work by area personnel following Hurricane Katrina improved access and food plot plantings across the area. Although Hurricane Katrina hindered access during the 2005-2006 season, lack of hunter compliance was also a problem. The 2006-2007 hunting season brought additional law enforcement to the area, thus helping to

improve the situation. Reported man-days increased 61% to 892 and harvest increased 100% to 12. Of the 12, 9 were bucks and 3 were does.

Harvest	Man-days
5	
9	
al years to com	10.

	Harvest	
2005-2006	0 12	

Season 2004-2005	Harvest	Man-days
2005-2006	60 62	

Pearl River WMA Written by: Chad M. Dacus

Pearl River WMA is a 6,000 acre area along the Ross Barnett Reservoir north of Hwy. 43 near Canton. There is a 1,500 acre Youth and Handicap Only area within the waterfowl refuge. Regulations state that a buck must have 4 or more antler points to be legal for harvest and ant-

Regulations state that a buck must have 4 or more antier points to be legal for harvest and antlerless deer had to weigh at least 65 pounds live weight. There were no reported deer harvested on the area. This can be directly attributed to no personnel assigned to the WMA.

Habitat conditions on the WMA were favorable for deer with good browse and improvements will continue. A carbon dioxide well was drilled in the Youth and Handicap Only Area in the summer of 2007. As a result of this operation, Denbury Onshore will make improvements to a 30-acre cutover area within Hurricane Lake and along roadsides within this area. Once the drilling is completed, the drill pad will be maintained as a permanent wildlife opening.

Red Creek WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Red Creek WMA is a 91,139-acre area spanning across Stone, George, and Harrison Counties. Akin to Little Biloxi, the area is a popular draw for many coastal county residents. Reported man-days increased a slight 2% to 4,003 in the 2006-2007 season. Reported harvest also increased 47%. Seventeen total deer were reported with 16 bucks and 1 doe. As with

Lower Pascagoula, increased law enforcement in the upcoming season will help to improve hunter compliance	Lower Pascagoula	. increased law enforceme	nt in the upcoming sea	son will help to im	prove hunter compliance.
---	------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------

Sandy Creek WMA Written by: Josh Moree

Sandy Creek WMA is a 16,407-acre WMA located within the Homochitto National Forest. The area manager position on this WMA has remained vacant for the past four years. Only 36 harvested deer were reported during the 2006-2007 hunting season on Sandy Creek WMA

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	32	2,571
2005-2006	37	2,012
2006-2007	36	2,628

(22 bucks and 14 does). Reported harvest decreased by one deer compared to the 2005-2006 hunting season. Total reported man-days increased 31% compared to the 2005-2006 deer hunting season. The increase in man-days was likely due to conditions returning to normal after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Reported deer harvest and man-days are expected to remain low until the area manager position is filled on Sandy Creek WMA.

Sardis Waterfowl WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Sardis Waterfowl WMA is a 2,480 acre-area located north of Oxford, MS in Lafayette County. Sardis Waterfowl WMA's four-day, draw youth hunt affords young hunters a unique opportunity to hunt an unpressured, high density deer herd. Sixteen bucks and 8 does were harvested during the 2006-2007 season. This follows a continued trend of greater buck than doe harvest. Harvest weights for both sexes

as well as lactation rates remain consistently below average for the Upper Coastal Plain soil region by 10-25%. Hunters reported numerous sightings this past season and usually opted to pass up does for a shot at a buck. Low lactation rates, low weights, and numerous deer observations suggest overpopulation. Supplemental plantings like clover, wheat, and oats were cropped low throughout the season by heavy browsing pressure. Acorn production was low on the area. Habitat on the area should see improvement within the next couple of years due to

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005.	42	112
2005-2006.	20	78
2006-2005.	24	

projected pine stand thins and prescribed burning. An additional 2-day hunt has been added for the upcoming 2007-2008 season in hopes of increasing doe harvest. Youth who harvest does during their regular draw hunt will be qualified for the additional draw hunt.

Shipland WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Shipland WMA is the only state-owned land in the Batture soil region. The west boundary is the Mississippi River. Only primitive weapons and archery equipment are allowed for deer hunting. The WMA consists of bottomland hardwood and an approximately 100-acre sandfield. Timber thinning in the recent past has greatly increased the browse and escape cover on the WMA. This was the fourth year that regulations required legal bucks to have a minimum 15-inch inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Nineteen of these special buck tags were issued on Shipland WMA and none were turned in as being used. Both of these regulations appear to be supported by the majority of deer hunters on the WMA. Hunting pressure increased to 840 man-days in 2006 – 2007. Harvest included 12 bucks and 16 does, which was up from 7 bucks and 13 does last season. The harvest consisted of 98% 2½+ year-old bucks. Antler production continued to be good according to harvest data.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	14	1,046
2005-2006	13	715
2006-2007	n/a	n/a

Harvest

Man-days

Season

2006-2007 Mississippi Deer Program Report

2006-2007 WMA Deer Harvest Narratives

Body weights of bucks and does remained stable or increased slightly. Lactation rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ + year-old does was 64%. Mast production on pecan trees was poor. Below normal rainfall during the summer and fall resulted in fair browse conditions. The mild winter resulted in limited deer movement which caused a reduction in deer sightings.

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	10	
2005-2006	20	
2006-2007		

Harvest

Man-days

Stoneville WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Stoneville WMA is located about 4 miles north of Leland, MS. Most of the timber on the area was cut in the mid to late 1990's. This WMA has abundant browse and escape cover. Only primitive weapons and archery equipment are allowed for deer hunting. This was the fourth year under the regulations that required legal bucks to have a minimum 15-inch inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Nineteen of these special buck tags were given out for use on Stoneville WMA and none were turned in as being used. Both of these regulations appear to be supported by the majority of deer hunters on the area. Hunting pressure decreased to 590 man-days in 2006 – 2007. Deer harvest decreased to 12. This harvest

included 5 bucks and 7 does. No other scientific data was collected because no personnel are assigned to this WMA. Below normal rainfall during summer and fall resulted in only fair browse conditions. Acorn production was poor. The mild winter resulted in limited deer movement which caused a reduction in deer sightings.

Sunflower WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Sunflower WMA is a 60,000-acre U.S. Forest Service area in Sharkey County.

This was the fourth year under the regulations that required legal bucks to have a 15-inch minimum inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Two hundred of these special buck tags were issued on Sunflower WMA and six were turned in as being used. Both of these regulations appear to be supported by the majority of deer hunters on the area. Overall, body weight and antler dimension indices remained stable or increased slightly. Below normal rainfall during summer and fall resulted in intermediate browse conditions. The mild winter resulted in limited deer movement

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	119	
2005-2006	146	
	95	

which caused a reduction in deer sightings during the later deer seasons. Acorn production was spotty. Flooding was not a factor in November and December, as it commonly is. Buck harvest decreased from 85 to 49 in 2006 - 2007. Doe harvest decreased from 61 to 46. Mandays were down from 5,123 to 3,771.

Season

A deer herd health evaluation was conducted on Sunflower WMA on February 26-27, 2007. A total of 11 does were collected with one doe being 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ years old and 10 does being 2 $\frac{1}{2}$

years old or older. Overall herd health indices on Sunflower WMA are consistent with the expected values for the WMA and the region as a whole. The only statistics not within expected ranges are the kidney fat index and the conception date. The kidney fat index is 66% of the historical expected value for Sunflower and is 74% of the expected value for the Delta. Reproductive timing is a little late with mean conception occurring around January 5. The range of conception was relatively short and occurred between December 21 and January 15. The reproductive rate and potential are average. The herd health evaluation suggests that harvest on Sunflower WMA has kept the deer population in balance with existing habit conditions, and that the population could be increased. The reduction in the kidney fat index can be attributed to drought stressed browse and a poor mast crop.

Tallahala WMA Written by: Chad M. Dacus

Tallahala WMA is a 28,120 acre area within the Bienville National Forest located near Montrose. For the third year bucks must have 4 or more antler points and an inside spread of 12 inches or more to be legal for harvest and antlerless deer had to weigh at least 65 pounds live weight. The 2006 – 2007 season was the second year that antlerless deer were legal for harvest during gun season. Antlerless deer were legal for harvest on the 2 statewide doe days.

Season		Man-days
2004-2005		2,930
2005-2006	57	2,227
2006-2007	74	1,924

Deer harvest consisted of 31 bucks and 35 does. Total harvest increased 130% from last year and buck harvest increased by 115%. Deer hunters accounted for 1,924 man-days which were down slightly from last year. This decrease in man-days could be due to hunter's reluctance to turn in permit cards at WMA permit stations.

During the 2007 - 2008 season, the antlerless opportunity during gun season will be available during the gun without dogs season (December 15 - 23) along with antlerless opportunity during archery and primitive weapon seasons. This is the first year for antlerless opportunity during the gun without dogs season.

The U.S. Forest Service continues to conduct spring prescribed burns on the WMA. This helps to encourage browse production during the spring and fall.

Tuscumbia WMA Written by: Jerry Hazelwood

Tuscumbia WMA, located in Alcorn County, is a relatively new WMA. The area comprises 2,600 acres and consists primarily of abandoned agricultural fields and beaver slash. The area is comprised of two separate units. The northern unit (1400 ac.) is mainly permanent water and slash, which is not easily accessed and provides little deer habitat. The southern unit

(1200 ac.) has mostly abandoned agricultural fields and seven newly constructed waterfowl impoundments. Both units experience frequent flooding in the winter months.

	Season	Harvest	Man-days
	2004-2005	1 .	
	2005-2006	0 .	61
	2006-2007	6.	
T.			

As part of a waterfowl management strategy, the southern unit (Unit 2) of the area was closed to all hunting for the 2005-2006 season. This season (2006-2007), Unit 2 was opened for draw waterfowl hunting beginning Dec. 16 and archery hunting was allowed Sept. 30

- Nov. 30, which accounted for the majority of the increase in man-days of hunter effort. Deer hunting is not a primary use of the area and only 6 deer (5 bucks, 1 doe) were harvested. Effort and harvest numbers are low due to the relatively small size of the area and limited deer habitat and public access.

Twin Oaks WMA Written by: Jackie Fleeman

Twin Oaks WMA is a 5,675-acre bottomland hardwood area 5 miles southeast of Rolling Fork. Deer hunting is restricted to archery and primitive weapon and is by permit only except for the January archery hunt which is open to the public. This was the fourth year that regulations required legal bucks to have a 15-inch minimum inside spread. Also, hunters could apply for a tag that would allow them to harvest a buck with at least one unforked antler for research purposes. Both of these regulations appear to be supported by the majority of deer hunters on the WMA. Four hundred twenty-four of these special buck tags were issued on Twin Oaks WMA and eight were turned in as being used. Hunter effort decreased to 980 man-days in 2006 – 2007. Buck harvest decreased from 23 to 20. Doe harvest increased from 34 to 50. Buck weights increased or remained stable in all age classes. Antler indices were mixed but were basically unchanged from last year. Doe weights were up slightly in the two and three year old age classes. Lactation rates decreased to 64% in two year olds and older. Below normal rainfall during summer and fall resulted in intermediate browse conditions. Acorn production was poor. The mild winter limited deer movement which caused a reduction in deer sightings during the late season deer hunts.

	Harvest	
2005-2006.	57	1,206
2006-2007 .	70	

A deer herd health evaluation was conducted on Twin Oaks WMA on February20, 2007. A total of 12 does were collected, two of which were 1 ½ years old and 10 were 2 ½ years old or older. Overall herd health indices on Twin Oaks WMA are below the expected values for the WMA and the region as a whole. Dressed weight, reproductive potential and rate, and kidney fat index are all lower than the expected values for the WMA and region. Reproductive timing is

slightly late with a mean conception date of January 12. The range of conception was 52 days long, ranging from December 17 until February 6, with three does bred February 6.

The deer herd health evaluation suggests that the decreased antlerless harvest in 2004 and 2005, poor browse conditions in the summer and winter of 2006, and the poor acorn production in 2006 has caused a decrease in the overall health of the antlerless population on Twin Oaks WMA.

Upper Sardis WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Upper Sardis WMA is a 42,274-acre area located within the Holly Springs National Forest near Oxford, MS in Lafayette County. Mandays increased by 26% from the 2005-2006 season and seem to be holding relatively steady when looking at the past 9 seasons. Harvest decreased by 20% when compared to the 2005-2006 season. Harvest favored does this season and we hope to see this trend continue. Seventy-six bucks and 93 does were harvested. Weights from harvested bucks in the 4.5+ year old class were down by 5%. Doe weights for 2.5+ age classes experienced increases from 4-6% when compared to past seasons.

Lactation decreased by 64% and 24% for 2.5 and 3.5+ year old does when compared to past seasons. Lactation decreased by 64% and 24% for 2.5 and 3.5+ year old does when compared to past season's harvest indicate an overpopulated deer herd on the area. A poor acorn crop in some parts of the WMA may have contributed to lower weights. Planted winter forages like clovers, wheat, and oats benefited from cooler temperatures and increased rainfall amounts later in the fall and

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	188	
2005-2006	212	6,726
	169	

early winter. Food plot plantings also helped to offset low acorn abundance as they were used early and often. Habitats continue to improve on Upper Sardis WMA due to timber management practices such as timber thinnings, limited clearcuts, and burning, which are conducted by the Holly Springs National Forest.

Ward Bayou WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

Ward Bayou WMA is a 13,234-acre parcel of bottomland hardwoods and some upland areas nestled within the Pascagoula River Basin. Many of the low-lying areas are boat accessible through navigable waters off the main river channel. Depending on rainfall and river levels

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005		1,881
2005-2006		
2006-2007	2 .	1,112

during winter, hunting access is hit and miss. Compared to most areas, Hurricane Katrina damage was mild across the WMA. The 1,112 reported man-days were a slight 3% increase over the 2005-2006 season. Harvest was down by one deer with only 2 bucks being reported.

Wolf River WMA Written by: Russ Walsh

The 10,801-acre Wolf River WMA is located in Lamar and Pearl River Counties. The various aged pine plantations and intermittent stream bottoms received substantial damage during Hurricane Katrina. However, the increased available forage from downed timber and logging operations provided above average habitat conditions. While many roads on the area were closed temporarily, MDWFP personnel worked hard to get most of the area ready for

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005.		
2005-2006.		2,484

the 2006–2007 season. Wolf River had a 20% increase in man-days (3,035) over the 2005-2006 season. The reported deer harvest also showed an increase over the previous season. Harvest was comprised of 29 bucks and 34 does.

Yockanookany WMA Written by: Brad Holder

Yockanookany WMA is a 2,379-acre area located in Attala County approximately 12 miles east of Kosciusko. This marked the third year of legal hunting on this WMA. The lack of hunting on this WMA continues to be the main reason for habitat stress and over-population of deer. Deer hunting man-days decreased slightly when compared to the 2005–2006 season. The harvest consisted of 1 buck and 6 does. Doe harvest remains fairly consistent over the past three seasons. Buck harvest has decreased. Doe harvest remains inadequate. The deer

Season	Harvest	Man-days
2004-2005	15	
2005-2006	12	
2006-2007	7	

herd is overpopulated as indicated by large percentages (60-80%) of 3.5+ year old does in the harvest during the past three seasons. Also, doe weights and lactation are below average for the Upper Coastal Plain soil region. The overall harvest was lower than expected, which can be attributed to less than favorable hunting conditions provided by warm fall and winter temperatures and some flood events. Hopefully, next season will provide more quality hunting opportunities on this area resulting in a greater overall deer harvest. An intense antlerless

harvest is needed on the area to balance the number of deer with the available habitat. The hunts on this area were restricted to draw hunts for archery, primitive weapon, and rifle. Draw hunts for archery season have been removed on the WMA for the upcoming 2007-2008 deer season. The WMA consists of bottomland hardwoods of varying ages that produced an average acorn crop this past season which was unavailable at times due to large scale flooding. Habitat conditions should continue to improve on the area with creation of additional food plots and proposed timber thins of selected stands on the area.



Mahannah WMA

2006-2007 Regional Narratives

North Region Written by: William T. McKinley

The North region is experiencing localized deer population explosions. The harvest rate has almost doubled in just five years, according to DMAP harvest. Deer herds that have been afforded protection on the antlerless side are becoming overpopulated. The sentiment against harvesting antlerless deer is still strong in the North region, and is the strongest of any region in the state. Overall, the herd appears healthy. However, site visits to this region have revealed grossly over-populated deer herds on the lands that continue to refrain from antlerless harvest.

The summer drought in 2006 appeared to have little impact on the deer herd. Average lactation rates and body weights on bucks and does showed very little change. In fact, lactation increased slightly to 65%. Average doe body weights remained at 111 lbs. The percent of 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ + year old does in the harvest is increasing, indicating an expanding herd.

Buck harvest is changing due to increasing management. Hunters are realizing that age is a limiting factor in their harvest, and are choosing to let some state-legal bucks go. The percent of 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ + year old bucks in the harvest has increased to 22%, while the percent of 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ year old bucks has decreased to 29%. However, even with the increase in management, the percent of 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ year old bucks in the harvest continues to be higher in the north region than in most of the state.

The late freeze and subsequent drought of 2007 most likely will impact the health of the North region deer herd. The freeze practically eliminated the white oak crop. However, red oaks appear to have a moderate crop. The drought has reduced food resources even further. Harvest should be high in the 2007-2008 season, especially if food plots are established.

West Central Region Written by: Lann M. Wilf

In the West Central Region, the 2006-2007 deer season was relatively successful. Deer were readily seen during cool periods in the late season when rutting and feeding activity were consistent. Several above average bucks were harvested, and overall harvest was fairly high on DMAP clubs and Wildlife Management Areas. Deer harvest was above average when compared to recent years. Increased harvest and deer visibility can primarily be attributed to dry weather throughout most of the summer, which stressed deer browse and limited mast production. Warmer temperatures during most of December inhibited daylight deer movement and reduced the visibility of the rut. However, a fair amount of rutting activity was observed in late January. Hopefully, next season will provide more favorable deer hunting weather during the rut.

Mast crops were heavily impacted by dry conditions in the summer. As a result, acorns and pecans were spotty. The only oaks with a presentable mast crop were water oaks and willow oaks. Some cherrybark oaks, swamp chestnut oaks, and even white oaks produced, but most of these were in creek bottoms that had water throughout summer. Overall, the mast crop in the West Central Region was fair.

The physical condition of deer within the region was down slightly from previous years, especially on areas that had a good fawn crop. Buck and doe body weights were reduced on most properties, which are expected from a drought year. Lactation rates within the region were reduced by the drought in most cases, but areas with a lower deer density had a decent fawn crop.

Overall, food plots did well this year. Food plot use was higher than the previous couple of years because of lower mast success and reduced quality of available winter browse. Based on food plot exclosures, use seemed to peak in late December and January.

Anticipation is high for the 2007-2008 season due to the return of regular rainfall in early July. Last year's drought had reduced deer body conditions and antler production going into this year. However, recent rains have improved the quality of summer browse and set acorn crops that were weeks away from being lost. These rains are good news for lactating does, fawns that are hitting the ground in July, and bucks that are growing antlers.

So far this year, the most detrimental factor for mast production was the freeze that occurred in early April. This freeze completely decimated white oak mast production in the northern part of the region. However, the impact to red oaks may have been minimal. South of the freeze line, we expect most of the mast crop to be exceptional, although much can change between July and October

North Central Region Written by: William T. McKinley

The North Central region experienced one of the best deer seasons in recent history. Harvest on DMAP properties increased to 1 deer per 97 acres, with over 60% of the harvest being does. Mature buck harvest (4 ½+ year olds) continues to increase and was 25% of the total buck harvest. Total harvest on Wildlife Management Areas also increased. Overall, the herd appears relatively healthy. However, localized areas are still over-populated and are in desperate need of a change in management.

The summer drought in 2006 appeared to have a minimal negative impact on the north central region deer herd. Average body weights for does decreased by 1 - 3 pounds, with the average mature doe weighing 110 pounds. Lactation rates remained constant from the previous season. The percent of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ year old does in the harvest is increasing, indicating an expanding herd.

The mast crop was very low, due mainly to the summer drought. This caused deer to move more, thus increasing harvest opportunity. Rains came just at food plot planting time, resulting in very productive food plots.

The late freeze of 2007 will affect the northern counties of the North Central Region. Counties such as Yalobusha, Calhoun, Chickasaw, and Monroe can expect white oak acorns to be spotty at best. However, red oaks appear to have a moderate crop. Counties and portions of

2006-2007 Regional Narratives

counties below the freeze line appear to have good white oak and red oak crops this year. The drought of 2007 was broken in July and has resulted in abundant growth in natural foods

East-Central Region Written by: Chad M. Dacus

Harvest reports from DMAP clubs and WMAs remained stable when compared to last season. Reported harvest was down 13% from the 2004 – 2005 to 2005 – 2006 season. This decline in deer harvest may have been a direct result of the effects of Hurricane Katrina. If this was the case, harvest should have returned to pre-Katrina numbers. The habitat damage may have hurt the deer population numbers in some localities.

However, on most lands hunters are seeing just as many deer, if not more than ever. So over-harvest is not an issue on most properties. There is still a concern of poor reporting of harvest on WMAs. Man-days have decreased, but this is not the reason for the decreased harvest. WMA hunters have become apathetic in regards to checking in deer and reporting their harvest. Also, due to decreased man-power on this region's WMAs, hunters do not feel the need to report/check-in deer at check stations.

Complaints from crop depredation decreased slightly this year. Depredation permits were issued in 4 counties (Lauderdale, Newton, Simpson, and Smith). Complaints from sub-divisions and small towns remained high this year. With new sub-divisions being constructed in the Jackson Metro area, these complaints will continue to rise. Also, as municipalities outlaw bow hunting within city limits, these complaints will be harder to deal with in the future.

Reports of HD/Bluetongue increased from last year. HD/Bluetongue was found in 4 counties in east-central Mississippi. However, the numbers of affected deer were much higher than last year. On some properties, mortality due to HD/Bluetongue was extremely high. Samples were taken from hunter harvested and road killed deer for chronic wasting disease testing. No occurrence of the disease was found.

Southwest Region Written by: Chris McDonald

For the second consecutive year, environmental conditions were dry going into the hunting season. Limited rainfall during the summer decreased the amount of quality deer browse. Acorn crop was fair to good across the region, but mostly fair. Utilization of food plots by deer was high throughout the hunting season due to limited browse caused by drought. Hunters reported good success on food plots. Even though environmental conditions were not optimum, body weights of harvested deer during the 2006-2007 hunting season were consistent with the past 5 years.

Cold weather was sporadic throughout the hunting season. However, due to limited food sources, harvest success was good. This can be seen in harvest numbers reported by DMAP clubs in the region. The number of harvested deer reported by DMAP clubs was the second highest in the past five years. DMAP clubs once again harvested more does than bucks (1.6 does per buck). Overall, DMAP clubs in the region do a good job of letting bucks get to an older age before they are harvested. The majority of bucks harvested in the region are 3 ½ years old and older, with many 5 ½ year old bucks harvested. Deer herds continue to exceed the carrying capacity of the habitat on most properties in the western portion of the region.

Reports of hemorrhagic disease throughout the region increased this year. This was expected because reports of hemorrhagic disease have been limited over the past three years. Samples were collected once again for Chronic Wasting Disease. All samples tested negative for the disease and Chronic Wasting Disease has not been found in Mississippi.

Southeast Region Written by: Chris McDonald

Conditions going into the 2006-2007 hunting season were good for a successful hunting season. Deer harvest for the previous season decreased drastically due to Hurricane Katrina. Many hunters could not get into the woods due to downed timber. Because of the decrease in hunting opportunity, many deer were carried over for the 2006-2007 season.

Hurricane damaged trees produced little or no acorns, which limited a primary food source for deer. However, the lack of acorns was made up for by an increase in browse. Hurricane Katrina created openings and thinned timber, which made conditions good for new vegetative growth. Deer were in good condition going into the 2006-2007 hunting season. This is illustrated by an increase in body weights and lactation rates for the hunting season.

The number of deer harvested increased on both private and public land. Hunters were finally able to get to their favorite hunting spot. Hunters reported good harvest success on food plots. Hunters in the region continued to harvest old does and young bucks. Most bucks harvested in the region are 2.5 years old or younger. This was the second year the region was under the 10 inch spread or 13 inch main beam regulation. Under the regulation, legal bucks in areas south of Hwy. 84 and east of Hwy. 35 are those with at least 4 antler points and a minimum inside spread of 10 inches or a minimum main beam of 13 inches. Because of this regulation, hunters should have more opportunity to harvest older bucks. Hunters continue to enjoy the late primitive weapons season in the region. The late season was provided to give hunters more opportunity to hunt during the rut.

Improvement of deer habitat by Hurricane Katrina will continue into the 2007-2008 hunting season. Two years of new vegetative growth will have taken place once the season opens. Hunters should have the opportunity to harvest deer that are both older and healthier.

Road Kill Survey Report 2006-2007

MDWFP personnel have monitored statewide deer road kill since January 1997. All dead deer observed on or adjacent to roads and highways are recorded during the personnel's regular course of travel from October 1 – January 31. The cause of death of these animals is assumed to be a vehicle collision. The specific location by county is recorded for every deer observed. Personnel also record their monthly mileage. In the past these data were analyzed, and the average number of deer observed per 10,000 miles is was calculated by district. However, with changing district lines and MDWFP personnel routinely traveling outside their home district, we have changed this to a statewide average and not district averages.

Graphical monthly statewide summaries of these data are presented in Figure 3. The precise value and accuracy of this method of data collection have not been critically evaluated. No evaluation has been made to determine if number of vehicles on the highways has increased, decreased, or remained constant. Therefore, any inferences or interpretation of these data should be approached cautiously. Every effort has been made to standardize sampling protocol.

When these data are examined graphically, fluctuations over time are apparent. Certain assumptions may be logical. For example, an increase in observed deer vehicular related mortality is a result of an increase in deer activity. Data are currently collected from October – January. Activity peaked during the fall and winter around breeding seasons, when deer activity is at its highest.

A second assumption is if deer numbers are fluctuating annually and the number of deer observed is density dependent, then in lower population years, fewer road-killed deer will be observed. Conversely, during high population years, a greater number of road-killed deer will

Road Kill Data By Month (In Deer Per 10k Miles) 2006-2007





be observed. If this assumption is correct, deer populations increased during the 2006 – 2007 season. In addition to increasing or expanding deer herds, road kill observations may be heavily influenced by weather conditions and mast availability. The dry weather during the summer of 2006 may have caused deer movement to increase earlier in the year in addition by causing mast crops to fail. This past year, observed road kills increased the most during the months of October and November, with December and January remaining consistent with previous years. This is most likely due to increased deer movement due to dry conditions, stressed browse, and an overall poor mast crop caused by the late summer drought. Also, road side right-of-ways being which are planted in cool season grasses and legumes tended to congregate deer along highways.

We also collect road-kill data from two outside sources: State Farm Insurance Company and The Mississippi Office of Highway Safety. According to State Farm's estimates there were 12,146 deer-vehicle collisions in 2005 – 2006 and 13,197 in 2006 – 2007. These estimates fit the same increasing trend from the MDWFP personnel's road-kill observations.

The data from State Farm has been projected for the whole insurance industry, based on State Farm's known auto insurance market share within each state. This data is based on actual comprehensive and collision claims, and as such, would not include deer-vehicle collisions where the policy holder had only liability insurance coverage (which is typically carried on older vehicles in some states).

Table 2. Road Kill Data By Month (In Deer Per 10k Miles)2006-2007

Month	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	Avg. all Years
October	6.7	6.3	5.9	6.6	6.5	8.4	6.7
November	6.4	8.1	8.6	7.3	9.2	11.1	8.5
December	7.6	5.9	10.4	10.1	13.0	12.8	10.0
January	8.1	8.3	8.3	9.5	11.2	11.8	9.5
Season Avg.	7.2	7.2	8.3	8.4	10.0	11.0	

Depredation By Deer

onservation officers annually deal with agricultural depredation by Gdeer. Landowners who experience deer depredation problems are required to apply for a permit before any action is taken to harass or remove problem animals. The process for permit issuance includes an on-site evaluation by an MDWFP officer to verify the occurrence of depredation. Permits are issued primarily for agricultural damage, but ornamental vegetation is included. Miscellaneous problems such as deer on airport runways also occur and are handled on a case-by-case basis. Property owners should know that permits are not issued in every situation.

A total of 81 depredation permits were issued in 27 counties during 2006, which increased from 67 permits during 2005 (Figure 5). However, the number of counties that had recorded depredation permits decreased. This increase in the number of permits can be attributed to rising deer populations throughout most of the state and the effects of drought stress on vegetation. Counties with the most depredation problems are either the same counties with the most rapidly expanding deer populations or counties that had the least rainfall during the summer of 2006. Cases of deer depredation included damage to soybeans, corn, cotton, peas, sweet potatoes, watermelons, cantaloupe, okra, peanuts, lettuce, numerous gardens and truck crops, flowers, and interference on airports.

The preferred method of controlling deer depredation problems is adequate hunter harvest. This lowers the deer population to levels that are in balance with the environmental carrying capacity of the habitat. Normally this involves cooperation with adjoining landowners and hunting clubs.

Alternative direct methods used to solve depredation problems include scare or harassment tactics, assorted chemical applications, electric fencing, and traditional fencing at a height that eliminates deer access. High fencing around gardens and small problem areas is costly but provides assured control on a longterm basis with little or no maintenance. 1

In some instances, after other control measures have been exhausted, deer will be lethally removed. This process seldom provides a longterm solution but is used in some problem situations.

Depredation problems will continue to occur in Mississippi as long as abundant deer populations exist. Exten-

sive problems with agricultural depredation can be controlled with adequate antlerless harvest. Instances of urban depredation are increasing due to escalating deer numbers and urban sprawl. Urban deer problems are magnified in cities where bowhunting has been banned.

0

Adams

0

Wilkinson



Chronic Wasting Disease

hronic wasting disease (CWD) is a progressively degenerative fa-Utal disease that attacks the central nervous system of members of the deer family. To date it has been diagnosed in elk, mule deer, black-tailed deer, and white-tailed deer. CWD is one of a group of diseases known as transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs). These diseases are characterized as transmissible because they can be transmitted from one infected animal to another. They are further classified as spongiform due to the "spongy-like" areas which form in the brain of the infected animal, hence the encephalopathy portion of the name.

The scientific community generally accepts that the infectious agents of CWD are prions. Prions are abnormal proteins that seem to have the ability to alter the structure of normal proteins found in the body of the animal they enter. Logical natural methods of prion transmission include, but may not be limited to, secretions and excretions from infected animals. In a new study conducted this past year at Colorado State University found that CWD can be transmitted experimentally from saliva and blood. Also, human activity contributes to environmental prion contamination. Prions are hideously durable and impervious to most disinfectants and natural conditions, remaining in the environment for years.

Animals suffering from CWD typically behave abnormally by separating themselves from their usual social group. They often stand alone, with a drooped posture, and may not respond to human presence. As the disease progresses they will appear very skinny on close examination and will salivate, drink, and urinate excessively.

The goal for the 2006 – 2007 monitoring period was to test approximately 1,500 deer statewide. Routine testing involved Mississippi hunters in this disease monitoring effort. Hunters throughout the state were asked to voluntarily submit the heads of harvested deer for CWD testing. Additionally samples were obtained from taxidermists and deer processing facilities. Most of these samples came from wildlife management areas, national wildlife refuges, and Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP) cooperators.

A total of 1,120 samples were taken from free-ranging white-tailed deer in Mississippi during 2006 – 2007. Samples were obtained from hunter harvested animals, spring herd health evaluations, target animal surveillance, and road-killed animals. Samples were obtained from 72 counties (Figure 6). The samples were submitted to the Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study at the University of Georgia following the 2006 - 2007 hunting season and 1,089 of those samples were tested for evidence of the CWD agent using immunohistochemistry. The remaining 31 samples were not tested because the containers did not contain testable specimens. Evidence of CWD was not detected in 1,087 of the tested samples and the remaining 2 results cannot be considered official test results, because the correct specimens for testing were not available.

The MDWFP, in cooperation with the Mississippi Board of Animal Health and the U.S. Department of Agriculture/Veterinary Services will continue target animal surveillance. A target profile animal is any adult cervid that is emaciated and shows some neurological disorder. These target animals should be reported to the local county conservation officer who has been trained to properly handle them and coordinate their transport to the appropriate laboratory for CWD testing. Most deer exhibiting symptoms of CWD are actually suffering from other conditions or diseases common to white-tailed deer in Mississippi. Malnutrition, hemorrhagic disease, brain abscesses, and other conditions may cause some of the same symptoms. However, due to the seriousness of CWD and the importance of early detection and control, it is necessary to test target animals for infection. The ability

to diagnose disease is dependent on quick reporting because deer carcasses deteriorate rapidly in Mississippi's climate.

In 1967 CWD was first recognized at a captive mule deer research facility in Colorado. A Wyoming research facility documented the disease in deer and elk in 1978. CWD was then documented in freeranging deer in Colorado and Wyoming in the 1980s. Further testing from 1996 through the end of 2001 found additional positive animals (either captive or wild elk or deer) in Kansas, Nebraska, Montana, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and the Canadian provinces of Saskatchewan and Alberta. Then in February 2002 the first case was confirmed east of the Mississippi River in Wisconsin, in wild white-tailed deer. In 2004, CWD was found in New York and West Virginia. As of October 1, 2007, there are 11 states with CWD infected wild populations (Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, New York, South Dakota, Utah, Wisconsin, West Virginia, and Wyoming) and two Canadian provinces (Alberta and Saskatchewan). Additionally, CWD has been found in captive cervid populations in all of the above states as well as Minnesota, Montana, and Oklahoma.

All public health officials maintain that venison is safe for human consumption. However, hunters who wish to take additional steps to avoid potential unnecessary contact with prions or environmental contamination can do the following:

- Avoid shooting, handling, or consuming any animal that appears sick. Contact the MDWFP at 601-432-2199 if you see or harvest an animal that appears sick.
- Wear latex gloves when field dressing or processing deer.
- Avoid eating or contact with brain, spinal cord, spleen, lymph nodes, or eyes.
- Cut through the spinal cord only when removing the head. Use a knife designated solely for this purpose.
- Bone out meat to avoid cutting into or through bones. Remove all fat and connective tissue to avoid lymph nodes.
- Dispose of all carcass material, including the head, in a landfill or pit dug for carcass disposal purposes.
- Either process your animal individually or request that it be processed without adding meat from other animals.
- Disinfect knives and other processing equipment in a 50% bleach solution for a minimum of one hour.
- Discontinue baiting and feeding which unnaturally concentrate deer.

Deer With Chronic Wasting Disease from Wisconsin



2006-2007 Mississippi Deer Program Report



Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease

Hemorrhagic Disease (HD), sometimes referred to as Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD) or Bluetongue (BT), is considered the most important viral disease of white-tailed deer in the United States. Different subtypes of two closely related viruses cause HD: EHD and BT. Technically, there are five subtypes of the BT virus and two subtypes of the EHD virus. A distinguishable difference does not visually exist between these diseases, so wildlife managers normally group the symptoms into one category and refer to the condition as HD.

Biting midges of the genus *Culicoides* transmit HD; therefore the disease is seasonal, based on the abundance of the midge vectors. Normal occurrence of HD is late summer through fall (approximately late July – November). Deer that become infected with the HD virus may exhibit a variety of outward symptoms. Some mildly infected deer will exhibit few symptoms. Others which contract a more potent form of the virus will appear depressed, become feverish, have swollen areas around the head or neck, and may have trouble breathing. Still others, which become infected with a particularly potent form of the virus, can die within 1 to 3 days. Normal mortality rates from HD are usually less than 25 percent. However, rates greater than 50 percent of the population have been documented. On a brighter note, HD has destroyed no free-ranging deer population.

HD is first suspected when unexplained deer mortality is observed in late summer or early fall. Typically, archery hunters who are scouting during late September are the first to observe carcasses in the woods. On some occasions HD deer are found dead during the late summer in or adjacent to water. The fever produced by the disease causes the sick deer to seek water. These deer subsequently succumb to the disease in creeks and ponds.

Hunters will most frequently encounter the evidence of HD while observing harvested deer during the winter months. During the high fever produced by HD, an interruption in hoof growth occurs. This growth interruption causes a distinctive ring around the hoof, which is readily identifiable on close examination. Hoof injury, as well as bacterial or fungal infection can cause a "damaged" appearance on a single hoof. HD is not considered unless involvement is noticed on two or more feet. Fortunately, people are not at risk by HD. Handling infected deer or eating the venison from infected deer is not a public health factor. Even being bitten by the biting midge that is a carrier of the virus is not a cause of concern for humans. Deer which develop bacterial infections or abscesses secondary to HD may not be suitable for consumption.

The case is not as clear regarding domestic livestock. A small percentage of BT infected cattle can become lame, have reproductive problems or develop sore mouths. Variations exist between BT and EHD virus infection in cattle and domestic sheep. Sheep are usually unaffected by EHD but can develop serious disease symptoms with the BT virus.

Occasionally overpopulation of the deer herd has been blamed for outbreaks of HD. Abnormally high deer populations are expected to have greater mortality rates simply because the deer are in sub-optimal condition. The spread of the virus would be expected to be greater in dense deer herds. However, an outbreak of HD cannot be directly attributed to an overpopulated deer herd.

HD can be diagnosed several ways. A reliable tentative diagnosis can be made after necropsy by a trained biologist or veterinarian. A confirmed diagnosis can only be made by isolating one of the viruses from refrigerated whole blood, spleen, lymph node, or lung from fresh a carcass.

MDWFP biologists have been monitoring the presence of HD in Mississippi by several methods: sudden, unexplained high deer mortality during late summer and early fall, necropsy diagnosis, isolation of EHD or BT virus, and the observation of hoof lesions on hunterharvested deer. HD or previous HD exposure is always present in Mississippi deer herds. Previous HD exposure is good. Exposure yields antibodies to future outbreaks of the disease. Without the antibody presence significant mortality would occur.

The 2006 – 2007 season produced a moderate HD occurrence. Evidence of HD was reported in only 20 counties during the 2006 – 2007 hunting season (Figure 7). Researchers have documented a distinctive 2 - 3 year cycle in HD outbreaks. Assuming that these cyclic outbreaks occur, we can expect a high occurrence of HD during the 2007 – 2008 hunting season.




2007 Deer Herd Health Evaluations



Photo by Steve Gulledge

Deer herd health evaluations are conducted by MDWFP biologists annually. Evaluation sites are selected each year based on a specific need for additional information, which cannot be obtained from hunter-harvested deer. These sites may be on DMAP cooperator lands, WMAs, open public lands, or areas with a special deer management concern. Some sites are sampled annually, others on a rotational schedule of two – three years and some locations on an as-needed basis.

Time constraints normally limit the number of locations biologists sample each year. Deer collections are conducted during the months of February, March, and April. Collection timing must be late enough to insure that all does have been bred, but early enough to precede the spring green-up when foliage density reduces the ability to readily observe and identify deer. The sampling window is most critical in the southern portion of the state where late breeding is a chronic problem and early green-up of native vegetation occurs.

Biologists complete an application for approval to conduct each herd health evaluation during a specific time period. The MDWFP Deer Committee reviews these applications and denies or grants approval. Other agency personnel assist the biologist in charge of the deer collection. When non-agency personnel are participating in the process, specific prior approval is obtained on the application.

During a typical herd health evaluation, biological data regarding reproduction, body condition, and disease are collected from mature females. A minimum of 10 mature females is necessary to obtain an adequate sample size to assess herd parameters. Mature does are shot during the late afternoon on existing food plots or at night with the aid of a light and from a truck platform, which has been designed specifically for this purpose. Other deer are occasionally taken by mistake during the collection process. Data are obtained from all deer but the purpose of the evaluation is to obtain reproductive, physical condition and disease data from mature females. All measurements and data are obtained from the deer on site or at a convenient nearby location. All deer are donated to a charitable institution or to an individual determined needy by agency personnel. Neither deer nor portions thereof are utilized by any MDWFP employees. Receipts are obtained from every deer donated. Rarely, instances have occurred where deer had to be disposed of in a manner where human utilization was not possible.

Reproduction

Reproductive data collected during herd health evaluations include conception dates, fawning dates, number of corpus lutea per doe, and number of fetuses per doe. Conception dates and fawning dates are determined using a fetal aging scale. Fetal length is measured on the fetal aging scale and the length is used to calculate conception data and fawning date. Data from the 2007 statewide deer herd health evaluations are given in Table 3. Data were collected from 310 deer on 27 sites across the state.

In Table 3, conception date ranges and corresponding fawning dates are given for each collection site. The earliest conception date (18-November) was detected at Coahoma Conservation League in Coahoma County. The latest conception date (20-March) was detected on Leaf River WMA in Perry County. Mean fawning dates based on the conception dates ranged from 20-June on Conservation League in Coahoma County to 17-August on Leaf River WMA in Perry County. The statewide average conception date was 9-January and the corresponding state average fawning date was 10-July.

Sample sizes for each collection site are given as N1 or N2. Different groupings by age and sex are mandatory to accurately interpret condition and reproductive data. Total $1\frac{1}{2}$ year-old or older fecund (capable of breeding) does are represented as N1. Mature $2\frac{1}{2}$ years old and older does are represented as N2. Both N1 and N2 deer are utilized to calculate conception dates, but only N2 deer are considered in the sample when reproductive rates and condition data are compared.

2007 Deer Herd Health Evaluations

Data comparing conception ranges and mean conception dates are self-explanatory. Average number of corpus lutea (CLs) is determined by examination of the ovaries of each N2 deer in the sample and counting the number of CLs present at the time of collection. A CL is a structure in the ovary, which forms when an egg is released. The CL functions to maintain pregnancy by the release of hormones. As in domestic livestock, healthy deer on a high plane of nutrition will produce more eggs than deer in poor condition. Therefore, CL data provide a quantitative index to gauge not only reproductive performance at a specific site but also provide a general index to overall herd condition. CL data ranged from a low of 1.0 CLs per doe on Wilderness Forever in Claiborne County to a high of 2.7 CLs per doe on Weyerhaeuser in Kemper County.

Average number of fetuses are also self-explanatory, but will, in most instances, be a lower number than average number of CLs because all CLs do not represent a viable fetus. As the average number of CLs provides an index to reproductive rates and herd condition, the average number of fetuses per doe provides an additional index to determine site-specific herd health. Average number of fetuses per doe ranged from a low of 1.0 on Wilderness Forever in Claiborne County and Copiah County WMA in Copiah County to a high of 2.3 on Williams Farm in Coahoma County.

Body Condition

Body condition data collected during herd health evaluations include dressed weight and kidney fat index (KFI). Average dressed weight only includes N2 deer. A wide range of weights are apparent due to soil type, deer herd condition, and habitat type. In general, dressed weight is a reliable indicator to help gauge herd condition but should not be used to compare different sites unless all soil and habitat types are uniform.

KFI provides a quantitative index to energy levels within a deer herd. KFI is calculated by expressing the weight of the kidney fat as a percentage of the kidney weight. Substandard kidney fat levels were found at several areas. The highest value during 2007 was seen on Infolab in Quitman County.

Disease

During herd health evaluations, blood serum samples are collected from deer. The serum samples are tested for antibodies to the various sub-types of Hemorrhagic disease (HD). HD can be caused by several different strains of either the epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD) virus or the bluetongue (BT) virus. The presence of antibodies indicates previous exposure, not current infection. During 2007, deer from 22 of the 27 collection sites tested positive for the EHD virus, and deer from 23 of the 27 collection sites tested positive for the BT virus. Specific serotype information was not available at press time.

Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) samples were also collected on all deer harvested during the 2007 herd health evaluations. There was no incidence of CWD found in any samples.



Photo by Steve Gulledge

Table 3. Deer Herd Health Evaluation Summary for 2007

#	Soil Area	Collection Site	Date of Collection	N1	N2		ge of eption	Mean Conception Date	Mean Fawning Date	Average # CLS	Average # Fetuses	Avg. Dressed Weight	Average KFI
1	900	Archer Island HC, Washington County	15-Feb-2007	11	6	27-Nov	24-Dec	11-Dec	25-Jun	2.0	1.8	94.7	144.4
2	903	Big Black Association, Attala County	5-Mar-2007	14	14	19-Dec	12-Feb	10-Jan	25-Jul	2.0	1.8	76.5	61.8
3	901	Black Bear Plantation, Issaquena County	13-Feb-2007	25	21	9-Dec	31-Dec	22-Dec	6-Jul	2.0	2.1	94.2	144.9
4	902	Bozeman Property, Madison County	19-Feb-2007	10	8	18-Dec	29-Jan	3-Jan	18-Jul	1.8	1.7	88.0	79.5
5	902	Cameron Plantation, Madison County	20-Feb-2007	5	5	23-Nov	2-Jan	19-Dec	3-Jul	2.0	2.0	93.4	87.3
6	905	Canal Section WMA, Itawamba County	5-Mar-2007	10	10	27-Dec	7-Feb	13-Jan	28-Jul	2.0	1.6	74.8	53.8
7	900	Coahoma Conservation League, Coahoma Co.	6-Feb-2007	11	10	18-Nov	24-Dec	6-Dec	20-Jun	1.6	1.8	102.7	89.5
8	913	Copiah Co WMA, Copiah County	6-Mar-2007	9	9	8-Dec	20-Feb	17-Jan	1-Aug	1.2	1.0	73.8	22.5
9	913	Cotton Branch Plantation, Franklin County	15-Feb-2007	2	2	18-Jan	1-Feb	25-Jan	9-Aug	1.5	1.5	79.5	102.1
10	905	Divide Section WMA, Tishomingo County	26-Feb-2007	11	9	29-Dec	19-Jan	12-Jan	27-Jul	2.0	2.0	78.4	57.8
11	902	Hamer WMA, Panola County	6-Feb-2007	12	10	30-Nov	23-Jan	16-Dec	30-Jun	2.3	1.9	90.0	76.3
12	903	Horseshoe Lake, Madison County	7-Mar-2007	4	3	31-Dec	20-Jan	12-Jan	27-Jul	2.0	2.0	91.0	98.4
13	901	Infolab, Quitman County	8-Feb-2007	6	5	11-Dec	18-Jan	23-Dec	7-Jul	2.0	2.0	112.6	172.9
14	907	Leaf River WMA, Perry County	3-Apr-2007	11	10	18-Jan	21-Mar	2-Feb	17-Aug	1.9	1.9	66.2	32.4
15	901	Magna Vista, Issaquena County	13-Feb-2007	10	9	3-Dec	30-Jan	21-Dec	5-Jul	2.3	2.1	95.0	120.9
16	912	Magnolia, Claiborne County	21-Feb-2007	10	9	31-Jan	24-Jan	29-Jun	11-Jan	1.6	1.6	94.2	97.6
17	901	Mahannah WMA, Issaquena County	19-Feb-2007	20	20	9-Dec	5-Feb	5-Jan	20-Jul	1.6	2.0	88.1	68.0
18	907	Old Pearl Game Mgt, Simpson County	14-Mar-2007	3	2	17-Dec	20-Jan	30-Dec	14-Jul	2.0	2.0	77.5	56.5
19	901	Sunflower WMA, Sharkey County	26-Feb-2007	21	19	21-Dec	12-Feb	3-Jan	18-Jul	1.8	1.8	97.5	71.5
20	905	Tallahatchie/Pinhook, Tippah County	20-Feb-2007	13	10	4-Dec	6-Feb	6-Jan	21-Jul	2.0	1.9	78.0	56.6
21	900	Togo Island, Claiborne County	22-Feb-2007	10	8	17-Dec	9-Jan	28-Dec	12-Jul	2.1	1.9	94.5	98.9
22	907	Triple Creek Game Farm, Jasper County	20-Mar-2007	9	9	30-Dec	20-Feb	15-Jan	30-Jul	1.9	1.8	79.1	46.5
23	901	Twin Oaks WMA, Sharkey County	20-Feb-2007	22	20	17-Dec	6-Feb	10-Jan	25-Jul	1.7	1.8	91.5	58.4
24	908	Weyhauser - Kemper Co., Kemper County	28-Feb-2007	23	20	24-Dec	28-Feb	11-Jan	26-Jul	2.7	1.9	91.9	42.7
25	912	Wilderness Forever, Claiborne County	22-Feb-2007	2	2	26-Dec	1-Jan	29-Dec	13-Jul	1.0	1.0	91.0	41.0
26	901	Williams Farm, Coahoma County	6-Feb-2007	10	7	20-Nov	26-Dec	9-Dec	23-Jun	2.3	2.3	103.6	159.2
27	906	Yates Property, Noxubee County	12-Mar-2007	16	16	24-Dec	25-Jan	6-Jan	21-Jul	2.1	1.8	85.4	96.2
			Total:	310	273		Average:	9-Jan	10-Jul				

Soil Area	Region Name	Soil Area	Region Name
900	Batture	907	Lower Coastal Plain
901	Delta	908	Interior Flatwoods
902	Upper Thick Loess	909	Coastal Flatwoods
903	Upper Thin Loess	912	Lower Thick Loess
905	Upper Coastal Plain	913	Lower Thin Loess
906	Blackland Prairie		

 $N1 = Total 1\frac{1}{2}$ year-old or older fecund (capable of breeding) does

N2= Mature $2^{1\!\!/_2}$ years old and older does

2007 Deer Herd Health Evaluation



Mail Survey Data 2005-2006

Resident Hunter Survey Results

There were two significant factors acting on hunter numbers in the 2005-2006 season. First, the definition of a primitive weapon changed to allow some cartridge fired rifles to be used. From this change, we expected, and received, an increase in primitive weapon hunters. Second, and certainly not least, there was Hurricane Katrina. With the damage and displacement that this storm caused, we expected overall hunter numbers and effort to decrease. While the numbers did decrease, the drops were not as drastic as we expected. Possibly, hunting provided a little piece of normalcy to uprooted lives.

Survey methods changed beginning with the 2003 - 2004 season. All data collected after this change, which includes the 2005 - 2006 data, must be looked at carefully. Total resident deer hunters by user group (gun, archery, and primitive weapons) are shown in Figure 8. Overall, total hunter numbers decreased, but only by a little over 1%. However, an increase is apparent in archery and primitive weapon hunter numbers. Primitive weapons hunters increased by 13%.

Deer hunting man-days by user group are shown in Figure 9. A long-term evaluation of hunter man-days reveals a declining trend that began in the mid 1980s. This year, however, a large drop in total mandays was expected, due to restricted travel and increased fuel prices following Hurricane Katrina. Total man-days dropped by over 200,000 or by almost 8%. Man-days decreased by all hunting methods. Primitive weapon hunter man-days showed the smallest decrease, likely due to the influx of new primitive weapon hunters. Primitive weapon and archery hunters have shown an increasing trend in man-days for several years. We expect this trend to continue in the 2006-2007 season. Total hunter numbers have remained relatively constant for the past few years, but the remaining hunters are choosing to hunt with more than just modern firearms.

Total resident deer harvest for the 2005 – 2006 season is depicted in Figure 10. This graph includes the harvest of bucks and does from archery, primitive weapon, and gun deer seasons. Total resident deer harvest increased by about 1,100 compared to the 2004 – 2005 season. This increase is surprising when the 8% decrease in hunter effort is considered. The percent of successful hunters increased to 73.7% of all hunters. Additionally, the average seasonal harvest increased to 2 deer per hunter. Fewer hunters are harvesting more deer, with less time expended. This would suggest an increasing deer population statewide. A balanced buck to doe harvest, also exhibited in Figure 10, can be directly attributed to continued antlerless opportunity offered on private lands.

Archery and primitive weapon deer hunters harvested 31% of the total deer harvested and 39% of total does harvested. Archery and primitive weapon hunters harvested more does than bucks.

Non-Resident Hunter Survey Results

Non-resident deer hunter numbers are shown in Figure 11. Total hunter numbers remained relatively stable compared to the 2004 – 2005 season.

Non-resident harvest information is presented in Figure 12. Both buck and doe harvest increased. Non-resident man-days by method

are shown in Figure 13. Man-days decreased slightly for archery hunters, but increased substantially for primitive weapon and gun hunters. Hurricane Katrina did not have the same effect on non-resident hunters as it did on resident hunters. Success rates for non-resident hunters increased from the 2004 – 2005 season.

2005-2006 Summary (Resident and Non-Resident Combined)

The total number of deer harvested increased by about 2,700 from the 2004 – 2005 season. A total of 146,700 deer hunters spent 2,829,309 man-days deer hunting and harvested 144,118 bucks and

141,012 does, for a total of 285,130 deer. It took an average of 9.9 man-days per deer harvested. Hunters spent an average of 19.3 man-days hunting during the season.

Table 4. Mail Survey Summary for 2005-2006 Season

	То	otal Harv	est	Та	otal Numb)ers	Aver Seas Harv	oñal	Tota	l Man-da	ays	Percent Successful Hunters	
	Resident	Non- Resident	Total	Resident	Non- Resident	Total	Resident	Non- Resident	Resident	Resident	Total	Resident	Non- Resident
Total Deer	256,870	28,260	285,130	128,180	18,520	146,700	2.00	1.53	2,542,662	286,647	2,829,309	73.70	69.20
Buck	130,629	13,489	144,118				1.02	0.73				59.20	49.40
Doe	126,241	14,771	141,012				0.98	0.80				51.60	47.70
Archery Total	31,841	3,236	35,077	37,250	4,534	41,784	0.85	0.71	372,463	39,512	411,975	48.50	44.50
Buck	9,389	913	10,302				0.25	0.20				20.30	15.90
Doe	22,452	2,323	24,775				0.60	0.51				41.60	36.80
Primitive Total	46,741	4,294	51,035	57,354	5,831	63,185	0.81	0.74	381,539	37,367	418,906	56.80	52.50
Buck	20,003	1,714	21,717				0.35	0.29				30.60	26.10
Doe	26,738	2,579	29,317				0.47	0.44				39.10	36.00
Gun Total	178,289	20,731	199,020	120,220	16,902	137,122	1.48	1.23	1,681,590	200,931	1,882,521	69.80	68.30
Buck	101,238	10,862	112,100				0.84	0.64				55.80	48.00
Doe	77,051	9,869	86,920				0.64	0.58				42.10	41.50

Mail Survey Data 2005-2006





Figure 10: Total Deer Harvest – Resident











Figure 13: Total Man-days – Non-Resident



Statewide Sex Ratio and Fawn Crop Estimates

MDWFP began distributing Bowhunter Observation Books before the 2005-2006 deer archery season. Efforts to increase distribution of the books increased in the 2006-2007 season. Also, a statewide spotlight count was conducted from October 16 - 20. 2006 to gain data to compare against the Bowhunter Observation Books. Following is a description and the results of each method.

The Bowhunter Observation Books were distributed through sporting goods stores, feed stores, and were available online. Almost 2,000 of the books were distributed in September 2006. A total of 88 books were returned by the December 1st deadline. Participating bowhunters observed 3,803 total deer at 1.11 deer per hour. They recorded 3,431.75 hours in 49 counties. Total hours of observation by county are presented in Figure 14. Not enough data was collected to produce sex ratio and fawn crop estimates by county.

A total of nine different spotlight routes were established across the state, with six of the routes on the Natchez Trace Parkway (Figure 15). All routes except one were sampled three nights. One route was sampled two nights. Total numbers of bucks, does, fawns, and unknown deer observed were recorded for each night. An approximate age was assigned to each buck. No density estimates were derived from the survey. A total of 917 miles were driven during the survey and 2,012 deer were counted, of which 68% were identified as buck, does, or fawns. Estimates are provided for each route on Figure 15.

The Statewide Spotlight Count and Bowhunter Observation Books produced very similar statewide estimates (Table 5). Based on these results, Mississippi has about 3 does for every buck. We will discontinue the Statewide Spotlight Count. However, we plan to continue distributing Bowhunter Observation Books. If you would like to assist the MDWFP in collecting observation data during archery season, you may download the book from our website, www.mdwfp. com/deer or call 601-432-2199 to request a 0 Adams book.



0

175

Table 5. Statewide **Spotlight and Bowhunter Observation Books Data**

Statewide Spotlight Count	Bowhunter Observation Books
1 Buck : 3 Does	1 Buck : 2.69 Does
0.60 Fawns : 1 Doe	0.52 Fawns : 1 Doe



Sex



Deer Tags

Management Buck Tags

n 2003 – 2004, the first time since 1995, sub – 4 point bucks were legal for harvest with sub -4point tags issued by biologists to DMAP cooperators on a limited basis for management purposes. In 2005-2006 this was expanded to include management bucks. Management buck tags were issued to DMAP cooperators which allowed the harvest of sub-optimal bucks. The management buck harvest criteria were for an individual property and were determined by the DMAP biologist. A written management justification issued by the MDWFP must accompany any request for such a permit. Management bucks harvested under this permit must be identified with a tag immediately upon possession. Antlered deer taken by permit are not subject to the annual or daily bag limit on antlered deer.

Permits were issued to the following WMAs for the 2006 – 2007 season: Calhoun County, Copiah County, Hamer, Lake George, Leroy Percy, Mahannah, Malmaison, O'Keefe, Shipland, Stoneville, Sunflower, Twin Oaks, Upper Sardis, and Yockanookany. A total of 1,501 permits were issued to these WMAs and 39 of these permits were used.

Permits were issued to the following DMAP clubs for the 2006 – 2007 season: Noxubee National

Wildlife Refuge, Coahoma County Conservation League, Ashbrook, Bledsoe, Riverside Farms, Chesterfield, Casey Jones, Moore Farms, Big Black Wildlife, LLC, Clifton Plantation, Cameron Farms, Big River Farms, Box B, TCP, Deviney, Togo Island, Catfish Point, Black River, Burkes, Ward Lake, Yates, Bozeman Farms, Dry Grove, Breakwater, Itta Bena, Horseshoe Lake, Halifax, Red Gate, Providence 2, Providence 1. White Oak, Spell, Barefoot, Infolab, Woodstock, Atwood, Merigold, Deer Creek Timber, Canemount, Bush Bottom, Montgomery Farms, Triple Creek Game Club, Miller Point, Palmer Farms, Fairview, Black Bear, Magna Vista, Jackson Point, Millbrook, Lockhardt - Dalewood, Duck Lake, Burl Branch, Arkabutla Lake, Brierfield, Paradise, Palmyra, Rosedale, Chiefs, Goat Hill, Bellweather Plantation, Melrose, Delta Wildlife – Luckett, Delta Wildlife – Mabry, Delta Wildlife – Greasy Bay-ou, Delta Wildlife – Parker Gary, Delta Wildlife - Thornton, Willow Oak, A&B, P&L, Clanton Farms, Pinhook, Solitude, J. Cameron Plantation, Centennial, Cypress Brake, Riverbend Farms, Riverbend South, Tara, Scotland H.C., Woodburn, Fitler Farms, East Line, Casey Jones, Hunters Chaple, Dave Kitchens, Wrights Creek, Prewitt, Mt. Ararat, Ellislie, Cedar Ridge, Jeff H.C., Riverland H.C., 27 Break, Deerfield, Wolf Creek, Wildwood, Williams Farm, Craigside Plantation, Donaldson Pt., Black Bayou, Bogue Felia, Wood Lawn, Sewell H.C., Bowman, Attala Deer Camp, Dancing Rabbit, Big Horn, Robertson, Willow Break, Smallwood, Refuge. A total of 1,760 permits were issued to these clubs and 910 of these permits were used.

Fee Management Assistance Program

The Fee Management Assistance Program (FMAP) was implemented during the 1989-1990 season. It began as a pilot program in two north-central counties at the request of local conservation officers, in response to "We have too many does, how do we get a hold on them. Current season either-sex opportunity does not allow enough



An example of proper usage of a management buck tag.

time to harvest our does." Under this program, doe tags were purchased for \$10 each at a rate of one per 50 acres. The landowner or club was required to show proof of ownership or hunting control. FMAP allowed the permittee to harvest antlerless deer in addition to the state bag limit. This program was accepted and quickly spread statewide. Sportsmen realized they could properly harvest does and still maintain a huntable number of deer.

Initially, a large number of permits were sold. However, liberalization of antlerless opportunity has occurred throughout the state. This has decreased the need for permits in most areas to the point of considering termination of the program. There were only 242 permits sold during the 2006-2007 hunting season.

Continuation of the program is recommended because it provides an opportunity to harvest antlerless deer in excess of the season bag limit on specific areas that are in excess of the environmental carrying capacity.

DMAP Antierless Tags

MDWFP issues antlerless tags to DMAP clubs. This allows the harvest of antlerless deer in excess of the daily and seasonal bag limits. These tags have been issued since the implementation of DMAP. When antlerless seasons were liberalized statewide, the need for antlerless tags was reduced. However, some landowners and managers still have the need for more antlerless harvest than state bag limits allow.

Antlerless tags are issued by the DMAP Biologists, based on an individual landowner's or manager's need. The tags can only be used on antlerless deer on the property to which they were issued.

DMAP biologists issued 4,226 tags to 141 DMAP clubs in 2006-2007.

Antler Regulations

The 2006 – 2007 hunting season was the second season for Deer Management Zone 2 in southeast Mississippi. This zone includes private and open public lands south of U.S. Hwy. 84 and east of MS Hwy. 35. Within the zone, deer hunting opportunity is allowed October 15 through February 15. The objectives of Deer Management Zone 2 were as follows:

1) To protect adult does early which may still be caring for fawns by opening the season two weeks later (Oct 15);

2) To provide more hunting opportunity during the breeding period (Feb. 1-15). Deer herd health evaluation data collected within the zone indicates most breeding occurs during the latter part of January through early February; and

3) To improve the age structure of adult bucks through more restrictive antler harvest requirements (4-points AND 10 inch inside spread or 13 inch main beam).

The inside spread antler restrictions placed on many of the Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs) is in its third year. Results from studies on the effects of the "four-point law" and apparent over-harvest of bucks on some WMAs support this antler restriction. Initiated for the 1995 – 1996 hunting season, the "four point law" is an example of a framework change.

In 2003 – 2004, the first time since 1995, sub – 4 point bucks were legal for harvest with sub – 4 point tags issued by biologists to DMAP cooperators on a limited basis for management purposes. In 2005 – 2006 this was expanded to include management bucks. Management buck tags were issued again in 2006 - 2007 to DMAP cooperators which allowed the harvest of sub-optimal bucks. The management buck harvest criteria were for an individual property and were determined by the DMAP biologist.

Alcorn Desoto Benton Tippah Marshall shoming Tate Tunica Prentiss Union Lafayette 25 Panola Lee Itawamba Quitman Coahoma Pontotoc Yalobusha Tallahatchie Calhoun Chickasaw Monroe Bolivar Grenada G Clay Webster Sunflowe Leflore Iontgomer Lowndes Carroll Oktibbeha Washington Choctaw Attala Humphreys Noxubee Holmes Winston Sharkey Yazoc Kemper Neshoba Leake Issaquena Madison Scott Newton Lauderdale Marren Hinds Rankin 20 Smith Clarke Jasper Claibome Simpson Copiah Jefferson 84 Covington Wayne Jones Lawrence Lincoln Franklin Jefferson Davis Adams 35 Forrest Marion Greene Lamar Amite Pike Wilkinson Walthall Figure 16 George Pearl_River Stone Jackson Harriso Hancock

ANTLER REGULATIONS

High-Fenced Enclosures

Ouse Bill 1144 was signed into law during 2007 (Section 49-7-58.4, Mississippi Code of 1972). This legislation gave the Commission on Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks and the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks (MDWFP) plenary power to regulate all commercial and noncommercial wild animal enclosures. House Bill 1144 will allow the agency to conserve and protect native wildlife for all citizens to enjoy in addition to protecting our recreational economy, which is dependent on native wildlife resources. House Bill 1144 mandated that the Commission on Wildlife. Fisheries and Parks regulate any facility that prevents the free ingress and egress of native or nonnative cervids. The bill also required the MDWFP to inventory the number, location, and size of wild animal enclosures within the state and record the types of non-native animals held in such enclosures. This bill also required the MDWFP to file a report containing the above information with the Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks Committees of the 0 Senate and the House of Representatives before January 3, 2007.

On August 18, 2006, Public Notice W-3780 was adopted by the Commission on Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks. Public Notice W-3780 required all enclosure owners to register their facility with the MDWFP by January 1, 2007. Enclosure registration forms were mailed to all known owners of enclosures (177 forms mailed) that contained white-tailed deer and/or non-native animals, excluding small game enclosures. The enclosure registration form was also made available to the public on the MDWFP's web site. The general public was informed about the required registration through press releases, media appearances, and the MDWFP's web site. Small game (coyote, fox, and rabbit) enclosure information was obtained from registration/permit data maintained by MDWFP District Offices.

As of December 31, 2006, one hundred sixtynine (169) enclosures have been registered. Figures 17 – 18 illustrate locations of registered enclosures. Following are the results of the registration:

6

Adams

13

 48 registered enclosures containing white-tailed deer only (38,648 acres).

• 35 registered enclosures containing white-tailed deer and non-native animals (18,686 acres).

• 86 registered enclosures containing non-native animals only (9,655 acres).

• 15 registered species of nonnative animals.

The MDWFP is currently reviewing all laws and regulations regarding high-fenced enclosures. Interest in building enclosures appears to be growing. Because of this interest, regulations that will conserve and protect native wildlife are needed.



Figure 17: Known Large Mammal Enclosures as of November 2007

High-Fenced Enclosures



Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP)

hrough a cooperative research program with Mississippi State University in 1976, the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks gained information which provided biologists with the ability to evaluate population density relative to carrying capacity, using condition indicators rather than population estimates or browse surveys. This Cooperative Deer Management Assistance Program (DMAP) directly involved hunters in management through the collection of biological data. The interpretation of these data, in consultation with a biologist, is the guiding principle of DMAP. From a two-county pilot project in its first year, DMAP grew steadily until participation peaked in 1994 at almost 1,200 cooperators with over 3.25 million acres under management.

SPECIAL NOTE: Beginning with the 2001 data, the MDWFP began using a new computer summary program (XtraNet). This may be the cause for drastic differences in some numbers. Once all of the historic data is entered into the XtraNet system the numbers are expected to fall along the same trend, thus eliminating the drastic drop currently observed in the graphs and tables. Additionally, all DMAP summary tables and graphs now include harvest reports from WMA's that collect deer harvest data.

Liberalized season structure and bag limits during the mid-1990's allowed land managers the flexibility to meet harvest objectives outside DMAP guidelines, which resulted in a decline in DMAP participation (Figure 20). This decline reduced both total acreage and number of cooperators in DMAP. Current enrollment includes 670 cooperators with 2.1 million acres. Total DMAP harvest has declined proportionally with the decline in cooperators and acreage in DMAP (Figure 21.

The ability to collect and analyze DMAP data has been exceptional. Hundreds of thousands of deer are now part of the statewide DMAP database. In excess of 10,000 deer have annually been available for comparative purposes since 1983 (Figure 21). Analysis of Description these data over time captured the obvious trends and subtle changes in deer herd condition # 1-5 루 and structure. These trends 6 - 15 🛟 and changes would have gone undocumented and possibly undetected without DMAP. Clubs and landowners participat-



Boliva Clay 12 Leflore Oktibbeh 10 Washing Attala 12 Leake Neshoba Kemper Lauderdale Scott Newtor Warren 90 Rankin Clarke Jasper Claiborn 54 Simpsor Copiah 10 Coving Wayne Jeff 0 Davis Franklin 0 Wilkinson Pike 0 0 Pearl Rive



16 - 25

26 - 50

> 51

ing in DMAP may or may not be representative of hunter goals and objectives on a statewide basis. Therefore, deer condition and herd structure on DMAP lands may not reflect herds on un-managed lands.

0

However, a data source representing over 2 million acres is credible and can be used to examine trend data. The extensive statewide coverage of DMAP at the county level can be seen in Table 6.

All DMAP data are evaluated based on soil region. These data are presented in Tables 10-20. These summaries allow individual DMAP cooperators to compare their data to soil region averages. In these tables are two sets of averages as well. The first is an average from 1991 -1994 and the second is of the last five years (2002 - 2006). The 1991 – 1994 average is the four years prior

to the 4-point law. Significant differences are obvious when comparing these averages.

> A significant trend in DMAP data is obvious. The average age of all harvested bucks has increased from 2.1 years old in 1991 to 3.0 years old in 2006 (Figure 23). In addition, these older age class bucks are being produced and harvested on a declining acreage base (Figure 24). One possible reason for the drop in acres per 31/2 year old bucks over the last couple of seasons is the more liberalized use of management buck tags which allowed DMAP properties to harvest sub-optimal adult bucks. In addition, the average spread, number of points, beam length, and circumference on all harvested bucks has increased proportionally.

The percentage of harvested bucks in the older age classes (41/2+) has increased as well (Figure 25). This increase is the result of a shift in buck selection by hunters from younger age class bucks (11/2 year olds) to older animals. Notice in the same graph, the corresponding decline in the percentage of younger age class bucks, which occur in the annual harvest. These are very evident when comparing the past 10 years to the 1991 - 1994 average.

Statewide condition data are presented in Table 9. This table presents trend data on various antler parameters such as spread, length, circumference, and points. Other information, such as weight and lactation data are also provided in this table.

Soil region condition data are presented in Tables 10-20. These tables also present trend data on various ant-

ler parameters such as spread, length, circumference, and points. Other information, such as weight and lactation data are provided in these tables as well.

Mississippi DMAP Data





Figure 23: Average Age All Bucks





Figure 25: Percent Bucks by Age Class



DMAP

Table 6. DMAP Participation and Harvest by CountyDuring 2006-2007

DMAP

				Harvest						Harvest	
County	Cooperators	Acres	Bucks	Does	Total	County	Cooperators	Acres	Bucks	Does	Total
Adams	22	74,988	379	572	951	Lincoln	1	3,642	18	21	39
Alcorn	0					Lowndes	10	24,248	76	151	227
Amite	7	27,679	150	206	356	Madison	16	40,264	221	648	869
Attala	12	41,064	187	273	460	Marion	4	16,695	115	80	195
Benton	0					Marshall	2	5,200	18	68	86
Bolivar	7	41,824	263	369	632	Monroe	21	61,777	219	343	562
Calhoun	3	14,088	84	64	148	Montgomery	17	28,004	177	320	497
Carroll	19	52,454	363	511	874	Neshoba	1	7,655	16	45	61
Chickasaw	2	29,500	34	37	71	Newton	4	9,198	55	71	126
Choctaw	5	31,800	72	103	175	Noxubee	17	54,900	283	406	689
Claiborne	54	95,365	742	1,226	1,968	Oktibbeha	4	12,494	34	76	110
Clarke	4	18,200	47	114	161	Panola Beerl Biver	9	19,312	115	228	343
Clay	12	30,243	104	113	217	Pearl River	6	35,945	88	63	151
Coahoma	9	44,750	242	340	582	Perry Pike	2	41,778	52	35	87
Copiah	10	28,678	130	341	471	Pontotoc	0				
Covington Desoto	0	E 000	14	7	21	Prentiss	0	C 000	0	0	10
Franklin	1	5,000 3,700	30	24	54	Quitman	1	6,000	8	8 75	16
George	2	18,750	9	8	17	Rankin	8	12,214	37 116	159	112 275
Greene	4	10,652	25	29	54	Scott	6	24,666 17,110	53	116	169
Grenada	5	15,000	48	103	151	Sharkey	3	67,040	79	118	197
Hancock	1	5,880	7	8	15	Simpson	3	14,000	51	78	129
Harrison	1	1,400	1	3	4	Smith	2	9,267	43	43	86
Hinds	21	43,590	335	575	910	Stone	4	93,292	18	13	31
Holmes	17	30,226	168	305	473	Sunflower	1	1,585	3	4	7
Humphries	4	9,800	24	75	99	Tallahatchie	3	4,795	11	39	50
Issaquena	47	107,686	728	1,087	1,815	Tate	0	1,100		00	
Itawamba	4	34,348	128	74	202	Tippah	4	17,213	51	103	154
Jackson	4	24,510	47	32	79	Tishomingo	6	21,847	101	143	244
Jasper	9	43,478	106	200	306	Tunica	4	13,904	50	125	175
Jefferson	22	54,419	277	588	865	Union	5	20,100	68	67	135
Jeff Davis	0					Walthall	1	5,325	28	30	58
Jones	1	35,000	21	13	34	Warren	90	148,937	1,231	1,672	2,903
Kemper	11	35,492	232	292	524	Washington	9	34,934	252	381	633
Lafayette	9	58,658	156	225	381	Wayne	1	11,500	7	1	8
Lamar	4	12,569	29	23	52	Webster	2	7,610	41	42	83
Lauderdale	5	20,222	47	82	129	Wilkinson	11	32,215	228	296	524
Lawrence	4	13,501	52	102	154	Winston	6	16,700	89	106	195
Leake	4	11,730	61	93	154	Yalobusha	1	4,872	23	57	80
Lee	0					Yazoo	26	54,625	412	566	978
Lef ore	9	11,987	62	170	232	TOTAL	670	2,139,094	9,891	15,184	25,075

Mississippi DMAP Data Table 7. Harvest Summary of Bucks by Age Class

Season	Sample	0.5 B	ucks	1.5 B	ucks	2.5 Bu	cks	3.5 Bı	icks	4.5+ B	ucks	Avg. Age	Total	Acres/
Š	Ss	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	All Bucks	3.5+ Bucks	3.5+ Bucks
1992	17,631	1,410	8	8,025	46	5,154	29	2,255	13	831	5	2.1	3,086	847
1993	18,585	1,301	7	8,527	46	5,488	30	2,489	13	852	5	2.1	3,341	740
1994	19,128	1,530	8	7,063	37	6,529	34	3,020	16	1,045	5	2.2	4,065	685
1995	14,650	1,172	8	3,391	23	5,503	38	3,367	23	1,187	8	2.5	4,554	560
1996	16,350	1,308	8	3,246	20	6,489	40	3,601	22	1,697	10	2.3	5,298	500
1997	14,405	1,296	9	2,737	19	5,474	38	3,601	25	1,585	11	2.4	5,186	456
1998	13,278	1,062	8	2,257	17	4,913	37	3,452	26	1,859	14	2.5	5,311	410
1999	12,336	864	7	1,727	14	4,441	36	3,577	29	1,850	15	2.5	5,428	393
+2000 +	11,329	680	6	1,586	14	3,965	35	3,285	29	1,813	16	2.6	5,098	379
2001	10,639	426	4	1,277	12	3,511	33	3,192	30	2,021	19	2.7	5,213	457
2002	11,191	448	4	1,343	12	3,357	30	3,469	31	2,462	22	2.8	5,931	434
2003	10,646	426	4	1,490	14	2,874	27	3,300	31	2,449	23	2.8	5,749	449
2004	9,992	300	3	1,099	11	2,798	28	3,297	33	2,398	24	2.9	5,695	450
2005	9,559	382	4	1,147	12	2,199	23	3,250	34	2,485	26	3.0	5,735	389
2006	9,891	396	4	1,385	14	1,978	20	3,066	31	3,066	31	3.0	6,132	358

Mississippi DMAP Data Table 8. Harvest Summary of Antlerless Deer by Age Class

Season	Sample	0.5 B	ucks	0.5 D	oes	1.5 Does		2.5 Does		3.5+ Does		Avg. Age
Š	Sa	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	All Does
1992	16,870	1,366	8	1,897	11	3,634	22	3,434	20	6,539	39	2.4
1993	20,481	1,218	6	1,827	9	4,756	23	4,352	21	8,328	41	2.4
1994	23,330	1,470	6	2,339	10	4,769	20	5,353	23	9,399	40	2.5
1995	25,997	1,187	5	2,691	10	5,903	23	5,599	22	10,619	41	2.4
1996	23,410	1,171	5	2,341	10	5,150	22	5,150	22	9,598	41	2.5
1997	21,763	1,088	5	2,176	10	4,788	22	4,570	21	9,140	42	2.5
1998	17,601	704	4	1,584	9	3,872	22	3,696	21	7,744	44	2.6
1999	16,288	652	4	1,466	9	3,420	21	3,746	23	7,004	43	2.6
+2000 +	15,228	457	3	1,066	7	3,350	22	3,350	22	7,005	46	2.7
2001	13,451	390	3	713	5	3,040	23	3,242	24	5,959	44	2.7
2002	14,260	385	3	913	6	3,009	21	3,437	24	6,702	47	2.7
2003	15,038	361	2	917	6	3,399	23	3,624	24	7,023	47	2.8
2004	14,763	340	2	989	7	3,145	21	3,558	24	6,732	46	2.7
2005	13,397	402	3	938	7	2,545	19	2,947	22	6,565	49	2.8
2006	15,184	456	3	1,063	7	2,885	19	3,037	20	7,744	51	2.9

Four points or better law initiated and bag limit changed from 5 bucks and 3 antlerless to 3 bucks and 5 antlerless with DMAP *1995* and FMAP participants exempt from the annual bag limit - 2 additional antlerless may be taken with archery equipment Bag limit changed to 3 bucks and 3 antlerless with DMAP and FMAP participants exempt from the annual bag limit, 2 additional antlerless may be taken with archery equipment. Four points or better law remain in effect. +2000+

Table 9. Statewide Compiled DMAP Data

	06	05	04	03	Sea 02	ason 01	00+	99	98	97	Ave 91-94	rage 02-06
Acres	2,139,094				-			99 2,662,032				
Total Deer	25,075	22,956	24,755	25,684	25,451	24,090	26,557	28,624	30,879	36,168	39,138	24,718
Bucks	9,891	9,559	9,992	10,646	11,191	10,639	11,329	12,336	13,278	14,405	19,562	10,230
Does	15,184	13,397	14,763	15,038	14,260	13,451	15,228	16,288	17,601	21,763	19,576	14,488
Acres/Deer	85	10,001	99	96	95	95	98	93	89	79	79.5	95
Bucks	216	241	245	233	217	216	230	216	207	198	159	230
Does	141	172	166	165	170	171	171	163	156	131	160	163
Avg Age ALL Bucks	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.2	2.6
Avg Points ALL Bucks	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.3	7.2	6.7	6.6	6.3	6.4	4.8	7.0
Avg Length ALL Bucks	16.5	16.6	16.4	16.0	16.0	15.7	14.6	14.2	13.5	13.7	10.4	31.1
Avg Spread ALL Bucks	13.5	13.5	13.4	13.0	13.0	12.8	11.9	11.6	11.0	11.2	8.7	12.7
Acres/3.5+Bucks	358	388	449	445	431	457	379	393	410	456	808	413
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	4
Weight*	67	74	66	71	75	66	64	63	64	62	63	65
% 1.5 Yr	14	12	11	14	12	12	14	16	17	19	44	13
Weight*	115	115	112	111	118	115	116	118	115	116	115	115
Points	3.1	3.1	3.4	3.6	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.3	3.2	4.0
Circumf.	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.4
Length	6.8	6.7	7.2	7.4	9.0	8.3	8.4	8.7	8.2	8.4	6.8	8.1
Spread	6.2	6.4	6.7	6.6	7.5	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.2	7.2	6.0	7.0
% 2.5 Yr	20	22	28	27	30	34	35	36	36	37	31	25
Weight*	148	149	149	148	150	145	147	149	146	149	148	148
Points	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.8	7.0	6.9	6.9	7.0	6.8	7.0	6.6	6.9
Circumf.	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4
Length	14.7	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.7	14.3	14.4	14.5	14.1	14.4	14.0	14.4
Spread	12.0	11.9	12.0	11.7	11.9	11.6	11.7	11.9	11.5	11.9	11.4	11.7
% 3.5 Yr	31	34	33	31	31	30	30	28	26	26	14	32
Weight*	169	170	169	172	169	166	168	170	165	165	163	169
Points	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.8
Circumf.	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0
Length	17.6	17.5	17.3	17.6	17.2	17.1	17.4	17.4	16.9	17.1	16.7	17.3
Spread	14.2	14.1	14.0	14.1	13.9	13.8	14.1	14.2	13.6	13.9	13.5	14.0
% 4.5+ Yr	31	27	24	23	22	19		14	14	12	5	25
Weight*	185	185	185	186	184	182	182	183	178	175	173	183
Points	8.3	8.4	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.5	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.3
Circumf.	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5
Length	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.5	19.4	19.6	19.4	19.0	19.0	18.6	19.5
Spread	15.8	15.7	15.7	15.6	15.5	15.4	15.6	15.5	15.0	15.2	14.9	15.5
# 4.5 Yr	1672	1609	1461	1511	1484	1250		1183	1082	1093	589	1543
Weight*	183	182	183	184	182	179	181	182	176	173	173	181
Points	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.3	8.4	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.3
Circumf.	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4
Length	19.3	19.2	19.4	19.4	19.2	18.9	19.4	19.1	18.7	18.7	18.6	19.2
Spread	15.5	15.4	15.6	15.4	15.3	15.1	15.5	15.4	14.8	15.0	14.8	15.3

Table 9. Continued

						ison					Ave	
# 5.5 Yr	06 834	05 650	04 530	03 576	02 579	01 467	00+ 395	99 372	98 339	97 334	91-94 151	02-06
Weight*	034 186	189	189	190	186	185	186	185	181	180	174	633 187
Points	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.6	8.5	8.3	7.9	8.4
Circumf.	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6
Length	19.9	20.4	20.2	20.2	20.0	20.1	19.9	20.1	19.6	19.7	18.9	20.1
Spread	15.9	16.1	16.0	16.0	15.9	15.9	15.9	15.8	15.4	15.9	15.1	15.9
# 6.5 Yr	327	236	194	202	146	159	125	112	118	85	44	221
Weight*	191	192	192	191	191	187	186	187	182	178	176	189
Points	8.3	8.5	8.2	8.4	8.4	8.3	8.6	8.5	8.7	8.5	8.3	8.4
Circumf.	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.7
Length	21.0	20.8	20.4	20.5	20.6	20.6	20.4	19.9	20.1	19.9	19.4	20.5
Spread	16.4	16.4	16.1	15.9	16.4	16.3	16.1	16.0	15.7	15.9	15.2	16.2
# 7.5 Yr	99	78	65	71	45	63	39	48	35	35	18	72
Weight*	192	192	189	190	192	183	187	189	185	170	168	188
Points	8.6	8.3	8.7	8.3	8.6	9.0	8.1	8.6	8.5	8.2	7.4	8.5
Circumf.	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.7
Length	21.0	20.6	20.8	20.5	20.2	20.0	20.6	19.8	20.2	19.2	18.3	20.4
Spread	16.3	16.0	16.6	16.6	15.3	15.8	16.2	15.8	15.8	15.2	15.0	16.1
# 8.5+ Yr	59	46	27	36	44	36	29	23	13	18	11	42
Weight*	186	195	183	186	180	190	183	179	191	173	171	184
Points	7.7	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.4	7.4	9.1	10.5	8.5	7.5	8.1
Circumf.	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5	5.3	4.6	4.3	4.6
Length	20.8	19.8	18.6	19.3	20.1	19.5	19.6	20.4	21.5	19.5	18.5	19.8
Spread	16.2	15.5	15.0	15.2	15.7	15.2	16.5	16.4	16.8	16.2	14.4	15.7
Doe Age Classes												
%0.5 Yr	7	7	7	6	6	5	7	10	10	11	13	7
% 1.5 Yr	20	20	22	23	21	23	23	22	23	23	59	21
% 2.5 Yr	20	22	24	22	23	25	23	24	22	23	66	22
% 3.5+ Yr	53	51	46	47	47	45	47	45	45	44	70	49
Doe Weights*												
Weight 0.5 Yr	65	66	64	67	66	64	63	62	63	61	11	63
Weight 1.5 Yr	98	98	96	96	99	97	96	96	95	95	23	96
Weight 2.5 Yr	109	111	109	108	110	108	107	108	107	107	24	108
Weight 3.5+ Yr	116	117	115	116	116	117	114	115	113	113	42	115
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	11	13	11	10	12	10	12	13	12	13	60	13
2.5 Yr	58	57	56	56	58	58	61	64	59	58	96	60
2.5+ Yr	68	66	63	64	65	66	68	71	68	67	108	67
3.5+ Yr	71	70	67	68	69	70	72	75	73	71	115	71
All Antierless H'vst												
% 0.5 Yr Bk Fawns	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	5	7	3
% 0.5 Yr Doe Fawns	7	7	7	6	6	5	7	9	9	10	10	7
%1.5 Yr Does	19	19	21	23	21	23	22	21	22	22	22	21
% 2.5 Yr Does	20	20	20	20	20	24	22	23	21	21	22	20
% 3.5+ Yr Does	51	49	46	47	47	44	46	43	44	42	39	48

Mississippi Soil Resource Areas



Table 10. Batture Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

				•	Sea	ison	•		•	•	Ave	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres	241,639	243,394	234,398	227,838	236,582	207,187	178,239	171,795	173,182	156,481	172,527	236,070
Total Deer	4,392	4,340	4,158	4,588	4,711	4,073	3,191	2,950	2,933	2,752	2,906	4,417
Bucks	1,775	1,757	1,595	1,879	1,935	1,530	1,300	1,308	1,444	1,288	1,449	1,779
Does	2.617	2.583	2.563	2.709	2.776	2.543	1.891	1.642	1.489	1.464	1.457	2.638
Acres/Deer	55	56	56	50	50	51	56	58	59	57	60	107
Bucks	136	139	147	121	122	135	137	131	120	121	119	133
3.5+ Bucks	167	177	205	168	191	215	232	239	240	283	693	181
Does	92	94	91	84	85	81	94	105	116	107	120	89
Avg Age ALL Bucks	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	3.4
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	4	3	3	4	3	4	7	5	5	5	6	3.2
Weight*	70	67	72	84	77	65	70	70	74	67	73	73.8
% 1.5 Yr	6	6	5	5	5	9	7	6	9	8	28	6
Weight*	124	115	116	112	119	115	130	129	127	123	134	117
Points	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.6	3.1	2.9	4.4	4.4	4.0	3.4	3.9	2.6
Circumf.	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3
Length	6.7	5.0	5.7	5.8	6.0	6.8	9.2	9.5	8.6	6.6	8.2	5.8
Spread	6.1	5.4	6.0	6.0	6.3	7.1	8.7	8.6	7.9	7.1	7.1	6.0
% 2.5 Yr	12	15	16	15	21	24	27	34	36	44	49	16
Weight*	165	159	165	166	166	164	168	167	165	166	169	164
Points	7.4	7.3	7.4	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.7	7.5	7.5
Circumf.	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.7
Length	16.9	16.3	16.8	16.8	16.5	16.4	16.7	16.8	16.2	16.1	15.5	16.7
Spread	13.9	13.3	13.7	13.8	13.6	13.4	13.7	13.7	13.4	13.5	13.0	13.7
% 3.5 Yr	33	35	35	39	38	36	35	36	32	30	14	36
Weight*	183	184	185	187	184	183	188	189	185	187	187	185
Points	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.2
Circumf.	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3
Length	19.4	19.6	19.5	19.5	19.0	19.0	19.9	19.9	19.3	18.8	18.7	19.4
Spread	15.5	15.7	15.8	15.6	15.3	15.4	16.2	16.1	15.5	15.5	15.4	15.6
% 4.5+ Yr	45	41	42	36	32	27	24	19	18	13	4	39
Weight*	193	192	193	195	194	192	202	197	193	198	198	194
Points	8.2	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.5	8.5	8.4
Circumf.	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6
Length	20.9	21.1	20.9	20.8	20.5	20.7	21.4	20.9	21.0	20.6	20.8	20.8
Spread	16.6	16.6	16.7	16.6	16.4	16.4	17.1	17.0	16.8	16.7	16.8	16.6
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	10	6	7	11	6	8	10	11	10	11	14	8
2.5 Yr	63	51	58	55	47	57	63	70	51	48	58	55
3.5+ Yr	77	67	69	65	59	65	77	75	63	65	68	67
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	7	6	6	8	6	6	9	11	10	9	11	6
% 1.5 Yr	19	19	22	18	20	24	24	18	19	21	20	20
% 2.5 Yr	24	27	25	27	31	30	25	28	27	28	30	27
% 3.5+ Yr	50	48	47	47	42	40	42	43	44	42	39	47
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	68	68	66	68	69	64	67	68	67	66	68	68
1.5 Yr	103	98	98	101	100	98	104	106	101	104	108	100
2.5 Yr	114	114	112	112	115	114	115	114	115	118	121	113
3.5+ Yr	122	121	119	122	122	121	123	124	122	125	126	121

Table 11. Delta Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

					Soc	ison					Δνο	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres		302,538		1	283,851	240,653	178,239			240,360	254,153	293,149
Total Deer	2,867	2,802	2,912	3,026	2,938	2,652	3,476	3,503	3,393	3,632	3,909	2,867
Bucks	1,093	1,191	1,169	1,231	1,343	1,096	1,360	1,469	1,467	1,364	1,830	1,195
Does	1,774	1.611	1,743	1.795	1,595	1.556	2,116	2,034	1.926	2.268	1.457	1.672
Acres/Deer	99	108	109	93	97	91	84	77	76	66	66	204
Bucks	261	254	273	229	211	220	215	184	175	176	140	245
3.5+ Bucks	401	335	445	382	407	432	243	375	416	503	962	395
Does	161	188	183	157	178	155	138	133	133	106	124	175
Avg Age ALL Bucks	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.1	3.1
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	6	3	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	7	8	3.6
Weight*	74	76	73	69	75	67	69	73	65	66	70	73.4
% 1.5 Yr	18	8	5	7	5	8	9	12	13	12	41	9
Weight*	125	124	127	124	133	120	134	135	131	126	134	127
Points	2.3	2.4	3.4	3.3	4.2	3.8	4.1	5.0	4.2	4.3	3.5	3.1
Circumf.	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3
Length	5.0	5.3	7.1	7.7	8.9	6.5	8.1	9.2	8.8	7.8	7.3	6.8
Spread	4.9	5.5	7.0	7.0	8.3	7.8	7.8	7.9	7.6	7.2	6.4	6.5
% 2.5 Yr	13	16	24	22	28	28	32	34	40	46	36	21
Weight*	170	170	174	175	170	164	167	168	167	163	169	171
Points	7.2	7.3	7.5	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.8	7.5	7.2	7.3	7.4
Circumf.	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.7
Length	16.5	16.5	16.9	16.5	15.8	15.6	15.6	15.8	15.3	14.8	15.1	16.4
Spread	13.9	13.6	14.2	13.6	13.0	12.9	13.1	13.2	13.0	12.7	12.8	13.6
% 3.5 Yr	30	38	35	36	37	33	36	33	28	26	12	35
Weight*	189	188	190	191	187	183	191	191	187	184	187	189
Points	8.4	8.1	8.3	8.2	7.9	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.2
Circumf.	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2
Length	19.3	19.1	19.0	18.9	18.2	18.4	19.0	18.6	18.4	18.2	18.0	18.9
Spread	15.8	15.5	15.7	15.2	14.8	14.8	15.6	15.5	15.2	14.8	14.9	15.4
% 4.5+ Yr	34	35	32	30	26	25	18	16	14	9	4	31
Weight*	199	199	197	200	196	198	204	202	200	197	197	198
Points	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.4	8.3	8.4	8.5	8.8	8.4	8.9	8.4	8.5
Circumf.	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5
Length	20.6	20.7	20.9	20.3	20.0	20.2	21.0	20.8	20.2	20.3	19.5	20.5
Spread	16.6	16.6	16.7	16.0	16.2	16.0	17.0	16.6	16.1	16.3	15.8	16.4
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	16	16	12	10	12	13	20	18	14	13	16	13
2.5 Yr	61	60	58	57	59	57	68	70	59	59	58	60
3.5+ Yr	72	68	67	68	69	68	76	78	70	69	71	69
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	9	8	9	7	7	6	8	10	9	9	12	8
% 1.5 Yr	21	19	21	24	21	23	22	20	22	22	21	21
% 2.5 Yr	20	24	26	24	26	25	23	23	25	29	27	24
% 3.5+ Yr	50	48	43	44	46	45	47	47	44	40	41	46
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	69	69	67	72	73	70	70	69	67	68	66	70
1.5 Yr	108	105	103	105	106	103	107	107	103	104	109	105
2.5 Yr	119	118	116	119	119	116	117	117	116	117	121	118
3.5+ Yr	126	125	124	127	126	124	124	123	121	125	129	125

Table 12. Upper Thick Loess Soil Resource Area . Summary of DMAP Data

				1		ison						rage
Acres	06	05 286,330	04	050 147	02	01	00+	99	98 245,798	97	91-94	02-06
Total Deer	289,445 5,294	4,624	256,675	258,147		236,886	196,733	, í		268,894	210,775	266,446
Bucks		,	4,148	4,053	3,595	3,680	2,909	3,722	3,596	4,268	2,732	4,328
Does	1,960 3,334	1,800 2,824	1,564	1,489	1,416	1,404 2,276	1,142	1,509 2,213	1,466	1,691 2,577	1,443	1,642
Acres/Deer		<u>2.824</u> 62	<u>2,584</u> 62	2.564	2.179		1.767		2,130		1.457	2.685
Bucks	55			64	68	64	68	63	68	63	78	123
3.5+ Bucks	148	159	164	173	171	169	172	155	168	159	146	162
Does	262 87	287	290 99	298	316	344	392	399	493	468	1179	290
Avg Age ALL Bucks	2.9	<u>101</u> 2.8	2.8	<u>101</u> 2.9	<u>111</u> 2.9	<u>104</u> 2.8	<u>111</u> 2.9	106 3.2	<u>115</u> 3.1	<u>104</u> 2.9	<u>169</u> 2.4	<u>99</u> 2.8
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	6	6	4	5	5	6	6	8	9	8	7	5.3
Weight*	68	68	69	74	69	70	69	69	68	68	72	69.5
% 1.5 Yr	18	16	15	12	10	11	12	17	17	20	53	14
Weight*	119	118	114	112	124	120	121	128	129	131	132	117
Points	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.8	4.4	3.6	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	3.9	3.0
Circumf.	2.3	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.2
Length	6.4	5.7	5.7	6.0	8.5	7.5	8.2	8.8	8.6	9.1	8.1	6.5
Spread	5.8	5.5	5.4	5.9	7.4	7.3	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.6	6.9	6.0
% 2.5 Yr	19	24	25	23	30	32	38	36	40	38	28	24
Weight*	155	157	154	154	160	154	156	161	160	161	163	156
Points	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.1	7.2	7.0	7.1
Circumf.	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6
Length	15.1	15.1	14.7	15.0	15.2	14.8	14.8	15.1	14.7	15.1	14.9	15.0
Spread	12.4	12.4	12.4	12.6	12.5	12.2	12.2	12.6	12.3	12.6	12.5	12.5
% 3.5 Yr	28	33	33	33	33	31	31	28	27	25	11	32
Weight*	176	178	176	179	176	173	179	186	185	186	190	177
Points	7.9	7.9	7.8	8.0	8.0	7.9	8.2	8.3	8.1	8.2	8.1	7.9
Circumf.	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Length	18.2	18.1	17.8	18.1	17.6	17.4	17.9	18.2	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.0
Spread	14.7	14.7	14.3	14.7	14.4	14.2	14.5	14.9	15.0	15.2	15.3	14.6
% 4.5+ Yr	29	22	22	25	22	20	13	11	7	9	2	24
Weight*	189	191	189	192	193	189	193	201	200	195	211	191
Points	8.3	8.5	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.6	8.8	8.6	8.2	8.6	8.3
Circumf.	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.6
Length	20.1	19.9	19.8	19.9	19.8	19.6	20.3	20.4	20.5	20.4	21.1	19.9
Spread	16.0	16.0	15.9	15.8	16.0	15.8	16.1	16.3	16.2	16.7	17.1	15.9
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	12	13	11	10	13	8	11	13	13	9	12	12
2.5 Yr	58	59	56	54	66	61	64	64	61	57	60	59
3.5+ Yr	71	73	68	66	70	70	72	77	70	67	66	69
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	6	7	7	7	7	6	6	10	11	11	12	7
% 1.5 Yr	19	19	20	22	20	21	24	22	23	22	23	20
% 2.5 Yr	21	22	23	20	22	22	22	25	23	21	25	21
% 3.5+ Yr	54	52	49	51	51	51	48	43	43	46	41	51
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	65	65	65	68	65	66	64	66	69	67	66	66
1.5 Yr	101	102	100	99	106	103	103	104	104	105	107	102
2.5 Yr	112	115	113	113	115	114	115	117	116	118	120	114
3.5+ Yr	120	122	120	121	122	123	122	125	124	126	128	121

					Soc	ison					Δνο	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres		145,715	147,216	160,276	153,658	148,830	166,906	193,570		226,654	233,912	149,758
Total Deer	2,607	2,406	2,651	2,914	2,864	2,721	3,022	3,515	4,299	4,943	6,077	2,678
Bucks	998	1,064	1,111	1,125	1,218	1,239	1,252	1,407	1,871	1,783	2,776	1,099
Does	1.609	1.342	1,540	1,789	1.646	1,482	1,730	2,108	2.458	3,160	1.457	1.579
Acres/Deer	55	61	56	55	54	55	55	55	50	46	39	112
Bucks	144	137	133	142	126	120	129	138	116	127	84	136
3.5+ Bucks	223	234	205	254	218	244	284	313	276	318	417	226
Does	89	109	96	90	93	100	96	92	87	72	73	95
Avg Age ALL Bucks	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	3.1
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	4	6	3	2	3	3	5	7	5	7	7	3.4
Weight*	61	109	63	64	67	70	66	61	67	58	63	72.8
% 1.5 Yr	9	9	9	10	9	12	14	14	15	18	34	9
Weight*	113	111	107	112	121	113	111	119	113	116	117	113
Points	2.7	3.1	3.1	3.5	4.4	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.3	4.1	3.1	3.4
Circumf.	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3
Length	7.1	5.9	6.5	7.3	9.1	7.7	6.0	7.0	6.4	7.5	6.5	7.2
Spread	6.7	6.1	6.2	6.8	7.8	7.1	6.3	6.7	6.5	6.8	6.0	6.7
% 2.5 Yr	19	19	23	30	27	30	34	35	39	35	38	24
Weight*	147	148	145	152	149	148	150	149	146	149	151	148
Points	7.0	7.2	6.8	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	6.8	7.0	6.9	7.1
Circumf.	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5
Length	14.4	14.8	14.0	14.5	14.6	14.1	14.3	14.2	13.8	14.1	14.3	14.4
Spread	11.6	12.0	11.8	11.9	11.9	11.2	11.6	11.6	11.2	11.6	11.8	11.8
% 3.5 Yr	29	34	35	27	30	29	27	26	23	22	16	31
Weight*	165	165	166	169	168	164	170	168	166	163	169	167
Points	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.9	8.0	7.7	8.0	7.9	7.7	7.9	7.9	7.8
Circumf.	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0
Length	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.3	17.1	16.8	17.3	17.2	16.8	16.8	17.1	17.2
Spread % 4.5+ Yr	14.0 39	<u>14.0</u> 31	13.6 30	13.8	13.7 28	13.6	14.0	<u>13.7</u> 18	13.5	13.7	13.8 5	13.8 32
Weight*	182	182	183	30 185	184	23 183	184	186	18 181	18 180	5 182	183
Points	8.4	8.8	8.5	8.5	8.7	8.4	8.6	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.4	8.6
Circumf.	4.5	0.0 4.6	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6
Length	4.5	4.0	19.3	20.0	4.7	4.5	19.9	4.5	4.5	19.6	4.5	4.0
Spread	15.4	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	15.4	15.6	15.5	15.1	15.7	15.4	15.4
% Doe Lactation	13.4	13.2	13.3	13.4	10.0	13.4	15.0	13.3	13.1	13.7	13.4	13.4
1.5 Yr	8	10	7	6	12	10	8	11	7	11	9	9
2.5 Yr	53	61	50	59	65	58	62	62	53	56	60	58
3.5+ Yr	74	76	65	73	75	74	72	78	71	70	72	73
Doe Age Classes	1 -	10	00	10	10	11	12	10		10	12	10
% 0.5 Yr	6	8	7	5	4	4	7	9	9	11	10	6
% 0.5 Yr	21	20	24	25	22	23	24	21	25	23	24	22
% 2.5 Yr	18	21	22	19	19	21	23	19	21	20	25	20
% 3.5+ Yr	55	50	47	48	51	48	48	51	45	46	42	50
Doe Weights*		00										
0.5 Yr	64	67	61	64	67	66	63	61	64	59	60	65
1.5 Yr	98	97	94	96	101	98	96	96	96	96	97	97
2.5 Yr	110	110	110	111	110	111	112	110	109	109	111	110
3.5+ Yr	116	118	116	117	116	117	117	116	117	116	118	117

Table 13. Lower Thick Loess Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

Table 14. Upper Thin Loess Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data

					Sea	ison					Ave	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres	111,780	100,316	186,374	188,073	193,902	171,215	181,754	187,806	211,555	206,051	221,531	154,880
Total Deer	1,688	1,375	2,086	2,029	1,974	1,818	2,020	2,459	2,757	2,993	3,045	1,818
Bucks	629	577	906	860	935	890	999	1,004	1,145	1,247	1,656	777
Does	1.059	798	1.180	1.169	1.039	928	1.021	1.455	1.612	1.746	1.457	1.042
Acres/Deer	66	73	89	93	98	94	90	76	77	69	73	170
Bucks	178	174	206	219	207	192	182	187	185	165	134	199
3.5+ Bucks	353	257	450	492	539	422	520	567	596	551	1365	415
Does	106	126	158	161	187	184	178	129	131	118	163	149
Avg Age ALL Bucks	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.6
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	5	6	3	4	7	3	4	6	9	9	7	5
Weight*	62	66	62	66	97	66	58	62	63	63	63	70.8
% 1.5 Yr	16	19	15	21	23	15	15	16	23	21	52	19
Weight*	107	115	115	118	121	117	116	118	116	116	112	115
Points	3.1	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	3.2	3.8
Circumf.	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.3
Length	5.9	7.4	7.3	8.3	9.2	7.9	8.5	8.3	8.4	8.4	6.7	7.7
Spread	6.1	6.9	6.9	7.2	7.7	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.1	5.8	7.0
% 2.5 Yr	25	26	31	28	30	34	47	45	37	40	31	28
Weight*	142	145	144	148	147	147	142	145	144	144	144	145
Points	6.9	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.6	6.7	6.6	6.8	6.7	6.9	6.5	6.6
Circumf.	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4
Length	14.3	13.9	13.7	13.9	14.0	14.0	13.8	14.4	13.9	14.0	13.6	14.0
Spread	11.5	11.2	11.1	11.5	11.4	11.7	11.3	11.7	11.2	11.5	11.0	11.3
% 3.5 Yr	29	32	34	30	25	28	27	26	23	25	9	30
Weight*	155	157	156	159	160	154	158	166	165	162	164	157
Points	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.9	8.1	7.8	7.9	7.3
Circumf.	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.8
Length	16.1	15.9	15.7	15.8	16.3	15.5	16.7	17.3	17.3	17.0	17.3	16.0
Spread	12.7	13.0	12.7	12.9	13.4	12.5	13.3	14.0	13.7	13.9	14.0	12.9
% 4.5+ Yr	25	15	14	17	14	17	8	7	8	5	2	17
Weight*	169	168	170	173	171	166	171	171	173	170	174	170
Points	8.0	7.8	8.0	7.9	8.0	7.8	8.1	8.4	8.8	8.3	8.4	7.9
Circumf.	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3
Length	18.1	18.0	18.3	18.0	18.2	17.8	18.7	19.0	19.0	19.3	19.3	18.1
Spread	14.5	14.3	14.4	14.4	14.7	14.2	15.0	15.2	14.9	15.4	15.4	14.5
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	10	21	20	10	17	11	10	13	14	13	9	16
2.5 Yr	54	59	54	56	61	51	59	59	60	57	54	57
3.5+ Yr	64	61	70	70	71	66	67	70	71	66	65	67
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	9	9	5	10	11	7	5	11	10	12	12	9
% 1.5 Yr	21	21	23	26	24	24	26	23	24	22	24	23
% 2.5 Yr	17	22	21	20	19	23	26	28	24	23	25	20
% 3.5+ Yr	52	47	45	44	45	43	43	38	42	43	39	47
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	59	62	62	73	74	66	63	63	62	60	60	66
1.5 Yr	91	95	93	97	98	96	89	92	94	93	93	95
2.5 Yr	103	109	107	106	106	107	102	102	105	104	104	106
3.5+ Yr	110	109	111	112	112	112	109	110	110	111	111	111

Soil Resources

					Sea	ison					Ave	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres	100,734	131,044	177,211	170,730	178,461	171,661	223,985		236,033	197,471	214,591	150,334
Total Deer	1,547	1,327	2,188	2,453	2,284	2,173	2,776	3,426	3,915	4,798	3,892	1,944
Bucks	504	487	811	891	897	836	1,043	1,157	1,379	1,502	1,705	712
Does	1.043	840	1.377	1.562	1.387	1.337	1.733	2.269	2.536	3.296	1,457	1.233
Acres/Deer	65	99	81	70	78	79	81	67	60	41	55	155
Bucks	200	269	219	192	199	205	216	199	171	131	126	211
3.5+ Bucks	336	307	362	394	377	419	430	391	364	313	578	353
Does	97	156	129	109	129	128	130	102	93	60	99	122
Avg Age ALL Bucks	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	3.0
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	6	5	2	2	2	2	4	8	7	10	9	3.1
Weight*	66	69	69	74	131	71	61	60	66	57	62	81.7
% 1.5 Yr	16	11	9	14	12	11	11	13	14	18	39	13
Weight*	109	116	110	114	122	121	115	115	111	109	110	114
Points	2.9	3.6	3.0	3.7	4.4	3.9	3.8	4.2	3.6	4.3	2.8	3.5
Circumf.	2.1	2.3	1.8	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.2
Length	5.3	7.6	6.4	7.6	8.9	7.7	7.4	8.0	7.3	8.0	5.8	7.1
Spread	5.8	7.0	7.8	7.0	7.7	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.6	7.0	5.6	7.0
% 2.5 Yr	16	19	22	26	27	31	35	28	32	30	30	22
Weight*	147	146	143	149	150	143	144	145	143	143	142	147
Points	7.1	6.5	6.5	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.8	6.3	6.7
Circumf.	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3
Length	14.7	14.0	13.5	13.7	14.1	13.9	14.1	13.7	13.9	13.9	13.6	14.0
Spread	11.5	11.4	11.1	10.9	11.4	10.9	11.3	11.1	11.0	11.2	10.7	11.3
% 3.5 Yr	28	37	37	31	31	29	28	27	28	27	16	33
Weight*	165	164	162	168	167	164	163	163	159	159	163	165
Points	7.2	7.3	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.5	7.5
Circumf.	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9
Length	16.6	16.2	16.4	16.9	17.1	16.5	17.0	16.6	16.2	16.8	16.7	16.6
Spread	13.1	12.9	13.3	13.4	13.7	13.3	13.5	13.4	12.8	13.4	13.3	13.3
% 4.5+ Yr	35	26	26	23	25	23	22	24	19	15	7	27
Weight*	180	177	179	181	181	179	176	177	174	173	176	180
Points	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.3	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.2	8.3	8.2
Circumf.	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4
Length	18.8	18.7	18.7	19.1	19.3	19.3	18.9	18.9	18.9	19.1	19.2	18.9
Spread	15.1	14.7	14.8	14.9	15.0	15.1	15.0	14.9	14.9	15.1	15.0	14.9
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	8	9	10	10	12	14	9	10	9	9	11	10
2.5 Yr	66	61	63	61	61	64	60	62	57	57	61	62
3.5+ Yr	74	74	72	74	77	74	74	77	77	74	75	74
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	6	7	5	4	5	3	7	9	10	10	10	6
% 1.5 Yr	19	21	24	25	23	24	24	22	24	24	23	22
% 2.5 Yr	16	17	18	19	19	22	23	22	20	18	24	18
% 3.5+ Yr	59	54	49	49	47	47	46	47	46	48	43	52
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	64	67	63	63	74	70	61	59	62	55	59	66
1.5 Yr	96	99	96	98	101	99	95	95	94	93	94	98
2.5 Yr	107	110	107	109	110	108	107	104	106	104	107	109
3.5+ Yr	115	115	115	115	116	116	114	113	114	112	115	115

Table 15. Lower Thin Loess Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

Table 16. Black Prairie Soil Resource Area
Summary of DMAP Data

Arres 1117,686 147,446 225,176 16,863 14,270 153,36 17,057 16,83 14,757 16,863 14,270 153,36 17,057 16,83 14,757 16,863 14,270 13,288 17,057 16,863 14,270 13,288 17,057 16,863 14,270 13,288 17,057 16,863 14,270 13,288 17,057 16,863 17,245 13,28 17,057 16,863 17,245 17,370 16,863 17,245 16,753 764 663 765 764 673 783 706 663 14,37 1715 1717 110,803 174 110 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th><th>ison</th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>rage</th></th<>					1		ison	1					rage
Total Deer 992 992 1,513 1,776 1,663 1,475 1,246 1,328 1,455 1,625 1,994 1,370 Bucks 333 366 644 976 783 772 540 629 673 978 478 978 4	Asuas	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Bucks 333 366 640 916 785 722 540 629 675 646 857 6512 Does 559 560 373 860 376 773 776 649 770 789 780 783 770 649 780 789 781 773 782 783 785 784 647 773 782 783 785 784 647 773 782 783 785 784 642 772 783 785 784 642 773 782 784 785 771 710 713 773 785 771 710 717 710 717 723 724 724 723 725 723 725 723 725 723 725 724 744 74 74 743 745 747 744 745 745 745 745 745 745 745 745 745 <td></td>													
Does 560 570 873 860 878 753 706 699 780 975 1457 758 AresyDeer 130 159 148 119 131 127 115 117 119 106 79 259 Bucks 33 403 352 230 227 259 265 248 248 203 223 227 174 16 6 8 4 Ope 207 253 258 245 248 248 203 223 1.2 2.4 2.4 2.6 2.5 3.2 3.1 2.9 2.4 2.4 2.6 2.5 3.2 3.1 1.6 114 110 115 114 116 116 116 113 114 110 114 116 116 113 114 110 114 116 116 116 116 116 116 116 116 116													
Acres/Deer 130 159 149 119 131 127 115 117 119 105 79 269 Bucks 35.4 403 35.2 230 277 259 265 244 223 222 174 139 436 Avg Age ALBucks 30 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.4 2.4 203 223 222 174 139 43 Avg Age ALBucks 30 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.4 2.5 32 3.1 2.9 2.4 2.6 % 0.5 Yr Bucks 2 3 6 4 5 5 7 4 6 6 8 4 Weight* 118 124 113 105 114 110 114 116 116 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 5.8 9.5 6.9 8.2													
Bucks 353 403 352 230 277 259 265 243 257 283 186 301 3.5+ Bucks 497 737 828 799 655 547 539 551 642 752 913 702 Pag Age ALL Bucks 3.0 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.4 2.5 3.2 3.1 2.9 2.4 2.6 % 0.5 Yr Bucks 2 3 6 4 5 5 7 4 6 6 8 4.4 Weight* 65 7.4 64 60 63 62 60 63 62 64 64.8 % 1.5 Yr 10 9 9 3.7 2.0 1.4 1.0 1.14 1.10 1.14 1.14 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.13 1.13 1.13 1.13					1	1	1	1		1			
3.5+ Bucks 407 737 828 799 659 547 539 551 642 752 913 702 Does 207 263 254 245 248 203 223 222 174 189 243 Arg Age ALL Bucks 30 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.4 2.5 3.2 3.2 3.1 2.9 2.4 2.6 % 0.5 Yr Bucks 2 3 6 4 5 5 7 4 6 6 8 4 Weight* 10 9 9 37 20 17 15 17 2.2 2.3 4.9 17 Weight* 118 124 113 105 114 110 114 116 116 115 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.8 3.3 4.0 Circumf. 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.8 3.7 9.9 3.7 7.9 3.8 <td></td>													
Does 207 263 258 245 248 248 203 223 222 174 139 243 Avg Age ALL Bucks 3.0 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.4 2.5 3.2 3.1 2.9 2.4 2.6 % 0.5 Yr 106 6 4 5 5 7 4 6 6 8 4 Weight* 16 9 9 37 20 17 15 17 22 3 49 17 Weight* 118 124 13 105 114 110 114 116 116 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.5 4.8 3.3 3.0 3.2 2.5 5.2 2.2 5.2 2.2 5.5 2.2 5.5 2.2 5.5 2.2 5.5 2.2 5.5 2.2 5.5 2						1							
Avg Age ALL Bucks 3.0 2.9 2.6 2.1 2.4 2.5 3.2 3.2 3.1 2.9 2.4 2.6 % 0.5 Yr Bucks 2 3 6 4 5 5 7 4 6 6 8 4 Weight* 165 74 64 60 63 62 64 64 64 60 63 62 64 64 64 60 63 62 64 64 64 60 63 62 64 64 64 64 63 62 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 51 14 110 114 116 116 113 </td <td></td>													
% 0.5 Yr Bucks 2 3 6 4 5 5 7 4 6 6 8 4 Weight* 65 74 64 60 63 62 60 63 62 64 648 64 64 % 6.5 Yr 10 9 9 37 20 17 15 17 22 23 49 17 Weight* 118 124 113 105 114 110 114 116 116 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.5 4.8 3.3 4.0 Circumf. 2.5 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.6 2.8 2.9 3.0 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.4 1.3.3 1.4.5 1.3.3 1.4.5 1.3.3<													
Weight* 65 74 64 60 63 62 60 63 62 64 64.8 % i.5 Yr 10 9 9 37 20 17 15 17 22 23 49 17 Weight* 118 114 110 114 116 116 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.5 4.8 3.3 4.0 Circumf. 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.6 2.5 7.7 2.6 2.7 2.6 2.5 2.2 2.5 Spread 6.9 7.9 6.9 5.7 7.4 6.8 8.1 7.6 7.7 8.63 6.9 6.8 2.9 2.2 132 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143					1	1							
% 1.5 Yr 10 9 9 37 20 17 15 17 22 23 49 17 Weight* 118 124 113 105 114 110 114 116 116 116 116 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.5 4.8 3.3 4.0 Circumf. 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.6 2.5 2.7 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.2 2.5 Length 8.5 6.9 7.9 6.9 5.7 7.4 6.8 8.1 7.6 7.0 7.8 6.3 6.9 % 2.5 Yr 23 25 3.8 2.7 30 3.3 2.9 3.4 3.2 3.6 1.3 1.43 Points 6.6													
Weight* 118 124 113 105 114 110 114 116 116 116 113 114 Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.5 4.8 3.3 4.0 Circumf. 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.6 2.5 2.7 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.2 2.5 Length 8.5 8.6 8.3 9.5 8.5 97 9.0 8.8 9.5 6.9 8.2 Spread 6.9 7.9 6.8 2.7 7.4 6.8 8.1 7.6 7.0 7.8 6.3 6.9 Weight* 144 147 146 133 133 32 32 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 <								<u> </u>					
Points 3.6 3.9 4.3 3.2 5.0 4.6 5.1 4.9 4.5 4.8 3.3 4.0 Circumf. 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.6 2.5 2.7 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.2 2.5 2.2 2.5 3.8 5.7 7.9 0.0 3.8 9.5 6.9 8.9 5.6 9.82 Spread 6.9 7.9 6.9 5.7 7.4 6.8 8.1 7.6 7.0 7.3 6.3 9.5 Weight* 144 147 146 14.8 1.33 13.3 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.4													
Circumf. 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.6 2.5 2.7 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.2 2.5 Length 8.5 8.6 8.3 6.3 9.5 8.5 9.7 9.0 8.8 9.5 6.9 8.2 Spread 6.9 7.9 6.9 5.7 7.4 6.8 8.1 7.6 7.8 6.3 6.9 % 2.5 Yr 23 23 38 27 30 33 32 34 32 36 22 28 Weight* 144 147 146 136 141 130 132 142 139 143 143 143 Points 6.8 6.9 6.1 6.6 6.5 6.6 6.5 6.6 6.5 6.9 6.1 6.6 Groumf. 13.6 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.5 14.0 13.8 13.7 14.2 Spread 12.0 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.5 13.6 13.0 13.1 13.1 13.	_												
Length8.58.68.36.39.58.59.79.08.89.58.59.7Spread6.97.77.46.88.17.67.07.86.36.9% 2.5 Yr232538277.46.88.17.67.07.86.36.9Weight*144147146136141130132142139143143143Points6.86.96.66.36.86.66.56.66.56.96.16.6Circumf.3.53.33.33.33.33.23.23.23.43.43.43.33.33.3Length14.614.814.513.413.813.313.514.013.814.513.714.2Spread12.012.111.710.911.110.810.911.311.211.910.911.5% 3.5 Yr393932203028283027261532Weight*16016416515815515415415415315415415415315415415415315415415315415415315616416416516816.017.017.017.017.017.017.017.017.017.017.017.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4.9</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>									4.9		1		
Spread 6.9 7.9 6.9 5.7 7.4 6.8 8.1 7.6 7.0 7.8 6.3 6.9 % 2.5 Yr 23 25 38 27 30 33 29 34 32 36 23 28 Weight* 144 147 146 136 141 130 132 142 139 143 143 143 Points 6.8 6.9 6.6 6.7 7.7 7.4 7.7 7.8 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2.8 3.0 2.7 2.6 1.5 1.5 1.54 1.54 1.54 1.58 1.52 1.53 1.52 1.53 1.52 1.53 1.52 1.55 1.55 <													
% 2.5 Yr 23 25 38 27 30 33 29 34 32 36 23 28 Weight* 144 147 146 136 141 130 132 142 139 143 143 143 Points 6.8 6.9 6.6 6.3 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.6 6.5 6.9 6.1 6.6 Circumf. 35 35 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.2 2.2 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.3 3.4 Length 14.6 14.8 14.5 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.5 14.0 13.8 14.5 13.7 14.2 Spread 12.0 12.1 11.7 10.9 11.1 10.8 10.9 11.3 11.2 11.9 10.9 11.5 Weight* 160 164 165 158 155 154 154 158 152 163 160 161 Points 7.6 7.5 7.7 7.4 7.4 <th< td=""><td></td><td>8.5</td><td>8.6</td><td>8.3</td><td>6.3</td><td>9.5</td><td>8.5</td><td>9.7</td><td>9.0</td><td>8.8</td><td>9.5</td><td>6.9</td><td>8.2</td></th<>		8.5	8.6	8.3	6.3	9.5	8.5	9.7	9.0	8.8	9.5	6.9	8.2
Weight* 144 147 146 136 141 130 132 142 139 143 143 143 Points 6.8 6.6 6.3 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.6 6.5 6.9 6.1 6.6 Circumf. 3.5 3.5 3.3 3.3 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.3 Length 14.6 14.8 14.5 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.5 14.0 13.8 14.5 13.7 14.2 Spread 12.0 12.1 11.7 10.9 11.1 10.8 10.9 11.3 11.2 11.9 10.9 11.5 % 3.5 Yr 39 39 32 20 30 28 28 30 27 26 15 32 Weight* 160 164 161 161 166 16.9 16.1 161 Points 13.3 13.5													
Points 6.8 6.9 6.6 6.3 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.6 6.5 6.9 6.1 6.6 Circurmf. 3.5 3.5 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.3 Length 14.6 14.8 14.5 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.3 14.0 13.8 14.5 13.7 14.2 Spread 12.0 12.1 11.7 10.9 11.1 10.8 10.9 11.3 11.2 10.9 11.5 % 3.5 Yr 39 39 32 20 30 28 28 30 27 26 15 32 Weight* 160 164 165 158 155 154 154 158 152 163 160 161 Points 7.6 7.5 7.7 7.4 7.4 7.7 7.8 8.0 7.8 7.5 7.5 Circ					27	1	33						
Circumf. 3.5 3.5 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.4 Length 14.6 14.8 14.5 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.5 14.0 13.8 14.5 13.7 14.2 Spread 12.0 12.1 11.7 10.9 11.1 10.8 10.9 11.3 11.2 11.9 10.9 11.5 % 3.5 Yr 39 39 32 20 30 28 28 30 27 26 15 32 Weight* 160 164 165 158 155 154 155 154 154 154 154 154 154 154 154 <td></td>													
Length 14.6 14.8 14.5 13.4 13.8 13.3 13.5 14.0 13.8 14.5 13.7 14.2 Spread 12.0 12.1 11.7 10.9 11.1 10.8 10.9 11.3 11.2 11.9 10.9 11.5 % 3.5 Yr 39 39 32 20 30 28 28 30 27 26 15 32 Weight* 160 164 165 158 155 154 154 158 152 163 160 161 Points 7.6 7.5 7.7 7.4 7.7 7.8 8.0 7.8 7.6 7.3 3.9 Length 16.5 16.9 16.8 16.4 16.1 16.6 16.9 16.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17		6.8	6.9	6.6	6.3	6.8	6.6	6.5	6.6	6.5	6.9	6.1	6.6
Spread 12.0 12.1 11.7 10.9 11.1 10.8 10.9 11.3 11.2 11.9 10.9 11.5 % 3.5 Yr 39 39 32 20 30 28 28 30 27 26 15 32 Weight* 160 164 165 158 154 154 158 152 163 160 161 Points 7.6 7.5 7.7 7.4 7.4 7.7 38 30 38 38 39 39 38 38 37 39 Length 16.5 16.9 16.8 16.4 16.1 16.6 16.9 16.0 17.0		3.5	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4
% 3.5 Yr 39 39 32 20 30 28 28 30 27 26 15 32 Weight* 160 164 165 158 155 154 154 158 152 163 160 161 Points 7.6 7.5 7.7 7.4 7.4 7.7 7.8 8.0 7.8 7.6 7.3 7.5 Circumf. 3.9 4.0 3.8 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.7 7.5 Circumf. 16.5 16.9 16.4 16.1 16.6 16.0 16.0 17.0 16.4 16.5 Spread 13.3 13.5 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.7 13.2 13.2 13.3 % 4.5+ Yr 26 24 14 10 14 15 21 15 13 19 6 18 Weight* 182 182 179 177 170 174 177 168 172 173 178	Length	14.6	14.8	14.5	13.4	13.8	13.3	13.5	14.0	13.8	14.5	13.7	14.2
Weight*160164165158155154154158152163160161Points7.67.57.77.47.47.77.88.07.87.67.37.5Circumf.3.94.03.83.93.83.93.93.83.93.83.83.93.83.83.93.83.83.73.9Length16.516.916.916.916.916.916.017.016.416.5Spread13.313.513.613.012.913.013.113.412.613.713.213.3 % 4.5 Yr 26241410141521151319618Weight*182182179177170170174177168172173178Points8.48.28.08.18.18.68.38.68.38.38.08.1Circumf.4.54.54.34.64.24.34.54.44.54.34.24.4Length19.319.118.118.618.718.518.718.518.718.514.514.5Ope Lactation19.319.118.118.618.318.618.718.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.5		12.0	12.1	11.7	10.9	11.1	10.8	10.9	11.3	11.2	11.9	10.9	11.5
Points7.67.67.77.47.47.77.88.07.87.67.67.37.5Circumf.3.94.03.83.93.83.83.93.93.93.83.83.93.93.83.83.73.9Length16.516.916.816.416.116.116.616.916.017.016.416.5Spread13.313.513.613.012.913.013.113.412.613.713.213.3% 4.5 Yr2624141014152115139616Weight*182179177170170174177168172173178Points8.48.28.08.18.18.18.38.68.38.38.08.1Circumf.4.54.54.34.64.24.34.54.44.54.34.24.4Length19.319.118.118.618.318.618.318.518.718.514.514.7% Doe Lactation19.319.118.118.618.318.618.318.618.315.114.514.7 * Doe Age Classes1924161011912169151415 * 0.5 Yr738884	% 3.5 Yr	39	39	32	20	30	28	28	30	27	26	15	32
Circumf. 3.9 4.0 3.8 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.9 3.9 3.8 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.9 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.7 3.9 Length 16.5 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.0 17.0 16.4 16.5 Spread 13.3 13.5 13.6 13.0 12.9 13.0 13.1 13.4 12.6 13.7 13.2 13.3 $\% 4.5 Yr$ 26 24 14 10 14 15 21 15 13 9 6 18 Weight* 182 182 179 177 170 170 174 177 168 172 173 178 Points 8.4 8.2 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.6 8.3 8.6 8.3 8.0 8.1 Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 Length 19.3 19.1 18.1 18.6 18.3 18.7 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.8 14.3 15.1 14.5 1.5 Yr 19 24 16 10 11 9 12 16 9 51 14 15 2.5 Yr 73 70 70	Weight*	160	164	165	158	155	154	154	158	152	163	160	161
Length 16.5 16.9 16.8 16.4 16.1 16.1 16.6 16.9 16.0 17.0 16.4 16.5 Spread 13.3 13.5 13.6 13.0 12.9 13.0 13.1 13.4 12.6 13.7 13.2 13.3 % 4.5+ Yr 26 24 14 10 14 15 21 15 13 9 6 18 Weight* 182 182 179 177 170 174 177 168 172 173 178 Points 8.4 8.2 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.6 8.3 8.6 8.3 8.3 8.0 8.1 Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 8.0 8.1 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.6 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9	Points	7.6	7.5	7.7	7.4	7.4	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.6	7.3	7.5
Spread 13.3 13.5 13.6 13.0 12.9 13.0 13.1 13.4 12.6 13.7 13.2 13.3 % 4.5+ Yr 26 24 14 10 14 15 21 15 13 9 6 18 Weight* 182 182 179 177 170 170 174 177 168 172 173 178 Points 8.4 8.2 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.6 8.3 8.6 8.3 8.3 8.0 8.1 Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 Length 19.3 19.1 18.1 18.6 18.3 18.6 18.3 18.6 18.3 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.7 15.7 15.7	Circumf.	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.9
% 4.5+ Yr 26 24 14 10 14 15 21 15 13 9 6 18 Weight* 182 182 179 177 170 170 174 177 168 172 173 178 Points 8.4 8.2 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.6 8.3 8.6 8.3 8.3 8.0 8.1 Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 Length 19.3 19.1 18.1 18.6 18.3 18.6 18.7 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.8 14.3 15.1 14.5 14.7 % Doe Lactation 16 17 66 66 66 62 71 66 69	Length	16.5	16.9	16.8	16.4	16.1	16.1	16.6	16.9	16.0	17.0	16.4	16.5
Weight* 182 182 179 177 170 177 177 168 172 173 178 Points 8.4 8.2 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.6 8.3 8.6 8.3 8.3 8.0 8.1 Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 Length 19.3 19.1 18.1 18.6 18.3 18.6 18.7 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.3 15.1 14.5 14.7 % Doe Lactation 19 24 16 10 11 9 12 16 9 15 14 15 2.5 Yr 57 64 61 54 61 57 52 58 50 61 57 59	Spread	13.3	13.5	13.6	13.0	12.9	13.0	13.1	13.4	12.6	13.7	13.2	13.3
Points 8.4 8.2 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.6 8.3 8.6 8.3 8.3 8.0 8.1 Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 Length 19.3 19.1 18.1 18.6 18.3 18.6 18.7 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.8 14.3 15.1 14.5 14.7 % Doe Lactation Image: Comparison of the	% 4.5+ Yr	26	24	14	10	14	15	21	15	13	9	6	18
Circumf. 4.5 4.5 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.5 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.2 4.4 Length 19.3 19.1 18.1 18.6 18.3 18.6 18.7 18.5 18.7 18.9 18.4 18.6 Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.3 15.1 14.5 14.7 % Doe Lactation 1 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.7 14.8 14.8 14.3 15.1 14.5 14.7 % Doe Lactation 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.4 14.7 % Doe Lactation 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.4 15.7 14.5 14.7 15.7 15.7 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.8 5.0 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.0 7.1 6.6	Weight*	182	182	179	177	170	170	174	177	168	172	173	178
Length19.319.118.118.618.318.618.718.518.718.918.418.6Spread14.915.014.214.714.815.014.614.814.315.114.514.7 % Doe Lactation III	Points	8.4	8.2	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.6	8.3	8.6	8.3	8.3	8.0	8.1
Spread 14.9 15.0 14.2 14.7 14.8 15.0 14.6 14.8 14.3 15.1 14.5 14.7 % Doe Lactation Image: Ima	Circumf.	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.4
Spread14.915.014.214.714.815.014.614.814.315.114.514.7% Doe Lactation19241610119121691514151.5 Yr19241610119121691514152.5 Yr5764615461575258506157593.5+ Yr737070637166666662716669Doe Age Classes	Length	19.3	19.1	18.1	18.6	18.3	18.6	18.7	18.5	18.7	18.9	18.4	18.6
% Doe Lactation Image of the system	Spread	14.9	15.0	14.2	14.7	14.8	15.0	14.6	14.8	14.3	15.1	14.5	14.7
2.5 Yr 57 64 61 54 61 57 52 58 50 61 57 59 3.5+ Yr 73 70 70 63 71 66 66 66 62 71 66 69 Doe Age Classes <	% Doe Lactation												
2.5 Yr 57 64 61 54 61 57 52 58 50 61 57 59 3.5+ Yr 73 70 70 63 71 66 66 66 62 71 66 69 Doe Age Classes <	1.5 Yr	19	24	16	10	11	9	12	16	9	15	14	15
3.5+ Yr 73 70 70 63 71 66 66 66 62 71 66 69 Doe Age Classes </td <td>2.5 Yr</td> <td></td>	2.5 Yr												
Doe Age Classes Image:	3.5+ Yr												
% 0.5 Yr 8 8 8 4 9 7 8 10 11 14 12 7 % 1.5 Yr 18 24 20 28 19 25 24 23 21 20 24 22 % 2.5 Yr 20 21 30 20 20 20 18 20 20 23 19 22 % 3.5+ Yr 55 47 42 44 47 45 50 47 48 43 47 47 Doe Weights* 47 45 50 47 48 43 47 47 Doe Weights* 47 <	Doe Age Classes												
% 1.5 Yr 18 24 20 28 19 25 24 23 21 20 24 22 % 2.5 Yr 20 21 30 20 20 20 18 20 20 23 19 22 % 3.5+ Yr 55 47 42 44 47 45 50 47 48 43 47 47 Doe Weights*	_	8	8	8	4	9	7	8	10	11	14	12	7
% 2.5 Yr 20 21 30 20 20 18 20 20 23 19 22 % 3.5+ Yr 55 47 42 44 47 45 50 47 48 43 47 47 Doe Weights*													
% 3.5+ Yr 55 47 42 44 47 45 50 47 48 43 47 47 Doe Weights* 47 45 50 47 48 43 47 47 Doe Weights* <td></td>													
Doe Weights* Image: Second secon													
0.5 Yr 67 71 63 55 54 56 55 62 61 60 59 62 1.5 Yr 97 96 94 92 94 90 90 95 93 98 95 94 2.5 Yr 107 108 106 104 103 100 101 105 104 105 105													
1.5 Yr 97 96 94 92 94 90 90 95 93 98 95 94 2.5 Yr 107 108 106 104 103 100 101 105 104 105 105 105		67	71	63	55	54	56	55	62	61	60	59	62
2.5 Yr 107 108 106 104 103 100 101 105 104 105 105 105					1	1		1					
3.5+ Yr 115 117 113 110 110 110 109 111 110 113 113 113													

Table 17. Upper Coastal Plain Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

					Sea	ison			•		Δνο	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres		452,273	419,692		511,330	496,206	557,521			741,776	879,440	462,410
Total Deer	3,765	3,735	3,519	3,433	3,724	3,595	4,786	5,409	5,719	7,044	8,488	3,621
Bucks	1,579	1,630	1,515	1,541	1,749	1,804	2,155	2,648	2,536	3,147	4,677	1,599
Does	2,186	2.105	2.004	1.892	1.975	1,791	2.631	2,761	3,183	3.897	1,457	2.022
Acres/Deer	112	121	119	149	137	138	116	130	127	105	105	255
Bucks	267	277	277	331	292	275	259	267	287	236	188	289
3.5+ Bucks	557	626	790	714	689	703	631	762	797	693	997	676
Does	193	215	209	270	259	277	212	256	229	190	237	229
Avg Age ALL Bucks	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.5
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	3	4	5	2	3	3	4	6	7	6	7	3.4
Weight*	60	65	65	63	61	60	59	58	62	59	58	62.9
% 1.5 Yr	17	14	15	18	20	16	20	21	24	24	51	17
Weight*	108	107	109	108	113	112	112	113	112	111	108	109
Points	3.9	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4	3.2	4.2
Circumf.	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.4
Length	8.0	7.6	8.5	8.8	9.2	9.1	9.2	9.3	8.9	8.7	6.7	8.4
Spread	6.9	6.8	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.2	5.8	7.3
% 2.5 Yr	30	31	41	32	32	38	35	38	33	36	24	33
Weight*	137	137	140	136	139	138	137	138	137	139	134	138
Points	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.9	6.6	6.6	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.0	6.5
Circumf.	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3
Length	13.8	13.2	13.8	13.4	14.1	13.7	13.7	14.0	13.7	13.9	13.2	13.7
Spread	11.1	10.8	11.1	10.7	11.3	11.1	11.1	11.3	10.9	11.2	10.5	11.0
% 3.5 Yr	31	31	27	30	28	28	27	25	24	25	14	29
Weight*	153	151	152	153	152	152	150	156	152	157	152	152
Points	7.3	7.0	7.3	7.2	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.3	7.5	7.1	7.2
Circumf.	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7
Length	15.8	15.6	15.8	15.7	15.7	15.9	16.1	16.4	15.8	16.5	15.6	15.7
Spread	12.8	12.5	12.6	12.6	12.7	12.7	12.9	13.2	12.6	13.3	12.7	12.7
% 4.5+ Yr	19	19	12	16	16	14	14	10	12	9	5	16
Weight*	168	164	167	164	166	167	164	171	170	166	164	166
Points	7.9	7.7	7.9	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.3	8.0	7.9	7.6	7.9
Circumf.	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2
Length	17.8	17.4	17.8	17.9	18.2	18.4	18.2	18.3	17.9	18.3	17.7	17.8
Spread	14.4	14.1	14.4	14.4	14.3	14.4	14.8	14.8	14.5	14.6	14.1	14.3
% Doe Lactation					ĺ					1		
1.5 Yr	11	12	12	14	14	10	12	16	15	15	13	13
2.5 Yr	53	57	56	51	56	59	57	65	57	57	56	55
3.5+ Yr	69	68	67	69	68	71	67	72	70	68	65	68
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	7	7	8	4	7	6	8	10	10	11	11	7
% 1.5 Yr	20	22	21	23	22	24	23	24	24	24	24	22
% 2.5 Yr	19	20	25	21	18	23	24	23	22	23	20	20
% 3.5+ Yr	55	51	45	48	48	43	45	43	44	42	45	50
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	59	62	63	60	59	60	58	57	59	58	58	61
1.5 Yr	89	89	88	87	90	89	87	89	88	89	89	89
2.5 Yr	97	99	100	97	100	100	97	99	97	99	99	99
3.5+ Yr	107	107	106	106	105	107	103	104	105	107	105	106
5.0	101		100	100	100	101	100	1 107	100	101	100	100

Table 18. Lower Coastal Plain Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

					Sea	ason					Ave	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres		397,543	397,659	402,461	343,592	334,038				356,712	308,965	371,221
Total Deer	1,253	1,142	1,468	1,500	1,590	1,512	1,506	1,721	2,163	2,818	2,944	1,391
Bucks	610	541	596	698	838	832	686	812	977	1,064	1,467	657
Does	643	601	872	802	752	680	820	909	1.186	1.754	1.457	734
Acres/Deer	251	348	271	268	216	221	135	154	152	127	104	534
Bucks	516	735	667	577	410	401	295	326	336	335	210	565
3.5+ Bucks	1086	1636	1446	2064	1108	1152	672	740	820	860	1098	1468
Does	490	661	456	502	457	491	247	291	277	203	209	505
Avg Age ALL Bucks	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.5
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	2	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	7	8	10	2.7
Weight*	57	68	71	60	62	61	55	58	61	57	56	63.6
% 1.5 Yr	18	11	16	13	11	12	15	18	18	24	47	14
Weight*	109	109	104	110	113	111	109	108	107	108	102	109
Points	3.9	4.0	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.0	4.3	2.7	4.1
Circumf.	2.4	2.7	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.4
Length	8.5	8.9	7.3	8.5	8.9	8.6	8.4	8.5	7.5	8.2	5.4	8.4
Spread	7.2	7.5	6.4	7.0	7.2	7.8	7.2	6.9	6.8	7.0	5.3	7.0
% 2.5 Yr	29	35	33	55	46	53	38	35	34	29	25	40
Weight*	133	135	138	136	134	134	132	131	130	131	126	135
Points	6.6	6.8	6.6	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.9	6.4	6.4	6.6	5.2	6.6
Circumf.	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.2	2.8	3.2
Length	13.7	13.5	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.6	13.6	12.9	12.7	13.1	11.5	13.6
Spread	11.1	10.9	11.2	10.9	10.9	10.9	11.2	10.7	10.2	10.9	9.3	11.0
% 3.5 Yr	34	30	33	19	26	22	30	28	26	24	14	29
Weight*	143	148	149	147	142	151	152	145	145	143	146	146
Points	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.2	7.5	7.7	7.5	7.3	7.5	7.2	7.1	7.4
Circumf.	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6
Length	15.5	15.0	15.5	15.5	15.2	16.2	15.5	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.0	15.3
Spread	12.5	12.6	13.0	12.5	12.4	13.0	12.8	12.5	12.3	12.5	12.1	12.6
% 4.5+ Yr	17	18	14	9	12	10	14	16	15	15	6	14
Weight*	161	153	154	156	155	162	158	158	153	150	155	156
Points	8.3	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.1	8.0	8.2	7.9	7.7	7.5	8.1
Circumf.	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.1
Length	18.1	17.2	17.6	17.7	17.8	18.2	17.7	17.8	17.3	17.0	17.0	17.7
Spread	14.6	13.9	14.6	13.9	14.5	14.8	14.5	14.3	14.1	13.7	13.8	14.3
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	10	15	12	6	19	8	21	17	19	14	14	13
2.5 Yr	60	48	52	60	58	61	63	68	69	59	58	56
3.5+ Yr	59	68	66	64	66	71	73	70	73	73	68	65
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	4	4	5	4	3	5	7	6	8	8	11	4
% 1.5 Yr	19	17	19	20	19	20	18	22	20	24	23	19
% 2.5 Yr	24	23	30	38	30	40	25	24	22	19	21	29
% 3.5+ Yr	53	56	46	37	47	35	51	48	50	49	45	48
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	59	62	63	57	55	57	55	57	56	58	54	59
1.5 Yr	88	88	88	83	88	86	90	87	85	84	86	87
2.5 Yr	100	96	96	96	95	93	95	97	94	93	95	97
3.5+ Yr	104	101	102	101	100	99	101	101	100	96	100	101

Table 19. Coastal Flatwoods Soil Resource Area **Summary of DMAP Data**

					Sea	son					Ave	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres	49,790	47,790	63,810	55,927	55,650	55,650	51,850	52,850	51,850	59,229	46,517	54,593
Total Deer	110	47	67	148	156	178	202	161	202	87	177	106
Bucks	63	23	29	82	89	116	101	93	101	56	105	57
Does	47	24	38	66	67	62	101	68	101	31	1,457	48
Acres/Deer	453	1017	952	378	357	313	257	328	257	681	526	1034
Bucks	790	2078	2200	682	625	480	513	568	513	1058	1332	941
3.5+ Bucks	2165	2987	4908	3728	2319	2140	960	1229	1127	1851	3445	3221
Does	1059	1991	1679	847	831	898	513	777	513	1911	3219	1110
Avg Age ALL Bucks	2.6	3.3	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.0	2.5
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	2	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	2	2	17	0.8
Weight*	58	0	0	70	0	48	35	45	60	45	36	25.5
% 1.5 Yr	10	9	10	11	8	6	17	8	7	6	31	10
Weight*	120	106	94	96	83	106	103	106	106	85	96	100
Points	4.2	2.0	4.5	4.6	4.0	4.1	3.7	3.3	3.8	2.0	2.5	3.8
Circumf.	2.4	0.0	2.9	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.3	1.9	1.4	2.0
Length	7.9	0.0	7.6	9.2	6.9	7.9	7.7	7.4	8.1	5.9	4.3	6.3
Spread	7.2	0.0	5.5	7.1	5.6	6.6	7.9	7.6	7.0	5.0	5.7	5.1
% 2.5 Yr	43	18	48	68	64	72	31	39	42	32	29	48
Weight*	143	114	128	130	125	122	126	120	123	118	120	128
Points	7.1	4.8	5.8	5.9	6.2	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.1	4.9	5.9
Circumf.	3.5	2.9	3.3	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.4	3.1
Length	14.3	13.3	12.8	12.1	12.6	12.3	12.1	11.4	11.9	10.6	10.0	13.0
Spread	12.6	10.3	11.2	9.7	9.9	9.8	10.0	9.5	9.7	8.7	7.8	10.8
% 3.5 Yr	26	32	29	16	19	16	41	35	32	36	16	24
Weight*	152	146	130	134	132	139	132	136	131	122	115	139
Points	8.0	7.6	7.0	6.5	7.3	7.2	6.4	6.6	5.8	5.7	5.1	7.3
Circumf.	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.8	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.5	3.7
Length	16.4	16.7	15.5	14.5	15.2	15.6	13.3	13.6	12.0	12.4	10.7	15.6
Spread	13.3	13.5	12.3	12.2	13.2	12.3	11.1	10.9	9.7	10.4	8.9	12.9
% 4.5+ Yr	14	41	13	3	9	5	11	17	17	25	6	16
Weight*	145	160	132	141	155	165	163	155	136	138	116	147
Points	7.8	7.9	8.3	6.0	7.9	8.5	7.5	7.5	7.3	7.2	5.1	7.6
Circumf.	3.8	4.3	3.9	3.3	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.2	2.8	3.9
Length	16.3	17.9	16.4	11.9	16.5	18.9	16.6	16.9	15.4	14.7	11.5	15.8
Spread	13.2	13.9	12.7	9.1	13.2	14.8	13.6	13.0	11.9	11.8	9.6	12.4
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	0	0	43	22	7	18	18	0	25	40	6	14
2.5 Yr	33	60	33	77	50	50	54	80	63	50	65	51
3.5+ Yr	55	56	45	43	65	47	65	56	68	78	67	53
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	3	14	18	3	8	8	8	5	7	10	0	9
% 1.5 Yr	16	19	21	30	22	22	19	13	13	17	10	21
% 2.5 Yr	8	24	18	38	35	41	29	25	27	27	23	25
% 3.5+ Yr	54	43	44	30	35	30	45	57	53	47	67	41
Doe Weights*		10					10	01			0.	
0.5 Yr	37	44	48	70	68	61	52	57	58	47	0	53
1.5 Yr	81	89	81	83	77	84	81	76	86	77	41	82
2.5 Yr	78	79	92	92	85	86	90	84	81	78	69	85
3.5+ Yr	98	98	92	96	89	90	94	93	92	95	90	95
	50	50	52		00	50	54	50	52	55	50	55

Table 20. Interior Flatwoods Soil Resource Area Summary of DMAP Data

					Sea	ison					Ave	rage
	06	05	04	03	02	01	00+	99	98	97	91-94	02-06
Acres	93,989	92,685	76,412	61,260	63,200	66,210	40,870	38,770	36,270	41,867	69,015	77,509
Total Deer	927	697	564	315	409	514	397	429	373	419	1,107	582
Bucks	428	290	243	143	212	265	179	199	135	180	517	263
Does	499	407	321	172	197	249	218	230	238	239	1.457	319
Acres/Deer	101	133	135	194	155	129	103	90	97	100	63	266
Bucks	220	320	314	428	298	250	228	195	269	233	135	294
3.5+ Bucks	445	598	772	1075	658	534	486	487	548	646	642	710
Does	188	228	238	356	321	266	188	169	152	175	120	242
Avg Age ALL Bucks	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.7	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.7
% 0.5 Yr Bucks	5	4	6	4	3	2	4	9	12	15	9	4.3
Weight*	64	64	63	61	59	61	59	64	67	69	63	62.3
% 1.5 Yr	14	16	13	19	8	10	15	18	16	16	45	14
Weight*	105	126	105	109	116	122	117	119	114	123	111	112
Points	2.9	2.5	3.0	3.8	4.9	5.6	5.4	4.4	3.8	4.8	3.0	3.4
Circumf.	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.2
Length	6.4	6.0	6.4	8.9	9.7	11.2	11.9	9.0	7.3	9.2	6.5	7.5
Spread	7.2	6.9	7.2	7.3	7.1	8.3	9.0	7.9	7.3	7.3	6.0	7.2
% 2.5 Yr	27	21	36	32	34	33	34	33	23	33	25	30
Weight*	143	143	151	134	142	143	145	144	138	140	137	143
Points	6.6	6.4	7.0	6.0	7.0	6.7	6.6	6.7	6.4	7.0	5.7	6.6
Circumf.	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.4	3.1	3.3
Length	13.7	14.0	14.5	12.7	14.9	14.2	14.4	14.0	13.8	15.0	13.0	13.9
Spread	11.1	11.2	12.3	10.0	11.3	11.7	11.4	12.0	11.5	12.0	10.1	11.2
% 3.5 Yr	33	38	25	24	32	35	30	25	35	27	16	31
Weight*	161	156	161	166	162	159	160	164	152	154	153	161
Points	7.9	7.9	7.3	7.4	7.7	7.8	8.2	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.7
Circumf.	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.8
Length	16.6	15.8	15.8	15.3	16.7	16.5	16.6	15.0	15.5	15.7	15.6	16.1
Spread	13.2	12.5	13.0	12.5	13.0	13.4	13.5	12.5	12.3	13.1	12.5	12.8
% 4.5+ Yr	21	22	21	16	17	18	17	15	14	9	5	19
Weight*	172	184	184	158	185	176	179	179	171	163	176	177
Points	8.2	7.9	8.3	7.5	8.6	9.0	8.0	8.6	7.9	8.1	8.5	8.1
Circumf.	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.3
Length	18.4	17.9	18.8	17.0	19.8	18.8	19.4	18.8	18.0	19.0	18.5	18.4
Spread	14.6	14.2	14.7	13.8	15.5	15.1	14.7	16.0	14.3	14.8	15.0	14.6
% Doe Lactation												
1.5 Yr	5	18	13	10	16	11	12	8	18	10	15	12
2.5 Yr	54	56	47	59	51	55	69	51	67	54	53	54
3.5+ Yr	67	69	65	70	73	67	66	67	75	66	65	69
Doe Age Classes												
% 0.5 Yr	4	5	10	5	3	1	6	5	17	15	11	6
% 1.5 Yr	25	20	21	25	17	19	27	27	21	17	28	21
% 2.5 Yr	28	19	27	21	19	27	26	26	19	25	20	23
% 3.5+ Yr	43	56	42	45	53	49	41	42	43	43	42	48
Doe Weights*												
0.5 Yr	58	57	60	60	56	68	56	58	65	63	60	58
1.5 Yr	91	92	95	93	94	93	94	94	96	99	93	93
2.5 Yr	106	106	108	105	103	103	105	105	101	109	103	106
3.5+ Yr	110	113	115	116	112	117	114	114	111	116	111	113

Enforcement of Deer Hunter-Related Citations 2006-2007

The Law Enforcement Division began monitoring all statewide citations at the district and county levels during the 1996 – 1997 deer season. The eight most common deer hunting citations from October 1 – January 31 were extracted from the database and summarized. Citation totals by county are shown in Table 22 on page 61. Yearly trends in various citations show some variability.

A total of 2,567 citations were written during the 2006 - 2007 deer hunting season. This is an increase of 311 citations from the previous season. The total number of citations was at an all time high in 2003 - 2004. Over the past 3 hunting seasons, citations have been significantly lower (Table 21 and Figure 27). The decline in citations can be attributed to a number of things: violations actually decreased, fewer hunters in the woods, and new or no officers in an area.

It is logical to assume that if fewer citations were written for a specific violation, then a decreased incidence of that violation occurred. The only notable decreases in recorded violations from the 2006

- 2007 were No License-Resident and Trespassing. Some violations are still occurring at dangerously high levels. Failure to wear hunter orange is a good example. Many hunters still refuse to wear their hunter orange. This law is in place to protect the hunters. Trespassing also still occurs at a high rate, indicating that anyone could be on the land without a hunter's knowledge. Headlighting is another citation that occurs at a high rate. Last year, headlighting citations were the third highest on record.

The number of licensed hunters continues to decline. This could be another reason for the general decrease in citations. With fewer hunters taking to the field, the number of violations should decrease. However, many hunters are ignoring license requirements and taking their chances. This is evident by the increase in citations for no hunting license by non-residents which increased from last year. The number of baiting citations for the 2006 - 2007 season increased 151% from last year. However, hunter acceptance of baiting continues to increase. Bait is readily available and a big seller. When a citation is written and a conviction obtained, the minimal fine assessed the violator is hardly a deterrent to prevent future baiting.

With more hunters managing their land for bigger deer, many poachers are trying to take advantage of the results that managers have created. More large-antlered bucks on roadsides equal more temptations. Many would-be hunters are giving in and turning to poaching. This is evidenced by the number of trespassing and headlighting citations written each year.

Our officers are doing a good job across the state, but they need the help of sportsmen. Hunters can assist our officers by reporting wildlife violations by calling 1-800-BE-SMART. Most counties have only 2 officers, but with concerned sportsmen, they have eyes and ears all over the county.



Table 21. Statewide Citations Summary by Most Frequent Violations During Deer Season

	Hunt	From	No Hunter	No Li	cense		Tres-	Head-	Total
Season Totals	Motor Vehicle	Public Road	Orange	Resident	Non- Resident	Baiting	passing	lighting	Citations
2006-2007	59	609	363	341	115	554	223	303	2567
2005-2006	57	528	271	445	68	365	343	179	2256
2004-2005	104	725	652	391	125	689	283	261	3230
2003-2004	136	914	700	482	159	724	330	363	3808
2002-2003	99	867	658	491	184	569	240	282	3390
2001-2002	120	840	702	491	179	781	275	227	3615
2000-2001	236	1137	612	505	118	519	297	332	3756
1999-2000	238	938	415	422	87	449	318	299	3166
1998-1999	433	1037	409	378	152	356	290	260	3315
1997-1998	476	1063	403	335	112	313	278	282	3262
1996-1997	282	920	312	348	150	208	281	172	2673

CITATIONS

Table 22. Citations Summary of Most FrequentViolations During 2006-2007 Deer Season

County	Hunt From Motor Vehicle	Hunt From Public Road	No Hunter Orange	No License Resident	No License Non-Res	Baiting	Tresspassing	Headlighting	Total Citations	County	Hunt From Motor Vehicle	Hunt From Public Road	No Hunter Orange	No License Resident	No License Non-Res	Baiting	Tresspassing	Headlighting	Total Citations
Adams	0	5	5	3	1	10	1	0	25	Lef ore	0	3	2	3	0	1	2	0	11
Alcorn	0	1	1	3	0	1	0	1	7	Lincoln	0	5	7	1	0	11	1	3	28
Amite	2	7	11	1	10	34	1	4	70	Lowndes	0	1	8	3	2	5	3	2	24
Attala	2	9	3	1	1	14	3	21	54	Madison	0	13	2	3	0	4	8	13	43
Benton	0	2	2	5	1	2	0	1	13	Marion	5	7	10	4	2	17	5	7	57
Bolivar	4	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	15	Marshall	0	18	2	9	3	2	3	2	39
Calhoun	3	10	3	10	0	7	2	6	41	Monroe	0	37	6	13	3	6	9	9	83
Carroll	2	4	9	5	5	6	1	2	34	Montgomery	0	7	3	4	1	2	3	8	28
Chickasaw	0	17	7	5	0	8	7	4	48	Neshoba	1	2	1	2	0	7	0	3	16
Choctaw	0	1	2	1	0	7	1	1	13	Newton	0	11	1	8	3	11	2	5	41
Claiborne	2	9	3	4	4	8	1	4	35	Noxubee	0	4	2	2	0	2	1	3	14
Clarke	0	20	26	10	10	23	6	3	98	Oktibbeha	0	4	2	0	0	1	3	3	13
Clay	0	5	3	4	0	0	0	1	13	Panola	0	22	13	11	3	25	11	19	104
Coahoma	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	6	Pearl River	0	7	3	5	0	1	2	2	20
Copiah	0	3	5	4	2	11	2	1	28	Perry	0	40	5	10	0	14	0	9	78
Covington	0	0	3	3	0	6	1	0	13	Pike	0	1	4	1	1	4	0	0	11
Desoto	0	3	2	1	2	2	4	2	16	Pontotoc	0	6	0	9	1	0	3	0	19
Forrest	0	18	10	4	0	4	2	1	39	Prentiss	0	7	3	2	0	5	4	0	21
Franklin	0	4	1	2	2	1	0	0	10	Quitman	1	0	2	2	0	2	4	0	11
George	0	8	5	2	1	10	2	1	29	Rankin	1	3	0	1	0	1	1	1	8
Greene	0	12	12	10	4	21	2	0	61	Scott	0	5	1	4	0	4	9	3	26
Grenada	1	8	5	5	0	1	3	9	32	Sharkey	7	5	3	7	1	0	5	5	33
Hancock	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	2	8	Simpson	1	12	3	3	0	3	1	11	34
Harrison	0	3	1	3	0	2	5	0	14	Smith	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
Hinds	0	0	5	3	0	1	1	2	12	Stone	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	5	8
Holmes	0	2	2	2	0	8	3	4	21	Sunf ower	3	5	4	0	0	0	6	4	22
Humphreys	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Tallahatchie	1	5	3	5	0	1	1	2	18
lssaquena Itawamba	2	3	4	3	0	0	3	2	17	Tate	0	13	3	2	0	5	2	4	29
	0	31	6	24	2	6	6	10	85	Tippah	0	2	1	6	0	0	2	2	13
Jackson	0	8 7	0 24	9 8	0 12	3 63	5 3	4	29	Tishomingo	0	9	4	2	0	2	0	0	17
Jasper Jeff Davis	0	0		0	6	4			122	Tunica	2	6	5	2	0	1	4	0	20
Jefferson	0	1	9 2	1	3	4	0	0	20 12	Union	0	11	6	4	0	10	10	8	49
	-		2					-		Walthall	1	3	7	2	1	14	0	1	29
Jones Kemper	0	6 9	2	8	0	11 23	3 0	3 6	38 41	Warren	3	6	9	10	2	2	1	4	37
Lafayette	2	9 29	6	7	2	6	10	23	41 85	Washington Wayna	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	4
Lamar	2	29 13	6 7	5	2	6 5	3	23 7	85 41	Wayne	4	10	0	1	0	3	3	8	29
Lauderdale	0	6	12	5 6	5	5 25	3	5	41 60	Webster Wilkinson	0	12	4	4	0	6	2	6	34
Lawrence	0	6 2	3	0	5 6	25 11	1	5 2	60 25	Wilkinson	0	7	7	1	10	13	5	0	43
Leake	3	4	3	2	0		6	2	20	Winston Valabuaha	0	5	0	1	0	3	0	2	11
Leake						0				Yalobusha	1	6	7	10	0	6	1	1	32
Lee	0	2	1	4	1	1	3	0	12	Yazoo	4	4	3	4	1	6	12	8	42

2006-2007 Hunting Incident/Accident Summary



istockphoto.com

A hunting accident/incident is one in which a person is injured by the discharge of a hunting firearm, bow and arrow, or a fall from a hunting tree stand arising from the activity of hunting.

There were 27 total hunting related incident/accidents investigated in Mississippi during the 2006-2007 hunting season, an increase from last season. Of these, 16 were firearm/bow related with 2 fatalities and 11 were tree stand related with 2 fatalities.

The majority of hunting incidents occurred while deer hunting, but there were also incidents reported while dove, duck, squirrel, and hog hunting. (Figure 28).

Firearms related accidents increased from last year and treestand accidents remained constant. Since 2001 total hunting accidents had been on a steady decline until last season (Figure 29).

Sportsmen, Hunter Education Instructors, and Conservation Officers in Mississippi should be commended for keeping hunting among the safest of sports. Volunteer instructors and Conservation Officers certified 10,387 sportsmen in Hunter Education during the 2006 – 2007 season (Figure 30). Hunting accidents in Mississippi average about one injury for every 13,000 licensed hunters: an average of around seven injuries per 100,000 participants. When compared to other sports such as football, which averages around 3,500 injuries per 100,000 participants, hunting is a very safe sport.

Hunter education regulations changed slightly for the 2006 - 2007 season as an effort to increase hunter recruitment. Youths 12 - 15 year of age must complete a Hunter Education course in order to hunt unsupervised. Youths 12 - 15 years of age may hunt without a Hunter Education certificate if under the direct supervision of a licensed adult 21 years of age and older. Youths under 12 years of age must still be under adult supervision while hunting. An apprentice license was also created. The apprentice license is for residents over the age of 15 which do not have the required certificate of hunter education. This apprentice license may be purchased only one time by a resident and the apprentice hunting licensee must be accompanied by a licensed or exempt resident hunter at least twenty-one (21) years of age when hunting. With these new hunter education requirements, we are confident accident numbers will continue to decline.



Magnolia Records Program

By: Rick Dillard

The year 2007 marks the 7th year of the Magnolia Records Program. Since the beginning, over 4,300 deer have been scored and over 2,800 met the minimum requirements (125 inches for typical and 155 inches for non-typical). Counties bordering the Mississippi River and the Big Black River continue to stand out as the top contributors of bucks to Magnolia Records.

Over 500 deer with inside spreads greater than or equal to 20" have been entered. The widest deer on record was harvested by Ken Helmick in Madison County with an inside spread of 28 6/8 inches.

A total of 172 bucks in Magnolia Records have been harvested on public land (WMAs, National Wildlife Refuges, U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). Ray Barrett harvested the largest non-typical from public land with a 201 3/8 inch buck.

During the 2006 – 2007 hunting season, several bucks worthy of being recognized were harvested. The largest typical buck scored 171 and was taken by Kirk Hannon in Madison County. The largest non-typical buck scored 219 6/8 and was taken by Stephen Brian Smith in Marshall County. Lastly, Angus Catchot's 187 3/8 non-typical buck from Washington County was the largest taken by archery.

Many outstanding bucks, too numerous to list here, are being entered in Magnolia Records each year. To view all entries and their photos visit www.mdwfp.com and look for Magnolia Records.



Figure 31: MRP Qualified Non-typical Deer

Figure 32: MRP Qualified Typical Deer



RECORDS
Pope and Young Deer Taken in Mississippi

RANK	SCORE	STATUS	TAKEN BY	SEASON	COUNTY
1**	236 1/8	1	Tracy Laird	2003-04	Adams
2	204	1	Denver Eshee	1996-97	Webster
3	195 5/8	1	Damon C. Saik	2000-01	Madison
4	187 3/8	3	Angus Catchot	2006-07	Washington
5	178 3/8	3	Wyn Diggs	2006-07	Holmes
6	177 3/8	2	Adam McCurdy	2005-06	Holmes
7	173 3/4	1	Jimmy Riley	2000-01	Adams
8	165 5/8	1	James Goss, Jr.	1987-88	Washington

Table 23. Non-Typical Trophies (Minimum Score 155)

Table 24. Typical Trophies (Minimum Score 125)

RANK	SCORE	STATUS	TAKEN BY	SEASON	COUNTY
1	165 6/8	2	Carl Taylor	2004-05	Issaquena
2	164 7/8	1	James House	1999-00	Issaquena
3	160 1/8	1	Odis Hill, Jr.	1989-90	Washington
4	159 6/8	1	Steve Nichols	1986-87	Washington
5	158 4/8	1	John Harvey	1989-90	Adams
6	157	1	James Morris	1998-99	Tunica
7	156 7/8	2	Allen Henry	1993-94	Simpson
8	156 2/8	1	Chris Cordell	1996-97	DeSoto
9+	155 7/8	1	Charles Neely	1993-94	Coahoma
9+	155 7/8	1	John Windham	1997-98	Jefferson
10	155 2/8	1	Marty Hendrix	2000-01	Claiborne
11	155 1/8	1	Jim Agent	1997-98	Jefferson

** OFFICIAL STATE RECORD + TIES 1 - IN BOWHUNTING RECORDS OF NORTH AMERICAN WHITETAIL DEER 3 - OFFICIALLY SCORED AND PENDING

2 - OFFICIALLY SCORED AND ACCEPTED

4 - OFFICIALLY SCORED BUT NOT ENTERED

RECORDS

65

Boone and Crockett Deer Taken in Mississippi

Table 25. Non-Typical Trophies (Minimum Score 195)

DANK	00005	0747110		054000	
RANK	SCORE	STATUS	TAKEN BY	SEASON	COUNTY
1 **	295 6/8	1	Tony Fulton	1994-95	Winston
2	225	1	Richard Herring	1988-89	Lowndes
3	221 2/8	1	Milton Parrish	1972-73	Holmes
4	220 3/8	1	Dean Jones	1976-77	Oktibbeha
5	219 6/8	3	Brian Smith	2006-07	Marshall
6	219 2/8	1	Matt Woods	1997-98	Hinds
7	217 5/8	1	Mark Hathcock	1977-78	Carroll
8	216 5/8	4	(Pick up) Matthew Freeny	1989-99	Winston
9	212 5/8	2	Stephen McBrayer	2005-06	Pontotoc
10	212	1	Wayne Parker	1999-00	Madison
11	210	4	(Pick up) Chip Haynes	2000-01	Madison
12	209 6/8	1	Ronnie Strickland	1981-82	Franklin
13	207 3/8	1	Larry Reece	2001-02	Madison
14	205 6/8	1	Joe Shurden	1976-77	Lowndes
15	205	1	(Pick up) Tommy Yateman	1959	Lowndes
16	204	1	Denver Eshee	1996-97	Webster
17	202 5/8	1	George Galey	1960'S	Carroll
18	202 4/8	1	William Westmoreland	2001-02	Pontotoc
19 +	202 1/8	1	Oliver Lindig	1983-84	Oktibbeha
19 +	202 1/8	2	Bobby Smith	1992-93	Tate
20	201 6/8	1	Jimmy Ashley	1985-86	Wilkinson
21	201 3/8	1	Ray Barrett	2002-03	Washington
22	200 7/8	4	Don Williams	1997-98	Jefferson
23	200 6/8	1	Pamela Reid-Rhoades	1993-94	Oktibbeha
24	199 3/8	2	John E. Hayes	1976-77	Holmes
25	199 1/8	4	Jay Leggette	1999-00	Hinds
26	198 5/8	1	Timothy Watson	1997-98	Oktibbeha
27	198 4/8	1	John T. Campbell	2001-02	Issaquena
28	197 2/8	1	Arthur Halfacre	1997-98	Noxubee
29	197	2	Patrick Cenac	2005-06	Adams
30	196 7/8	1	Eddie Alias, Jr.	1989-90	Yazoo
31	196 5/8	1	Robert Sullivan	1981-82	Wilkinson
32	195 7/8	1	Ken Dye	1986-87	Monroe
33	195 6/8	4	Mark Kinard	1978-79	Oktibbeha
34 +	195 5/8	1	Kathleen McGehee	1981-82	Adams
34 +	195 5/8	1	Damon C. Saik	2000-01	Madison
35 +	195 2/8	1	Leland N. Dye, Jr.	2001-02	Tunica
35 +	195 2/8	1	Bill Kimble	1995-96	Copiah

** OFFICIAL STATE RECORD

1 - IN RECORDS OF NORTH AMERICAN BIG GAME 2 - OFFICIALLY SCORED AND ACCEPTED

+ TIES 3 - OFFICIALLY SCORED AND PENDING 4 - OFFICIALLY SCORED BUT NOT ENTERED

66

Boone and Crockett Deer Taken in Mississippi

Table 26. Typical Trophies (Minimum Score 170)

RANK	SCORE	STATUS	TAKEN BY	SEASON	COUNTY
1 **	182 7/8	1	Glen Jourdan	1986-87	Noxubee
2	182 2/8	1	R. L. Bobo	1955-56	Claiborne
3	181 5/8	1	Ronnie Whitaker	1980-81	Wilkinson
4	180 4/8	1	W. F. Smith	1968-69	Leflore
5	180 2/8	1	Steve Greer	1995-96	Madison
6	179 2/8	1	Marlon Stokes	1988-89	Hinds
7	178 5/8	1	Grady Robertson	1951-52	Bolivar
8	176 5/8	1	Sidney Sessions	1952-53	Bolivar
9	176 1/8	1	J.D. Hood	1972-73	Monroe
10 +	175 2/8	1	Johnnie Leake, Jr.	1977-78	Wilkinson
10 +	175 2/8	1	Charlie G. Wilson, II	2001-02	Neshoba
11	175	2	Kyle Gordon	2005-06	Madison
12 +	174 6/8	1	O. P. Gilbert	1960-61	Coahoma
12 +	174 6/8	1	Jeremy Boelte	1997-98	Adams
13 +	174 1/8	1	William Ladd	1999-00	Noxubee
13 +	174 1/8	4	Mike Shell, current owner	1940	Warren
13 +	174 1/8	1	Bill Walters	1995-96	Coahoma
14	173 5/8	1	Geraline Holliman	1982-83	Lowndes
15	173 3/8	1	Richard Powell	1994-95	Coahoma
16	172 5/8	1	Adrian Stallone	1983-84	Adams
17 +	172	1	Barry Barnes	2003-04	Yazoo
17 +	172	1	Nan Foster New	1977-78	Adams
18	171 6/8	1	Delton Davis	1990-91	Tunica
19	171 4/8	1	Ricky Lee	1999-00	Tallahatchie
20	171	2	Kirk Hannon	2006-07	Madison
21	170 7/8	1	W. A. Miller	1920	Issaquena
22	170 4/8	4	Joe Reed Perry	Unknown	Sharkey
23	170 2/8	1	David G. McAdory	1994-95	Madison
24	170 1/8	4	Joe W. Martin	1994-95	Madison

** OFFICIAL STATE RECORD
1 - IN RECORDS OF NORTH AMERICAN BIG GAME
2 - OFFICIALLY SCORED AND ACCEPTED

+ TIES 3 - OFFICIALLY SCORED AND PENDING 4 - OFFICIALLY SCORED BUT NOT ENTERED

In Conclusion



istockphoto.com

Status

As in previous reports, data collected from a wide array of sources during the 2006 – 2007 season continued to indicate a diverse statewide deer herd. Unique populations continued to exist in all regions of the state.

Condition data and field habitat evaluations conducted by biologists continued to document the effects of current and long-term overpopulation in some areas of the state. Degradation of deer habitat and noticeable substandard condition indicators such as low reproduction were prevalent. Many locations in the state have experienced on-going damage of native browse by overpopulation of the deer herd since the early 1970's. Deer habitat on poorer soils has been damaged at a greater level than habitat on more fertile soils. In addition, habitat damage on lower fertility soils requires a longer recovery time than on the more fertile soils in regions like the Mississippi Delta. Reduction of deer populations to levels where habitat can recover is unacceptable to many hunters. The result has been continued over-use of quality browse species by deer.

The effects of Hurricane Katrina are beginning to be realized and will be observed for years to come. Lack of hunter's ability to access public and private lands in southeast Mississippi due to timber damage was evident in the hunter man-days and harvest during the 2005 - 2006 season. Access to these lands was improved prior to the 2006 - 2007 season, but man-days and harvest have not returned to pre-Katrina levels. In the next few years the population levels may increase due to the habitat shift from mature pine stands to more of a cut-over type makeup in this section of the state.

Declines in deer condition and habitat quality have occurred in regions of the state where extensive acreage were converted from agriculture to pine monocultures in the late 1980s. Assorted federal and state incentive programs perpetuated this condition by providing cost-share opportunities to landowners. The result was an increasing acreage of densely planted plantations of pine on sites with a history of agriculture. Herbicide applications to other pine plantations to prevent competition and thereby eliminating browse plants caused decreased body weights and reproduction. Minimal amounts of deer forage were found in these sites, which allow only a moderate deer population to cause over-utilization of the browse that does occur. The result was a poor herd health due to a lack of quality and quantity of native browse plants. However, most of these pine monocultures are at mid-rotation age (14 - 20 years old). Timber thinning has begun on some of these sites, resulting in additional browse production because sunlight is reaching the forest floor where it has been lacking in the past. These thinnings along with mid-rotation stand improvements (i.e., herbicide application and/or prescribed fire) will drastically improve browse production.

For the fourth year a tool was offered to landowners and hunting clubs which suffer from extreme overpopulation or whose objective is

to reduce total deer numbers. This tool is also effective for the removal of management bucks on above average habitat. Legislation was passed in 2003 allowing the harvest of sub - 4 point bucks by special permit; and altered to include management bucks in 2005. Landowners or clubs must meet certain requirements, such as cooperating with an approved wildlife biologist and be enrolled in DMAP for a minimum of at least one year to be eligible for these tags. A written justification from the biologist must be approved by the MDWFP Deer Committee before management tags will be issued to a property. The biologist recommendations are used to determine the management buck criteria on individual properties.

Recommendations

Statewide variance in parameters such as breeding dates, condition indicators, and changes in habitat quality continue to warrant intelligent site-specific deer management recommendations. Because of the extreme diversity in management needs across the state, landowners can implement these recommendations only if they are provided with a season framework that offers maximum opportunity or with special permits that allow additional opportunity.

A liberal antlerless season framework is mandatory if landowners are to meet management goals. Antlerless opportunity should be provided to allow landowners in all regions of the state the opportunity to manage deer populations. Decision makers will receive an increasing number of negative reports associated with antlerless hunting opportunity, as behavioral changes in the deer population create changes that make deer less visible to hunters. Continued complaints will arise as hunters incorrectly associate decreasing deer populations to antlerless season opportunity. These complaints will be more frequent in areas of the state with poor soil quality, previously high deer populations, and/or declining habitat quality.

An effective method to monitor statewide harvest on a county basis is needed to take deer management to the next level in Mississippi. Harvest data, which would include sex, harvest method, and county of harvest would provide information from which detailed analyses of the deer herd could occur. A telephone-based reporting system, which provides this type of information, is currently in use in many states across the Southeast. Harvest data at a county level are instantaneously available to wildlife officials in these states. Voluntary implementation of a similar, efficient and cost-effective system, known as Tel-Chek, began in 2002, but has been underutilized. A mandatory tagging and reporting system like Tel-Chek would provide biologists with much needed data, and law enforcement officers with a new tool to enforce bag limits.

Evaluation of the 4-Point Law has led to a recommendation by the MDWFP Deer Committee to eliminate this law. The new proposal is to divide the state into 3 Deer Management Zones and use a minimum spread or main beam length criteria based on local parameters in place of one statewide point based criteria. The proposal includes recommendations to change the antlerless bag limit from 3 antlerless deer with any weapon and 2 additional antlerless deer with archery equipment to 5 antlerless deer with any weapon. Additionally, the proposal is to alter the 3 buck bag limit to 2 bucks that meet antler criteria and one buck of choice (AKA "Charlie" Buck). This would give the hunter more flexibility to manage the deer herd on their property.

Research funding should continue. Continued advancement of the state deer program hinges on the professional association and interaction with current deer research projects. The MDWFP Wildlife Technical Staff has benefited professionally from this relationship with Mississippi State University for over 20 years. Many of the advances in the management of Mississippi's deer herd would not have occurred without this relationship. The opportunity to find answers, which address practical management questions, should continue to receive priority.

Existing data collection procedures on public and private lands must continue if responsible harvest recommendations for these lands are expected. Extensive baseline data exists from which objective evaluations can be conducted to examine the effects of changes in habitat, hunting opportunity, and harvest schemes. The annual mail survey will continue to be a valuable tool to monitor trends in a variety of important categories.

Information and education should remain the top priority of the deer program in Mississippi. Deer management needs are well documented in most regions of the state. Landowner and hunter understanding, acceptance, and support of sound deer management will continue to determine the success of deer management in Mississippi. Deer management objectives should be better communicated to the users of this resource. Without landowner and hunter support, success is not expected. When provided the freedom, sportsmen in Mississippi have proven they can make informed decisions that benefit the deer resource if they are provided with the correct management and biological information.

Notes

Notoo	
Notes	

Notes

Neteo	
Notes	

Notes

Preserving Mississippi's outdoor traditions for generations to come.

FOUNDATION for

MISSISSIPPI WILDLIFE, FISHERIES AND PARKS

When you give to the Foundation, you help fund vital programs that cannot be funded by tax-based budgets alone.

Donations to the Foundation are now used to fund key projects, but in the future,donations will be used to issue grants for conservation and research projects, as well as to buy and hold ecologically significant lands for preservation.

Donations to the Foundation may be made in any of a number of ways:

- · Outright cash gifts
- Marketable securities (stocks, bonds, mutual funds)
- · Life income gifts
- · Charitable lead trust
- Charitable remainder trust
- Conservation easements

A gift for your children and their children, and their children, and their children.

P.O. Box 14194 • Jackson, MS 39236 Office Phone: 601-432-2011 Fax: 601-432-2147 E-mail: clark@foundationmwfp.com www.foundationmwfp.com

The Foundation for Mississippi Wildlife, Fisheries, and Parks is a 501 (c)(3) non-profit organization. One of the greatest gifts we can pass down to future generations is the opportunity to enjoy Mississippi's great outdoor resources. When you give to the Foundation, you help make this possible. For a private discussion of your gift contact:

Clark Gordin, executive director

The MDWFP is an equal opportunity employer and provider of programs and services. If anyone believes they have been subjected to discrimination on the basis of political affiliation, race, color, national origin, marital status, sex, religion, creed, age, or disability, they may file a complaint alleging discrimination with either the Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks, Office of Administrative Services, P.O. Box 451, Jackson, MS 39205-0451, or the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 1801 L. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507.



MDWFP Wildlife Bureau

STANDARD MAIL U.S. Postage PAID Jackson, MS Permit 449